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## PREFACE.

The Gdipus Tyrannus is the third play of the edition of Sophocles by Professor Schneidewin, of which the Ajax and Philoctetes have been already published in my series of School Classics. The CEdipus Coloneus is in the press. My former coadjutor, Mr. Paul, having sailed for his new home in the Canterbury Colony, the notes to this play have been translated by the Rev. H. Browne, of Chichester, author of the Ordo Sæclorum, and well known as a translator from various volumes of the "Library of the Fathers." This play has been also edited by Mr. Browne. I may add that the edition has been very favourably received by the critical reviews of Germany.

T. K. ARNOLD.

Lyndon, Nov. 20, 1851.

I will here reprint, with an addition, some errata that I have discovered in the notes to the second play of the series, the Philoctetes:

## ERRATA (in the Philoctetes.)

Page 59, line 512, for " to my advantage," read " to the advantage of this man," and dele the reference to the Grammars.

-     -         - 526, for "he" [the ship personified], read " she."
- 60, - 552, for "Ph. promises," read "Neopt. promises."
 Schneidewin.)
 lendt's valuable Lexicon Sophocleum.)

- 80, - 1251, for "with good reason," read " with right (on my side)."

T. K. A.

## INTRODUCTION.

Laïus, son of Labdacus, king of Thebes, had been warned by an oracle of Apollo that he was destined to die by the hand of a son whom he should beget of his wife Jocasta, daughter of Menœceus. By what offence he had incurred this doom, Sophocles leaves untold ; not so the (pretended) oracle :-






Accordingly, a son being born to him, Laïus bound his ancles tight together, and in this condition gave him into the hands of a slave, with orders to expose him upon the mountain. So Jocasta herself tells the story, 711 ff . (cf. Ed. C. 969 f.), with suppression of some of the particulars, one of which the old slave himself supplies, by relating that he received the child, with command to make away with it, from the mother's own hands, 1173, its feet bound (as the messenger describes, 1034) by a thong through holes cruelly bored in its ankles, which treatment was intended, withopt killing it outright, to ensure its perishing, and to prevent its being received by others. Jocasta also keeps back the fact that it was on the subject of posterity that Laïus consulted Apollo, who warned him against begetting a son, cf. 1184. The slave, however, took compassion on the babe, and gave it, on Mount Cithæron, to a herdsman from Corinth, 1143: but he, instead of rearing it for himself, gave it to his childless master, King Polybus, and his wife Merope. (Pherecydes in the Schol. on 775, calls the queen Medusa, daughter of Orsilochus, son of the Alpheus; others Antiochis, daughter of Chalcon ; others
 according to the popular tradition, was son of Hermes and $\mathbf{X} \theta o v o \phi u ́ \lambda \eta$, Paus. 2, 6, 3.) With kindly affection the pair bring up the foundling, which, from its swelled feet, they name oidi He was generally accounted the first of the citizens of Corinth, until an insignificant occurrence disturbed him in his youthful felicity.

At a banquet-as he himself, 775 fif, tells the story-one of his companions, in his intoxication, twitted him with being only the pretended son of Polybus. Stung by the taunt, he with difficulty restrained himself that day: on the morrow he presents himself before father and mother, tells them what has happened, and wishes to learn the truth. These are incensed at the author of the taunt, but fail to satisfy ©dipus's doubts. The reproach still rankles in his thoughts, and will not let him rest : at length, without the knowledge of his parents, he sets off for Delphi, to obtain satisfaction from Apollo : but the god, instead of answering his question, announces to him as his destiny, that he shall wed his own mother, and beget a race hideous to mankind, and be the slayer of his own father, comp. 994 ff. Having received this oracle, he resolves, hard as it may be to him, never again to see his parents (999), but to turn his back for ever upon his Corinthian home, so to escape from the doom predicted by Apollo; for that he is truly the son of the affectionate fosterers of his infancy, he thinks he can no longer doubt. Alone he wanders, unknowing whither, through Phocis. At this same time ( 114 ff .) it chanced that Laïus was on his way from Thebes to Apollo's oracle at Delphi, we know not upon what errand. At the point where the high road from Delphi and from Daulia ( 733 f.) meet in a narrow gorge ( $\sigma \chi \boxed{\sigma} \tau \grave{\eta}$ ódóŕ), the wanderer is met by an old man riding in a chariot, with a herald as driver. Both with violence attempt to force him out of the way. Incensed at this outrage, he aims a blow at the driver, and would then quietly pursue his way. The old man, however, watches his opportunity, and at the moment when CEdipus is in the act of passing the chariot, with his double goad deals him a blow right on the middle of his head. Upon this Cedipus, with his walking staff, so assaults him that he falls backward from the chariot and is killed. In the heat of his rage, Edipus slays the other attendants also. (So at least he believes; but one of them escapes, and to save himself from the reproach of a cowardly flight, on his arrival in Thebes relates that a band of robbers had fallen upon the party, 122 f . This falsehood was equally indispensable for the poet, in order that CEdipus might not be allowed to come too soon upon the right track; so likewise was the representation that only one escaped, whose account of the matter could not be contradicted by other witnesses.)

Proceeding leisurely on his way, ©dipus arrives in the neighbourhood of Thebes a short time after the attendant has brought the intelligence of Laïus's violent death. Here, at that precise time, the Sphinx had her lair, a monster who seizing on every one who passed that way, propounded her enigma, and if they could not solve it, hurled them headlong from the rock, thereby decimating the city. (For what cause this chastisement was sent upon Thebes, Sophocles does not say : it is enough for the poet, that she has her place in the story: accordingly she forms without further motive a link in the chain of the hero's misfortunes.) Her enigma is couched
 Athen. X. 456 B, in the following verses:






Edipus also passes by the mountain of the Sphinx, a stranger, and not as yet apprised by the Thebans concerning her proceedings : yet he intrepidly tries his fortune, and solves the Enigma of Man. This $\lambda$ v́ $\iota_{\mathrm{c}}$ also has been put in verse:






As a free-will gift from the grateful city, which he has rescued from destruction, hereceives, together with the throne left vacant by Laius's death, the widow of the king as his wife, and now as king in Thebes passes many years in undisturbed prosperity. Jocasta bears him four children; the city, with one voice, honours him as the greatest and best of men; as the man, who, not without the special favour of the gods, overcame the Sphinx, 33 ff . But suddenly, after long years (561), the happiness which the gods awarded him is disturbed by a blight upon the fruits of the earth, and a pestilence on man and beast,-the punishment seut by Apollo because of the yet unexpiated guilt of the old murder. In his vigilant care for the city, Edipus has sent the man who stands next to himself and to the throne, his wife's brother Creon, with whom he has ever lived in unalloyed mutual friendship, 583 ff., to Delphi, for the purpose of involing, in this trying emergency likewise, the aid of the Pythian god. At this point begins the action of the tragedy.

Prologue 1-150. The distress having risen to the highest point, the whole population, not as yet acquainted with the measures taken by the king, has formed suppliant processions to the sanctuaries of the gods. Those who are the most in need of help, greyheaded old priests, young children, and chosen youths, are seen at the opening of the play in solemn stillness grouped before the palace on the Cadmeia, depositing their boughs upon the altars of the gods. Then (Edipus, as a father, comes forth among his children, to inform himself of the purpose of this assembly, and to express his readiness to aid them to the utmost of his power. The priest of Zeus, whose age and dignity call him to be spokesman, depicts the general distress as the cause of their thus betaking themselves to him, the approved deliverer, who owes it to himself to be still the saviour of the state. Deeply moved, Edipus replies to this confiding and honourable address, that without waiting for any exhortation from others, he has of his own accord taken thought for all that can be done for the deliverance of his people from a calamity, which indeed presses upon him above all others. Creon has been commissioned to Delphi,
and whatever the ged may order for the deliverance of the city, he will do it willingly.

To the joy of all, Creon appears. Upon CEdipus's express wish, he announces, in the presence of the whole assembly, that Apollo peremptorily demands from the citizens that Laïus's slayer, who is living in the land, be either banished or put to death, seeing this polluted person has brought upon Thebes the present calamity. Hereupon, while the points of moment for the connexion of the fable are brought out by a series of questions and answers, CEdipus learns that Laïus upon a time having left Thebes upon a $\theta \in \omega \rho i a$,-with what object, and to what oracle, is not specified,never returned : it was only known that he had been slain by a band of robbers. That no inquisition was made at the time for the doer of this deed,-an omission at which CEdipus expresses his surprisewas caused by the Sphinx, who obliged them to confine their regards to their own immediate concerns. Edipus, all unsuspecting, is prompt with his zealous resolve to lose not a moment in giving effect to the divine injunction. Needs must he himself apprehend that so daving a murderer, who, he fancies, must have been set on by political opponents in Thebes, may lay hands on him liirewise ! He then orders the assembled suppliants to withdraw, and appoints one of his attendants to summon the principal citizens of Thebes, as he will leave nothing unattempted that may lead to the desired end.

Edipus himself remains on the stage in quiet discoupse with Creon, by whose advice he has twice sent messengers (288) to Tiresias. (As the age, sex, and position of the Chorenter are for the most part chosen to match the protagonistes, so here the xupas ayakres form the Chorus, as in Ed. Col. old men, in the Electra maidens, in the Ajax comrades in war, in the Philoctetes mariners. The deficiency in mental acumen and insight into the hearings of the events which appears in our Chorentæ, was necessary for the poet in the management of the action: they must needs be men of limited minds and slow perception, that they may not, any more than their king, be able to see through the true connexion and dependence of the incidents, and may still enter into and echo their master's tone of feeling. At the same time, their quietude makes them well-adapted for thoughtful appreciation of the stormy passions which rave before them.) As they take their place on the orchestra around the Thymele, they strike up the Parodos. (151-215.) Aware of Creon's return, but as yet unacquainted with the purport of the oracle brought by him, with their expectation wound up to the highest pitch, they invoke, in solemn dactylic rhythm, the chiefest of Thebes's tatelary deities, and depict in vivid colours the tribulations of their city; and then once more supplicate the succour of the gods; severally invoked in long detail. (By thus separating the Chorus from the ik'rial, Sophoples gets a natural occasion for letting CEdipus, by his announcement of the oracle, and of the measures which he has taken accordingly, exhibit himself in all his security and consciousness of innocence; while, at the same time, his address shews how heinous he considers the crime to be, and how earnestly he takes the injunction of the god.)

First Epeisodion, 216-462. (Edipus, who seems to have heeded only the close of the choral hymn, takes up the concluding thought, and bids the Chorus, instead of prayer, depend upon his active zeal, to which the command of the god has appointed its course of proceeding. But in his haste to obey the god, he neglects to acquaint the Chorus, in the first place, with that which they so ardently desired to know,-the purport of the oracle. This the Chorus learns only by way of corollary, 242, in quite general terms. For Edipus, hurried on unawares by a supernatural excitement, begins with emphatically protesting his own utter ignorance, until now, of that which he is about to communicate, thereby excusing himself that he, hitherto the wise counsellor in time of need, is obliged, for this time, to have recourse to the help of the citizens. Upon these he solemnly enjoins it as a duty in every way to aid in the discovery of Laiius's slayer, upon whom he imprecates the heaviest curse, should he remain secret, while he commends the innocent population to the abiding protection of the gods. (To the spectator, apprized from the outset of the real bearings of the events, the protestations which (Edipus makes of his innocence and ignorance, must' have had a thrilling effect. His speech, now quiet and gentle, now vehement and impetuous, becomes most impassioned at the very point where all the evil which he imprecates upon the perpetrator and the abettor, meets upon his own head.)

The Chorus protests its innocence and ignorance, but counsels to send for Tiresias. For this ©dipus has already taken care: in his disquietude, he marvels that the seer, though two messengers have been sent, has not yet made his appearance. The Choragus then, in a tone of indifference, remarks that the story current in the mouths of the people leads to nothing. Edipus not despising any, even the slightest trace, urges him to keep nothing back; but he learns nothing more than what Creon had already communicated as the report of the escaped attendant, that Laius was slain by robbers, or, as it is here said with a nearer approximation to the truth, by travellers.

Then comes the blind seer Tiresias, whose mental eye has long clearly seen through all, and from whom the Chorus, with assured confidence, hopes that he will bring the doer of the deed to light;as in fact does come to pass, though in a manner wholly unexpected. (After the Chorus has professed its inability to counsel, Edipus has dropt the measure he had without further deliberation resolved upon, namely, of summoning the Thebans collectively to assist in the detection of the offender. And in fact it is necessary only in a poetical point of 'view, as a token of the hero's misdirected zeal, and an occasion for the imprecation of the curses. As from the very first he betrays in all his doings a blinded mind, so now he reposes all his hope upon Tiresias, whom the more prudent Creon had counselled him to consult. Thus the same man on whom the entire population had built all its hope, looks for deliverance to the blind seer, who yet in the times of the Sphinx had held his peace!)

The king welcomes Tiresias with most honourable expressions of
his entire reliance, lays before him the purport of the oracle, and challenges him to put forth all the resources of his art for the deliverance of the city. Tiresias, embariassed, and repenting of his coming, adjures him to desist: his knowledge profits him not! (Of forbearance to the well-deserving ruler he has so long shut up the secret in his own breast, and even now it is only upon provocation that he makes the disclosures which follow.) The king importunes, the seer persists in his refusal : let him be wroth if he will,-it will all come to light without a word from him! By degrees the already excited king is wrought up into a towering passion. Conscious of the loving devotion with which he is set upon doing everything to carry out the injunction of the god, it incenses him that Tiresias, having the power to help, refuses his aid. In bitter altereation he gives vent to the accusation that Tiresias himself was the instigator of the murder. Upon this, the seer, himself by this time angered, comes out more and more, and declares that © Edipus was the murderer. But the king, his suspicions once roused, listens no longer to the child of night. Tiresias adds yet further-and in this Edipus, in a calmer state of mind, could not. have failed to perceive an echo of his own old oracle,-that he is cohabiting with his nearest kindred in horrible intimacy. But no sooner has the seer appealed to Apollo, who will presently bring the matter to an issue, than a new suspicion adds to the infatuation which already possesses the blinded king. At the very hearing of Apollo, it flashes upon him that Creon-the bearer of the oracle from Delphi-is at the bottom of the matter, and that the seer, for lowe of base gain, has been acting upon his suggestions. This thought, rendered in some measure plausible by the fact that it was Creon who had advised the sending for the seer, in the impetuous ©dipus becomes at once a certainty; and the rather as, on the very first hearing of the matter, it had occurred to him that the murderer must have been set on by political motives; and, following it up, he indignantly accuses Creon (who after his colloquy with (Edipus had left the stage during the Parodos), as a conspirator with whom Tiresias is leagued to compass his overthrow. Now he scoffs at that which he had just before so highly extolled, the prophetic skill of Tiresias,-a man who, for all his pretensions, had no power to help in the time of the Sphinx! His confidence shaken in all whom he had revered and loved, CEdipus, once so discreet, now sets up his $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ against the $\tau^{\prime} \chi \nu \eta$ of the professed seer, with all its vaunted infallibility, and menaces both the conspirators with the punishment they deserve.

Tiresias now, for the second time, reveals in connected detail (408-428), the calamities which await Edipus, living as he is in most disastrous unconsciousness of the horrors by which he is surrounded. In a burst of wrath, he bids the seer begone. The latter, in replying to the taunt of dotage, with the expression, "Thy parents thought not so," has launched at the king a new shaft, so that from this time the painful recollection of the old unexplained mystery of his extraction mixes itself up with his present solicitudes. With his demand for enlightenment Tiresias declines to comply, but darkly hints that this day, ere it close, will explain all. Then,
before he withdraws, he for the third time expresses himself concerning the murderer in terms awfully enigmatical, but still clearly and pointedly calculated to remind CEdipus of the old oracle; not now, however, as before, addressing the king himself, and expressly mentioning him by name, but as if speaking concerning a third person. He concludes with the words, "If these sayings be not made good, then CEdipus shall have leave to say that Tiresias knows nothing of the art which he professes." The king, also, to whom each fancied access of insight does but deepen his blindness, retires into the palace. (The spectator has now before' him, in all its completeness, the prodigious contrast between the outward semblance and the reality. The truth which CEdipus desires to have, he thrusts from him, and falls at variance, moreover, with the seer, until now his well-wisher, and with his most faithful friend.) It sets the irony in a slarper light that the honest old Chorus is involved in the same delusions with its lord. This short-sightedness of the Chorus'appears in the

First Stasimon, 463-512, comp. the Annotat. on 463. Then
Second Epeisodion, 513-862, with a kommation, 649-697, with interposed trimeters. With great art the following scene is brought on by the dialogue with Tiresias. Creon, informed of the accusation raised against him by Cdipus, indignantly comes forward and endeavours to learn from the Churus whether perchance that harsh charge had fallen from him in the precipitancy of the moment. But while the Chorus, in its loyal attachment to its lord, considerately shrinks from satisfying the enquiry, the king himself appears, and so the full explanation is reserved for the dialogue between the parties concerned. He gives his wife's brother a rough reception. To have the audacity to come into his presence,-whe, the detected murderer and robber of his throne! He must needs think him coward or fool, if he thinks' to delude him, or flatters himself that Edipus will not know how to meet his plottings Creon, on the other hand, quietly advises him first to look calmly into the facts of the case. And now the king, to make his grounds sure, commences an examination, point by point; and, first, whether it was not Creon's suggestion that he should send for the seer? This being answered in the affirmative, he asks whether Tiresias had ever, in former times, pointed at him as the guilty person. If he, who now all on the sudden thinks fit to mark him as the murderer, has erewhile held his peace, it is for him a demonstrated fact that he was prompted by Creon, who coveted the throne. The more conclusive CEdipus deems' this inference, the more firmly does he here once more fix himself in his error.

Hereupon Creon, having first shown by the like regular process of induction, how near he stands to Edipus and his queen, goes into a long train of argument, wishing to demonstrate, by a rational discussion of all the circumstances, how utterly absurd it would be in him to entertain the ambitious design upon the throne of which he is accused. If Edipus can conviet him of having a crafty understanding with Tiresias, he protests himself ready to die a shameful death. Without listening to this oath, or taking heed to the pacific
admonitions of the Chorus, the king persists in it that Creon must die as a traitor.

At this point the Choragus, 634, announces the approach of Jocasta, whom the altercation has called from the palace. She bids them for shame desist, in the midst of the general distress, from mooting their private animosities. Upon this, Edipus lays before her his impeachment of Creon, and the latter by the most solemn oath again asseverates his innocence. But it is only upon the most urgent entreaties of his wife and of the Choreuter, that he lets Creon go,-not in the least convinced that he has wronged his wife's brother,-but with the express declaration that he will never cease to hate him. Creon withdraws, protesting that his ling has misjudged him, whereas the whole city knows that he is still what he always was; and ominously prediets that he will be pained by the thought of his injustice, when once his passion is allayed.

Upon Creon's departure, at Jocasta's desire, her husband relates the occasion of the quarrel, the Chorus having vainly besought him to let the mattex rest. Creon, he says, would fain make him out to be the murderer; so little is he able to free himself from his preconceived opinion that Tiresias was suborned by him to accuse him of the deed! With shrewd womanly art, Jocasta now sets herself to convince her husband, already more than enough entangled in a web of self-deception, that the vaunted science of the seers is not worth heeding. There was an old oracle given to Laïus which was so far from receiving its fulfilment, that foreign robbers, as the story goesthis then she trusts implicitly, without much questioning its grounds or want of grounds-slew him on the common highway: as for her child, it was exposed immediately after its birth. Thus was the response of the ministers of the Delphian temple put to shame!

But here the punishment follows close at the heels of the blasphemy. This very story, which was meant to set her husband's mind quite at rest, as regarded one oracle, by an instance of another oracle which was falsified by the event, produces just the opposite result. The words of the seer, so plain and pointed, remained an enigma for CEdipus: now one casual harmless word arrests his attention and staggers him in the confidence he has thus far felt. Now begins the wonderfully contrived $\pi \varepsilon p \iota \pi \varepsilon \in \tau \in a$; a faint presentiment of the truth arises in the hero's mind, but the poet has the skill yet for a long time to retard the full discovery. Here again and again this tragical effect attends the process of the discovery, that the gradual uplifting of the veil is effected by the very persons who are endeavouring to relieve the hero's mind of its growing anxities.

Namely, on Jocasta's mentioning that Laïus was slain mods
 quent encounters of people coming from different directions, Edipus eagerly catches at this description of the locality, and enquires whither the pass led, how long ago this occurrence befel, how old was Laïus, and of what appearance? When all tallies with his own old adventure, an indescribable anxiety takes possession of his mind, lest after all Tiresias be found to see but too truly. For even the number of the attendants accords : and now he desires Jocasta
to send with all speed for the slave who had then returned, that be may gain the satisfaction he needs from him. (The slave, namely, had recognized in the highly-praised deliverer of the city, and husband of the queen, the slayer of his lord. As the sight of him must ever remind him of his falsehood about the band of robbers, he had withdrawn from Thebes. That the new king was the son of Laïus, he could have no foreboding. It was a necessary contrivance of the poet's that the slave, whom Gedipus in his excitement had omitted to summon in the first instance (118), should not be present, yet not too remote ; and the mention by Jocasta, just at this point, where the elucidation of the mystery lies so close at hand, of the reason why he wished to be dismissed into the country, is ominously significant.

Jocasta, having as yet no foreboding of the ground of her husband's anxiety, wishes to learn what it is ; whereupon Edipus, who in Thebes was universally held to be the son of Polybus, frankly relates his juvenile history, and the adventure in the schiste. If that old man whom he slew was Laitus, he must bewail himself as of all mortal men the most hated of the gods, since upon him must then light all the heavy curses which he has openly denounced upon the murderer. In his contemplations upon this contingency, he is still so blind that he bewails the hard fate which makes it impossible for him, if the case be so, ever to return to his old home and his beloved parents at Corinth, if he would not incur the yet worse misery of fulfilling the old oracle by slaying Polybus and wedding Merope. (At every step which the hero takes towards the truth, the poet has
 of the spectatur. The way in which, step by step, the truth comes out, is managed with inimitable art. As yet the hero's misgiving is limited to the milder half of his disastrous condition, the apprehension that he may have been the slayer of the royal husband of his wife : his parents he innocently assumes to be living in Corinth, and dreads the possible futurity of that which lies long years behind him in the past! Even for the more mitigated object of his apprehension, dreadful as the contemplation of it is to the highsouled ling, he has still a ray of hope.

If, namely, the herdsman shall persist in his story that robbers were the slayers of the old king, he, a solitary individual, cannot be the culprit. Jocasta goes yet farther: even if the herdsman should vary in his tale, this need not trouble him. Loxias plainly declared that her husband should fall by the hand of his owin son ; but this son perished long before his father. Consequently she will never believe in prophecy and divination. Meanwhile she will send forthwith for the herdsman ; until then let Edipus with her enter their palace.

Second Stasimon, 863-910. The pious old men, deeply offended by the daring levity shown by Jocasta in her avowed disregard of the utterances of the gods, and by the godless way in which she has spolen of her past life, especially the icy coldness of beart which she betrayed in her account of the exposure of her infant, pray to Zeus that he will confirm the truth of the oracle given to Laïus, as a token for all mankind. Armed with the holy primeval laws of OEdipus Tyr.
religion and morality, they contend for their inviolable sanctity, unchecked by any misgiving that the object they would obtain by their prayer is indeed none other than the speedy overthrow of the ling to whom they still adhere with the same devoted loyalty as ever.

Third Epeisodion, 911-1085. Suddenly Jocasta comes forth, and explains that a faney has taken her to offer to the gods. Need teaches prayer. Within doors she cannot breathe freely; while Edipus, a prey to boundless dejection, persists in rejecting all that she can suggest for the quieting of his disturbed mind, and lends an ear only to the most alarming representations. Jocasta draws near to the altar of the very god whose utterances she has but now again treated with contempt, and whose wisdom she will presently, on the first seeming lull of the storm, once more, with her usual levity, turn into derision. (The impression made by the language of the profane queen-irreligious even in har devotions-tells with the greater effect by contrast with the loftiness and purity of the sentiments to which the magnificent ode, of which the last accents have but just died away, has attuned the minds of the spectators.)

Apparently, the god iustantly grants the prayer, that the reality, when it comes, may be all the more crushing. A messenger appears from Corinth, who, in the belief that he is the bringer of joyful tidings, shews a cheerful bearing, and, like the watchman in the Antigone, acts his part with popular humour. Polybus is dead; and he, in hope of rich reward, has immediately set off on his journey hither to be the first bearer of the tidings to Edipus, whom, as he says he has heard, the Corinthians intend to make their king. On hearing this, Jocasta triumphantly calls out her husband. There now are the oracles again falsified! And now even the pious king, with this new fact before him, cannot forbear to chime in with her exultation, and emboldens himself to speak disparagingly of oracle and flight of birds. True, upon recollecting the studied ambiguity and equivocal chapacter of the language of oracles, it occurs to him-always seady-minded, and always at fault in the direction of his reflexions -that Polybus's death may have been caused by grief for the loss of him, in which case the god will yet be true, and he, in a sense, the slayer of his father. So difficult does he find it to accord with Jocasta's tone of feeling, and so much does his pious mind revolt from her profane levity, that rather than doubt the truth of the divine words, he chooses to talke refuge in casuistical refinements. And then forthwith the other part of the old oracle falls heavily on his soul,-that he should become the husband of his mother. Jocasta, indeed, is prompt with her woman's counsel ; one must drive such crotehets out of one's head ; that is the only way to live comfortably, 977 ff . But the messenger from Corinth, to whom CEdipus makes known the cause of his fear, hastes, with the best intentions, to relieve him of his distress. Polybus was of no kin to Edipus : from his own hands the pair received the boy. Laïus's herdaman, who handed the child over to him upon a time when they were together in Cithæron, must be able to throw further light upon the subject. The Chorus recognizes in this herdsman the very man
who has been summoned to explain the circumstances of the old ling's death. For he was none other than that attendant who escaped with the tidings to Thebes. Jocasta, the scales now at once falling from her eyes, adjures (Edipus to desist from further inves tigation; bat this he peremptorily declines. Upon this Jocasta hurries off from the scene, with words which portend some frightfu resolve on her part. Edipus, again misapprehending the true bearings of the case, imagines that Jocasta's vanity is wounded ; that she fears he may be found to be of ignoble extraction. For his part, he will not rest until he gets at the whole truth of his parentage: come what will, he regards himself as a son of Tyche, who has made him small and great. Nothing daunts the strong hero: befove all things he will learn the full truth.

The Third Choral Ode, 1086-1109. A hyporchema of cheerful character serves, just before the catastrophe, to shed a last gleam of light upon the gathexing gloom, while the Chorus, wholly entering into the tone of the Protagonist, pictures to itself that (Edipus may perchance be the child of a god hy some mountain-nymph of Cithæron.

Fourth Epeisodion, 1110-1185. The herdsman for whose coming Edipus has longed, appears, and is recognized by the Corinthian as the person from whose hands he received the child. (Of the attack made upon Laïus by a number of robbers, which was the point on whieh the king desired satisfaction, when he was urgent to have this man summoned, we hear no more, now that matters have taken a new turn, in consequence of which, all is cleared up at once so soon as the hero's originis brought to light.) The other recalls to the recollection of the Theban herdsman the days they spent together on the mountains, and thinks to give him a goyful surprise with the discovery that the boy whom the other handed ovet to him is none other than the king before whom they stand. The horrified Theban is forced by violent menaces to confess that Jocasta herself comsigned the child to his hands for destruction, moved to this by fear of an oracle which foretold that the child would one day slay his father. (That he would also wed his mother was no part of Laïus's oracle; this was only prophesied to CEdipus:) Now first, and at once, the whole hideous reality, in all its parts, is laid bare before the eyes of ©idipus. Having, with a bitter cry, bid farewell to the light of day, and summed up with pregnant brevity the chain of horrors which Tiresias so well saw through, he rushes franticly into the house.

Fourth Stasimon, 1186-1222. The Chorus having contemplated the sudden vicissitudes of all earthly things, then follows,

The Exodos, 1223 to the end. Inserted in this is an ode áno ккฑvच̈s, 1307-1366, intermixed with trimeters by the Chorus.

An Exangelos gives a relation of the portentous horrors which have befallen in the palace. Jocasta has strangled herself in the Thalamos; CEdipus, like a maniac, with loud yell, has burst in, and with Jocasta's golden clasps bored out both his eyes: if they had not seen where they should have ssen, they should henceforth see in
darkness. So, says the messenger, has measureless wretchedness entered in, where once dwelt high prosperity.

Then, to shew to the Thebans in his horribly mutilated con-dition-for which the description given by the messenger has prepared them-the unhappy sufferer, whose noble spirit, as it never knew concealment, so now will have no disguises, the palace-doors fly open, and Edipus totters forth. He now bewails, alternately with the Chorus, without reproaching any other than himself, his selfinflicted blindness, and his disastrous destiny. Anon, collecting bimself, he speaks (from v. 1369) of the fearful punishment he has inflicted upon himself; he weighs the circumstances which made it impossible forthim any more to behold the light. He concludes with the prayer that the Chorus would thrust him out of the land, or malse away with him. So little is he content with the punishment which, in the access of his frenzy, he has inflicted upon himself, until the oracle of the Pythian god concerning the slayer of Laïus be also satisfied to the uttermost.

The Chorus refers him to Creon, whom it sees approaching. During the minority of the sons, Creon is the natural successor to the throne, as Sophocles makes the hero forthwith abdicate.the sovereignty. So, after the lapse of a few hours, Creon, without doing anything towards it himself, has through Cedipus's own proceedings attained to the very dignity which he was previously accused of unrighteously affecting! The unhappy king who has now seen how greatly he was deceived in the suspicion he was led to entertain of his old friend, is alarmed at the announcement of Creon's approach. But, as in the Ajax, Ulysses, after the death of his enemy, comes forward as the noble vindicator of his merits, and in the Philoctetes the position of Neoptolemus to Philoctetes in the course of the action undergoes a complete revolution, so the relation of Creon to Edipus takes an unexpected turn ; for Creon, entirely justified by the events, comes forward as a sympathizing friend and helper in time of need, and makes it plain that he has retained no recollection of the offence. In the first place he desires them immediately to withdraw from the light of day the shocking spectacle of the unhappy sufferer ; but when Edipus addresses to him also the request that, agreeably with the dictate of Apollo, he may be banished, he bids him wait with hope for the decision of the god, which he holds himself bound to seek once more before taking any further measures. Content on this point, and having commended to Creon's pious care the worthy obsequies of his wretched sister, on his own behalf he has nothing more to ask but that he may be thrust out to Cithæron, the place once appointed by his parents for his grave; only the thought of his two poor daughters weighs heavily upon his fatherly heart: as for the sons, they are already able to help themselves. The latter he does not ask to see,- their character as godless men is fixed in the myth; -but the maidens, whom he dearly loves, he would fain embrace once more. Even for this, Creon, who knows the heartfelt love which their unhappy father has ever borne them, has taken thought. Cordially thauking him for this kindness, Edipus pathetically surveys
all the painful circumstances which may await the orphaned maidens, who, in the innocence of their hearts, incapable of comprehending the horrors of the situation, stand mutely by. With warm affection he commends them to the faithful guardianship of Creon, who must supply to them the place of a father. So the poet manages to give to the horrors of the drama a milder close, and to afford the spectator a consolatory glance into the future.

Upon this Creon bids him go in : if such be the will of the god, he will surely obtain his desire of quitting the land.

In the concluding trochees, the Chorus points out how in the man who but now was extolled as wisest and greatest of men, the maxim (of Solon) is verified, that no mortal must pe accounted fortunate until one have learnt by experience whether his good fortune will also be faithful to him unto the end of his days. Undoubtedly this is the most evident idea that forces itself upon us in the contemplation of the drama of the fall of Cdipus; as accordingly it is carried out at greater length in the last Stasimon, and is also brought forwawd by the Exangelos, 1282 ff . Here also that reflection of Ulysses in the Ajax is in place, $\boldsymbol{i}_{\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \mathrm{E}}^{\mathbf{n}}$
 would be a great mistalke to imagine that Sophocles intended in this gnome to put at once into our hands the idea which his drama was meant to enforce, and in which all should find its central unity. The world unfolded in this drama exhibits a portraiture much too individually marlied for any such conception ; its relations, bearings, characters, are far too special to admit of our spanning. with this formala the poetical conception of the drama considered in its essence. The vicissitude exhibited is but the external consequence of inward contradictions ; it lights upon CEdipus, who seems to have been singled out by fate as the ball of its caprice. His entire life is one continued oseillation between unmitigated opposites; his endeavour and will stand to the actual result in the most crying contradiction; where he strives after the best, he works misery ; where he thinks to go right cleverly to work, his sagacity is ever at fault, while, if he does hit the truth, it is but by accident, unconsciously and unavailingly. The language of the oracles he mis. interprets throughout : the Sphinx's riddle he solves while yet his own being is, and continues to be, to him an enigma. Personally conscious of no guilt, he becomes entangled in the most disastions destinies: circumstances, seemingly the most unfavourable, lend him a hand to unlooked-for success. As these contrasts are seen in that part of his life which is external to the action of our drama, so in the drama itself they lie before us in all their asperity. The deep tragedy of the play lies in the very circumstance, dhat a terrible utterance of the god receives its fulfilment in the, very point where Edipus has not seen a remote conception of it; that where he most zealously and with keen eye expiores the traces of another's guilt, he accelerates the downfal of his own prosperity, and puts a sharper edge to his unhappy destiny by blind precipitancy n consequence of his seeming wisdom ; that he attains the object to which he has bent his mind day and night, the salvation of the state,
but that the new deliverance of the city he has once happily delivered, is his own destruction. The pestilence which gave occasion to the discovery of the truth ceases; the sorely-visited and yet innocent city breathes freely again, and the perdition falls upon the very man who at the opening of the play, alone together with those belonging to him, seemed exempt from the general destruction, of which, nevertheless, he was the cause.

The higher CEdipus seems to stand in outward felicity, in endowments of understanding and heart, the vaster the separation, as the drama develops it, between truth and semblance. He was worthy of a better fate: but even before he was begotten he was chosen to be the unnatural instrument of the divine vengeance upon his father and his mother: their transgression should thereby undergo the severest retribution. He takes the life of him who gave him life : she, the mother who would put her child out of the way, conceives children by this her child. It is she who undergoes the most hideous fate, because it was she who seduced Laïus to slight the prohibition of Apollo, and because she thereafter stifled the natural voice of a mother's love.

If now we trace more closely the contrasts in the hero's life and destinies, as Sophocles has carried them out in minutest detail, we are met by the wide chasm between the outward welfare of the son of Tyche ( 1080 ff .) and the misery once for all doomed to him by the gods from his very birth. Scarce three days old is he, and by the hands of the parents,-who nevertheless longed for heirs,-he is ruthlessly maimed, and consigned to destruction. Given over to a foreign shepherd to be brought up as his child, he is presented as a gift to a
 reared,-he, the foreign-born, the maimed foundling, the child of unknown parents,-as own offspring of royal parents, as heir of an illustrious throne. A mere chance, in a party met for pleasure, shatters the juvenile happiness of the youth who in the eyes of every man ranked as first of the Corinthian citizens. Thirsting for the clearing up of his doubts, he thinks to betake him to the surest source; but concerning the past, which he wishes to know, Apollo is silent, and intimates all that is most horrible concerning the future, for which he was not questioned. He would fain secure himself against the fulfilment of the oracle. What was in the power of man to do, he does. But while the homeless pilgrim wanders lonely and without an aim into the country where he may be farthest removed from his Corinthian parents, he slays his true father in an encounter wherein he was justified in using violence in self-defence. For that father purposes in the schiste also to slay him, unknown, whom as a child he had wittingly sought to put out of the way ; but this time also his attempt miscarries, that the will of the gods may be done. Chance leads the young man to Thebes: he solves the enigma at which all before him had laboured in vain; and this very ríx $\eta$ hurls him into the deepest abyss of ruin. Overflowing with gratitude, the community of his native city rewards him with the vacant throne and the hand of his mother. Then, long undisturbed domestic and public felicity. But the gods leave no sin unpunished,
be it early or late; and blood once shed, above all the blood of a father shed by the hand of a child, may not remain unavenged, be the culprit accountable or not. Apollo sends blight and pestilence upon the city which harbours the blood-guilty one. Again CEdipus betakes him to the same god who has once prophesied to him, and whom he must needs regard as the author of his prosperity, seeing that his oracle, by warning him against returning to Corinth, had been the means of setting him up so high. At last, when he has wandered through many a maze of error, his eyes-and this is the matter of our play-are opened. Ere this, he who solved the Enigma of Humanity, is left; concerning his own human relations, to grope his way, even to the hideous catastrophe, in utter darkness. It is a point of deep significance-and this formed from the first a maxked trait of the popular fiction-that he takes revenge upon the bodily eye for the blindness of his mind; that the darkened mind in the midst of light may have its counterpart in the seeing mind and darkened body.

The character of the Sophoclean CEdipus is spotless, as in fact he stands there in the popular fiction-the exemplar of an innocent victim of ruthless destiny. From his youth up he has confidingly surrendered himself to the guidance of the bright god of Delphi, and with him will he stand or fall (v. 145). Passionate he is, no doubt, else were he no subject for tragedy. But the poet is ever anxious to let it be seen that even his excesses spring from noblo impulses. To him, as the Prologue and many other passages of the play declare (see on 443), the public weal is supreme above all other considerations. Conscious of the purest aims, and convinced that he is serving the god, he becomes harsh and suspicious towards those whose proceedings seem not to be directed to the same end : he loses his stedfastness of self-command and self-consistency, thereby aggravating the miserable lot, which cannot be, nor is meant to be, referred to this as its cause. Without these darker shades in the portraiture of the hero, otherwise sagacious in insight and mild in disposition, yet ever putting himself palpably in the wrong, the dramatic action would lose in inner truthfulness and consistency. As it is, the sentiment (Antig. 622) becomes applicable to him, tò кaкòv סoceĩv
 likewise, and only so, the way in which the poet has contrived, with wonderful skill, to retard the catastrophe, acquires its ground of psychological truth. The passion, too, is quite natural : it is, as ©dipus says (v. 334), enough to provoke a stone to see Tiresias so reluctant to serve his god. And, as if it were not enough that he has in this way thrown the king off his self-possession, the seer must needs also awaken the old uncomfortable feelings about his parentage, and moreover gives him occasion to impute a criminal design to Creon, though Creon has not the slightest notion of the true state of the case. And then, when all at once the seer turns round and impeaches him as the murderer, is it not enough to set him on a blaze of indignation? For he could not possibly divine that Tiresias had all these years kept silence only out of respect for his noble qualities as a man, and for the wisdom with which as king he was
guiding the state. And Tiresias, likewise, himself loses his temper, and is forced out of the dignified repose of his priestly character. As for the $\omega \mu$ ór $\eta S$ which in Ant. 471 is imputed to Edipus, that is meant to refer only to the ruthless revenge he has taken upon himself, and the stern imprecations he denounced on his impious sons. In all else he is throughout a grand, heroic figure; not, indeed, to be scanned by the rule of later times, but one of the forms of the gigantesque olden time, and of that hard, granite-like generation with which old Nestor conversed in his younger days, Lliad 1, 260 ff . In particular, the princely stock of the Kadmeiones is characterized by a loftysternness and stubbornness which in fact makes the traditions of that race stand in such marked contrast to those of the Achaian houses. If to others CEdipus is harsh, his greatest harshness is to himself: the utmost severity of punishment that could of right be visited upon him, he outdoes by the measureless vengeance he takes upon his innocent eyes. For such is the length to which the tragic illusion is carried, that in the state into which his feelings are wound up, he does not pause to examine the facts of his case in their proper characters, but holds himself alone xesponsible for all that through him has come to pass; and not until long afterwards does he learn to regard it in its true light. Comp. ©Ed. Col. 431 ff .

Edipus, then, the hated of the gods, is a standing example of that article of the popular creed according to which a man, in spite of the purest intentions, may fail utterly, only because he is an object of aversion to the gods: a faith which took its rise from observation of the enormous disparity which is so often seen between men's merits and their fate. Hence Theognis, speaking (v. 163 f.) of the
 to the wish-

##  

Let it not be thought that this conception of the Edipus is not that which in a moral point of view would commend itself to the religious mind of a Sophocles. It should be remembered that for the basis of this surpassingly wonderful creation of his genius, he found the story ready-made to his hand. To settle the odds of guilt and punishment could never be the task he set himself, unless he would mar the whole sense of the fable. Further, it should be considered that Edipus, however pure in his own person, bore with him an inherited $\sin$; for as, in the faith of the ancients, the misdeeds of the parents were often left unpunished in them; to be visited on children and children's children, so likewise the parents' sin imparts itself to the children, and weighs upon them : nay, even in the common intercourse of life, the sin of the impure passes by contagion to the pure, and draws them together into the same destruction.

All things considered, the fundamental idea of the Sophoclean drama can be no other than this: For mortal man, be he ever so good, not all the watchfulness he can use in pondering his steps shall suffice to guard him against misgoings;
not all the penetration he can exercise in the discovery of the right shall avail for his good, if once the love of the gods be withholden. Be the outward semblavee ever so dazzling, the longer the respite the deeper the perdition into which the gods, by inexorable necessity, will at last hurl the $\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \theta \rho o \delta a i \mu \omega \nu$. In Edipus we have the impersonation of the utter impotence of man when put upon his own resources. What has it availed him that the gods, by fore-announcement of his destiny, have given him a look into the future which lies before him? Destiny has spread her toils for him, and he falls into them at the very point where he thinks right cleverly to evade them, and to secure his safety. That it is the duty of man humbly to submit himself to a higher guidance, was the general popular faith ; this lowly resignation expresses itself, as I have remarked in the note on $\mathbf{v}$. 863, in the fact of their praying to the gods that they would grant the power to do that which is right. Of the too harsh destiny which lights upon ©dipus, a righteous compensation is afforded in his end : this is the idea presented in the counterpart to our play, the Edipus at Colonus, which at the same time affords the fullest proof that the conception of the CEdipus as here stated was, and must have been, that which Sophocles from the first intended.

The parts assigned to all the other persons of the drama seem, intended from first to last, to furnish motives to the procedure of the Protagonist, and to draw out his character in a stronger light. In particular, Jocasta stands there beside her noble husband, with a mind how differently constituted! It is her maxim to live for the day: should anything occur to disturb the god-forgetting tenor of her course, she seeks but to put it aside by deceiving others and deadening her own conscience. The openness to which Edipus with such entire innocence abandons himself, to her, with her guilty conscience, is hateful: she loves to conceal, as she finds it easy to forget: enough for her if the mischief come not abroad to the public eye and ear. Even her bearing towards the gods is of a piece with her behaviour towards men. To her first husband, reckless of the divine warning he has received, she, having by her arts infatuated him, bears a child, and then, fearing the consequences, without more ado, puts it out of her sight: whether it were really destroyed, of this she had no certainty. Set at rest for the moment, she asks no further questions: gods and oracles give her no concern, save at the actual pinch of need; at other times, her daring levity carries her even to the length of reckless blasphemy. Her marriage with the young Corinthian prince makes her oblivious of the sacred duty of bringing to light her husband's murderers. The old slave she willingly dismisses, because his presence must continually remind her of her child, and of her former husband She meets with nothing beyond her demerits, when in the full view of the horrors of which her wickeduess has been the guilty cause, with her own hands she strangles herself. It is wisely done that the poet dismisses her from the scene before the final disclosure, that the sympathy may not be frittered away and diverted from Edipus, who deserves it, to this abandoned woman. And besides, the poet, with delicate forbearance,
would spare us the hideous spectacle of the guilty creature after the full disclosure of the horrible truth.
To appreciate, in its full extent, the creative genius of our profound poet, we must advert to the manner in which the story of Edipus, which Sophocles, upon grounds parely poetical, has fashioued at his own pleasure, was treated by his predecessors.

Under all the transformations the mythus has undergone, the essential features of the popular tradition are recognizably these: The exposure and wonderful preservation of the child, begotten in contravention of the declared will of the gods; his slaying his father in a casual encounter; his solving the Enigma of Man; his marriage with his mother, and the self-inflicted punishment of Edipus and Jocasta. The farther back we trace it, the less we find it overlaid with poetic ornament. In the Odyssey, 11, 271 ff ., Ulysses relates of Epicasté, as she is there called,-

As, in this account, the matter becomes notorious immediately after the nuptials, the author of the Nekyia can have known nothing of any offspring of the incestuous marriage. Pansanias, $9,5,5$, expressly notices the agreement of the ancient Epos Oidt$\pi \dot{\delta} \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon a$, composed by Cinæthon about Ol. 3, in 5600 verses. Here the hero after the death of Jocasta, begets with Euryganeia the children whom the later and more horrible version of the story makes him to have begotten with his own mother ; and the old Logograph Pherecydes (Scholl. Eur. Phœn. 53) still adheres to the Epos. Now if Edipus, through the pernicious decrees of the gods, - in penance for the sins of his fathers; continues still to reigit in Thebes, suffering exceeding many sorrows, this iterative expression ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \hat{a}^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} a^{\prime} \lambda y \varepsilon a$ ) surely intimates, together with the ill-treatment he suffered at the hands of his sons, the further fact-not indeed here expressly mentioned, but deeply rooted in the mythus, and plainly demonstrable from the cyclical Thebais and the Logegrapher Hellanicus-of the self-inflicted blindness of the hero. Further, in the story as it is briefly told in the Odyssey-where in fact the poet is concerned especially with Epicaste-we must interliseate the second marriage, as without this the war of the brothers, and expedition against Thebes, so often mentioned in the Iliad, could not have taken place. Edipus dies king of Thebes: funeral games, to solemnize which
there came, among others, Polynices, with his wife Argeia, from Argos, are mentioned in the Iliad 23, 679, and (in the Scholia) by Hesiod the Boeotian. It appears, therefore, that even in the oldest form of the story, Polynices, laden with a curse for his merciless treatment of his blind father, fled to Argos, to escape the consequences of the malediction.

Passing on to the lyric peets, we find the not unimportant testimony of Corinna of Tanagra (Scholl. Eur. Phoen. 26), that Edipus delivered the land not only from the Sphinx, but also from the Teumessian fox, sent upon the Cadmeans as a punishment for some transgression. Thus he appears in the tradition of his country as a beneficent hero, whose destiny is hereby invested with deeper gloom. Pindar of Thebes, who (fry. inc. 62) mentions the alvcүнa $\pi a \rho \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu o v$
 and also in his Peens touches upon the oracle given to Laïus, brings out the bright and the dark side of the fortunes of the Labdacidæ-that family which, as Sophocles says, Ant. 593, was
 in his Epinicion for Theron of Agrigentum, whose descent was traced from Thersander, son of Polynices, $01.2,38 \mathrm{ff}$. In him first we find it expressly stated that it was the god of Pytho (so nearly connected with the poet himself) that warned Laïus. In other regards, the form of the tradition present to the mind of the poet is but obscurely intimated, as his subject leads him to single out only those points which set in a clear light the sudden vicissitudes of high prosperity and dire disaster in the destinies of the race:
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Here also, the $\mu \rho_{0} \rho \mu_{0} \rho$ viós, by a mysterious fatality, becomes the slayer of his father. As a punishment for this, the Erinys drives the sons to slay each other, as in the Odyssey the Erinys of Epicaste brings to Cdipus "full many sorrows." The marriage with his mother, the origin of his sons, their impiety towards their father; and his malediction of them, the poet leaves unmentioned, as contrary to the objects he has in view. In deducing the misfortunes of the Labdacidæ from the slaying of Laïus, without assigning the motive which brought upon him the wrath of Apollo, he seems, like the tragedians before Euripides,-who in his X $\rho$ v́rı $\pi \pi \circ$, handled this part of the mythus,-to have left out of view, as far as we can make out, a feature of the popular story. In this it was imputed to Laïus that, as the guest of Pelops, he carried off his beautiful son Chrysippus, and thereby was the first among the Greeks to set the example of
$\pi a \iota \delta \varepsilon \rho a \sigma \tau i a$. Upon this, Pelops imprecated upon him an accursed doom, which should extend to his posterity (Scholl. Eur. Phœen: 66, and the oracle cited above p. v). Because of this, Hera 乡vरia was wroth with him, and made his union with Jocasta unfruitful. In this we have one of the many legends which shew the corruption which follows upon the unnatural vice: because his love of Chrysippus was against nature, therefore the natural order of marriage is reversed, so that the blessing of wedlock becomes to the criminal pair a curse. The Pelopormesian tradition makes © Edipus likewise to have been enamoured of Chrysippus, or at least to interpose for his protection in his abduction, in doing which he kills his unknown father. Somewhat in this way Praxylla of Sicyon, cir. Ol. 82, seems to have treated the story.

This cycle of myths was first dramatized by Ætschylus in the
 $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i{ }^{i} \dot{\eta} \beta a \mathrm{~s}$, to which was appended the satyric drama $\Sigma \phi i \gamma \xi$. From the third piece it appears that Laïus, upon thrice consulting Apollo, obtained for response that should he beget a son, that son would bring destruction upon his house and upon Thebes. The sensual Jocasta entices him to contravene the command of the god; so CEdipus is born, who in Aschylus first, as far as we are informed, begets the four children by his own mother. Apollo, by whom the race is detested (Sept. 673), himself puts forth his hand to storm the seventh gate of the city, where the brother foes confront each other, Sept. 782. In Aschylus, Edipus's high good fortune, in consequence of the solution of the enigma, is extolled, 754 ff : but his catastrophe cannot have been so gradually developed, and with such consummate art,' as it is in Sophocles, who has bestowed rare skill and pains upon the portraiture and keeping of his hero in the whole procedure, step by step, of the discovery. Aschylus, on the other hand, spread out the whole mythic consequence of the destiny in three intimately connected actions, in order to represent the sway exercised over this family by its domestic Erinys, who brings old oracles of the gods into accomplishment.

Where Æschylus made his hero to have been brought up, and how to have come in collision with his father we are not informed. Certain it is, that the encounter in the Phocian schiste, and-what makes it awfully tragical-after consultation of the Delphian god, is Sophocles's own. For the sake of this, he transfers the incident to Phocis, on the road from Delphi to Thebes, and to a spot which still preserves its local features: for before him the whole scene was limited to the Bœotian territory. In /Aschylus, the fateful pass was in the neighbourhood of Potnie, which lay ten stadia from Thebes, on the road to Athens, by way of Platea. Potniæ was a seat of the Hotvád $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon_{\text {eac }}$, the Erinyes, who bear so ominous a part in the whole story. Forfeited to them from of old, it is upon their soil and domain, Cithæron, that (Edipus is exposed, and brought up somewhere in the neighbourhood, or else in Sicyon, likewise renowned for its cultus of the Ev $\mu \varepsilon v i d \in \varepsilon$. It was precisely in the neighbourhood of Cithæron that the elder tradition saw the fatal three ways and the schiste; as in fact the mythus was intimately connected with the sanctuaries of the

Chthonic deities round about ; nay, king Damasistratos, of Platæa was said to have given interment to Laius and his faithful servant Apollod. 3, 5, 8 ; Paus. 10, 5, 2. In Æschylus, the hero may have been on his way from the Isthmus to Thebes, in quest of his parents, while the father was moving southward, whether to some public games, or to one of the many Bootian oracles of Apollo.

The master-hand of Sophocles has made free use of the traits introduced into the story by his predecessors; and in his wondexful $\sigma \dot{v} \sigma \alpha \sigma \iota \leftrightharpoons \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$ he studiously enhances the element of contrast, so effectively, that the back-ground, as well as the dramatic action itself, is calculated, even in the most trivial points, to tell upon the fundamental idea which constitutes the unity of the piece. Upon comparing his representation with the other forms of the story, as handled by innumerable poets in his own time and subsequently, it is impossible not to see how thoughtfully he has drapt many of its features, and given a peculiar turn to others. We can point only to a few instances. Other poets make the exposed infant to have been found by shepherds, and brought up among them. Sophocles, for the sake of the contrast, and with a view to the magnificent a d $\alpha$ वvíports, invents that one shepherd gave him to the other, who in the sequel, at the very moment when he thinks to bring thie most joyful tidings, helps to bring the hideous truth to light. If in the earlier poets, the child is brought up either in Southern Boatia or in Sicyon, in Sophocles it is the proud maritime city Corinth whose king brings him up as his own son-and this in concert with his wife; whereas others, as Eur. Phoen. 29, make him to have been palmed upon Polybus by her. In other pọetical fictions, it was cast up in earnest as a reproach to the impetuous youth that he could not be the son of his alleged father Polybus : in Sophocles, it is a harmless joke that shatters his prosperity. Whereas he here slays his father, having the right entirely on his side, Euripides, on the contrary, assigns the act as the consequence of an overbearing temper. Also in the usual story, he, too, is in a chariot; in Sophocles he wanders on foot alone. If, in other poets, Creon's promises allure him to try his fortune upon the riddle, in Sophocles he unintentionally lights upon the Sphinx, hits the true solution, and
 discovery after such a length of time, the occasion which led to it, a calamity of the whole vation, which places the hero's nobility of mind in the strongest light-his impetuous zeal for the service of the god who has still thrust him from him, -all this is the invention of Sophocles, who has wrought it all up with such consummate skill, that, while every effect is the result of profoundest forecast and appreciation, all comes about in the most natural manner that can be conceived. In respect of the anagnorisis, as managed both by his predecessors, and by those who followed him, it is either by casual expressions dropt in the presence of Jocasta, or by identifying weapons taken from Laïus, or by the marks of the wounds on the ankles, or upon the decease of Polybus, and communications made in consequence by Periboea, that the recognition is brought about. The boring of the feet is a circumstance which rests merely upon OEdipus Tyr.
a popular interpretation of the name of the hero, and is then in various ways turned to account by the poets, mostly for the purpose of depicting the hard-beartedness of his parents: but the sense which the popular fiction originally meant to deposit in the name OiDítovs (ol ${ }^{\circ} a$ ) is that of the insipiens sapiens. Comp. note on v. 397.

Though the story itself prescribed for our poet the method of the dramatic treatment, we must not omit to take into account another point which has influenced his management of the subject. We mean the grave of Edipus, who was believingly revered as a tutelary hero in the native demos of Sophocles. The feeling, which our religious poet imbibed with his mother's milk, of awe for the cultas of his birth-place, constrained him to remodel the mythus in accordance with new poetic motives; since the migration of the hero from Thebes, and his reception in Attica, must from the first-even though the poet had no thought as yet of the second drama on this subjecthave been present to his mind. Hence as Tiresias, 417-455, intimates a future departure from Thebes, and Edipus himself, 1455 ff., clearly hints at his wonderful end, of course the hero to whose grave a blessing was attached, could not possibly be exhibited as one in any sort personally laden with sin ; and, in fact, in that second piece it is shown in all possible ways how, notwithstanding the horrors which send a shudder through us at the very name of Edipus, it was entirely just and right that he should be received while living, and be worshipped as a hero when dead. In that play, where a wondrous death is awarded to the hero in atonement for his disastrous life, the gods who have persecuted him make amends for their wrong, when once their reckoning with him is completed.

As to the date of the first production of the Edipus Tyrannus, it has been inferred, from the lively description of the pestilence, that the play was composed while the plague of Athens was yet recent, and with an intentional reference to that dire visitation. Further, on the score of fancied political allusions, it has been excogitated that the drama must have been brought out 01. 87, 3 ( 429 в c.), when the pestilence in fact was actually raging. For (we are told), under the mask of Edipus, our poet, in his aversion for the democracy, attacks Pericles, to whom, as we know, his extraction from the blood-stained Alcmæonids was made a reproach, and who now, as the mover of the Peloponnesian war, might seem to have provoked the plague which according to the old oracle ( $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{H}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathrm{E}}$ $\Delta \omega \rho \iota \alpha \kappa o ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon \mu о с ~ к а i ~ \lambda o t \mu o ̀ s ~ a ́ \mu ~ \mu u ́ r u \tilde{u})$ was therewith connected; who, moreover, like a true free-thinker, in his confident reliance on his own strength of mind, looked down upon religions cultus and oracles, etc., etc.

I should think, a Sophocles could not need to have a pestilence actually raging around him to furnish the colours for his poetical portraiture. From Iliad A. downwards, many poets had described the like. Further, I conceive Sophocles to be too humane to harass the feelings of his spectators at the Dionysian feast by serving up to them on the stage the daily misery by which they were surrounded at home. In fact, the inference would lie just the other way; and if the plague of Athens was actually anterior to the drama, we must
date this a long time subsequent; long enough to admit of the supposition that the poet might wish to revive a painfully pleasant memory of a time of by-gone trouble. But as to any reference to Pericles, the notion is altogether preposterous. It originated in a pure misconception of the character of the hero, and, instead of a Sophocles, gives us a spiteful malcontent. A poet, from head to foot, he lived and moved, with all the depth of his divine genius, in an ideal world of his own creation, elevated far above all paltry trading in politics ! As little does it deserve even the shadow of a thought that our play dates its origin cir. Ol. 91, because 863 ff . alludes to-the mutilation of the Hermes statues by Alcibiades! The truth is, that this drama will in all likelihood have been the first of the Theban cycle composed by Sophocles; it is probably anterior to the Antigone, brought out Ol. 84, 3,-a supposition which seems moreover to be confirmed by retrospective allusions in the latter drama.

It is incomprehensible that, according to the testimony of Dicæarchus of Messana, the Æschylean Philocles, son of Philopeithes, carried off the first prize over the head of Sophocles. Aristides,

 and this Philocles, so likewise Achæus of Eretria, Nicomachus, Xenocles, Diogenes, the younger Carcinus, Theodectes of Phaselis, and other dramatic poets, worked up the same tragic material. We do not know what alterations these poets severally introduced : even the plan of Euripides's play is obscure. Senecca's tragedy of this name is a frosty, vapid piece of rhetorical display. Moreover, even the comic poet Eubulus composed an Oiditov, as Plato did a $\Lambda$ átoc.) It has been ingeniously thought by many, that the customary title Oioímovg Tvoapyog, is meant to designate this
 totle in the Poetics manifestly regards our drama as a pattern tragedy. Sophocles, of course, contented himself with the simple OIUIIOY : instead of the designation $\tau$ úpav ${ }^{\text {g }}$, borrowed from 514,925 , others
 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$, as it is said in the $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\partial} \theta \varepsilon \sigma t s$.
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## OIDIIIOY $\Sigma$ TYPANNOミ．

## OIDIПOY
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OI. $\check{\omega}$ тaîdes oiktpoí, $\gamma \nu \omega \tau a ̀ ~ к o u ̉ k ~ a ̆ \gamma \nu \omega \tau a ́ ~$

## $\mu 0 t$






























## KPERN.













 форӑя






в 2













 фиүш́v，











 бкотеп〉









 кЕโข










## XOPOZ.

 x

 $\pi_{a}^{6} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$,



 фа́аа.
 'A $\begin{array}{r}\text { áva, } \\ \text { an }\end{array}$
(àvтtorgoфท̀ á.)

 Өá $\sigma \sigma \varepsilon$,
каì Фoîßov ékaßólov, ì


 $\nu \nu \nu$.






 öрขะv,



 à ${ }^{\text {voíkt }} \mathrm{c}^{*}$




 à ${ }^{\text {人 }}$ кáv,
 $\delta \omega \nu$
$\phi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \iota \mu \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota$ ßónтоя ả $\nu \tau \iota a ́ \zeta \omega \nu$,






200 то́v, む̃ тu
á $\sigma \tau \rho a \pi a ̈ \nu$ кра́án

 $\lambda \omega \nu$





oivëтa Bák Хov عűıov,











 тòv aùтó $\chi \varepsilon \rho a, \mu \grave{~} \sigma \omega \omega \pi a ́ \tau \omega$ тò $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ кย!


















 ن́ $\mu \ddot{\imath} \nu$ ס̀























 єฺ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ ．





 סокєี่．
 фра́баи．







XOP．кaì $\mu \grave{\eta \nu} \tau \alpha^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}$ äd $\lambda a$ кшфà кaì $\pi a \lambda a i ̂$荡 $\pi \eta$ ．





 фо $\kappa$ є七．


 300 OI. $\tilde{\omega} \pi a ́ v \tau a \nu \omega \mu \bar{\omega} \nu$ Tє $\rho \varepsilon \sigma \sigma^{\prime} a, \delta i \delta \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$
















## TEIPEEIAS.







$$
\tau \varepsilon \sigma u,
$$

$\kappa \alpha ̉ \gamma \omega े ~ \delta \iota o i ́ \sigma \omega ~ \tau о \grave{\mu} \mu o ́ v, \hat{\eta} \nu$ द̀ $\mu$ oì $\pi i \theta \eta$.





OI. $\mu \hat{\eta}, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \varepsilon \bar{\omega} \nu, \phi \varrho о \nu \bar{\omega} \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ à $\pi о \sigma \tau \rho a \phi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$, غ̀ $\pi \varepsilon \grave{1}$



## $\pi$ тот


 ย̇ข

 таӥт＇




 ธெ $\mu$ vี




















 Téxplı．
 $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \iota \nu$.
 $\mu a ́ \theta \omega$ ．
 $\gamma^{\varepsilon I L}$ ；
 фра́бо⿱亠䒑















 $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \in \mathfrak{i}$


 бoí.







































 ß








 oủk $\varepsilon \grave{c}$ ö $\lambda_{\varepsilon} \theta \rho o \nu$; oủxì $\theta$ ä $\sigma \sigma o \nu$; oủ $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$






 тй $\nu$;


 हैфus;






 बòv















 $\pi$ є́rọa
( $\left.\sigma \tau \rho \cdot a^{\prime}.\right)$

$\dot{\omega} \rho a \nu \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta \omega \nu$
іт $\tau \omega \nu \sigma \theta \varepsilon \nu \alpha \rho \omega ́ \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \nu$
468 фv $\gamma \underset{a}{a} \pi \delta \dot{\delta} \alpha \nu \omega \mu \tilde{a} \nu$.



472 Кच̆рєя ảขатла́кптоє.
[(àvтıбтৎ.a.)




v̈入av à $\nu a ́ ~ т ’ a ̉ \nu \tau \rho a ~ к а i ̀ ~$

478 тeroaiog ó raūpoc,


$\mu a \nu \tau \varepsilon a^{\circ}$ тà $\delta^{\prime}{ }^{\text {ásì }}$
$\zeta_{\omega \nu \tau а} \pi є \rho \iota \pi о \tau a ̈ \tau a \iota^{-}$









 סaıs

 тà $\beta$ ротш̄ע (ảvтьбто. $\beta^{\prime}$.)
 $\phi$ ¢́petat,

504 тарамєíభєєєข ảขทŋ́p.
 $\mu \varepsilon \mu ф о \mu \tilde{v} \nu \omega \nu$ ầ $\nu$ калафаîn $\nu$.
508




 vos
катทүореї $\mu$ оu тòv тúpavvov Oioíínouv,






 т $\alpha^{\prime} \chi^{\prime}$ äv

 Mats ốt

 rivi.
 фоєข̀̀s
катทүорвїто тойтік $\lambda \eta \mu$ тойто́ $\mu о v$;
 où $\begin{gathered}\text { ón } \\ \text {. }\end{gathered}$

















 żoũ.
 какós.












 vol.



 $\lambda a s$.

 $\sigma а \mu \varepsilon \nu$.
 тád́
 $\phi \lambda \omega$.
 $\nu \bar{\omega} \nu$.
 бонаь.


 oov





 $\mu \omega \nu$;

 тоs;































 Хœóvos ठícaıov ăv










KPE. ถ̈т


OI.





 OI. $\tilde{\omega} \pi o ́ \lambda ı c, \pi o ́ l ı c$.

 ס $\rho \bar{\omega}$



## IOKAETH.











$$
k \bar{\omega} s
$$










 $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma а \nu$ катаїєбац.

XOP.
oída.

OI.




 ( $\sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$ )




$\pi \rho о с а ́ \psi \varepsilon \iota \tau о і ̈ \varsigma ~ \pi a ́ \lambda a \iota ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma ф \underset{\iota}{\nu} \nu$.
 ขєiv,



 оัтаע



KPE. ' торєи́бо $\mu$ аи,



$$
\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega ;
$$

(ảvтıสт@. $a^{\prime}$.)

 каі̀ то̀ $\mu \eta{ }^{\eta} \nu \delta \iota \iota о \nu$.
IO. á $\mu ф о і ̈ \nu ~ a ́ \pi ' ~ a v ̀ r o i ̈ v ; ~$
XOP.
10 . vaíגı.

кaì тís ท̆ท 入óүos;




 (ávт८бтр. $\beta^{\prime}$.)





 тот




OI．фоvéa $\mu \varepsilon$ ф $\eta \sigma i$ ムaîov каӨвбтávat．
IO．aủzòs $\xi v \nu \varepsilon i \delta \omega ́ s, ~ \hat{\eta} \mu a \theta \omega ̀ \nu$ ả $\lambda \lambda o v \pi a ́ \rho a ;$
 غ̇ாsi


غ̀ $\mu$ ой＇тáкоvбоv，каì $\mu a ́ 0$＇，ov゙ขєк＇є̇бтí $\sigma о \iota$



















 $\gamma^{\varepsilon \ell}$ ；



 тá ${ }^{\prime}$ os ;


 $\theta$ ús ;
 $\chi^{\theta}$ ovòs








OI. o’"

 $\pi о \overline{\sigma^{\prime}}$, ảva $\xi$.






 nu
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 ầ oũ̀
















 каítol vlข oủ кєi้ós $\gamma^{\prime}$ ó Sv́atทvós тотє катย์ктаv', ả $\lambda \lambda$ ' aù








 vi $\psi i ́ \pi т о \delta \in \varsigma$, ov̉paviav

$\pi a \tau \eta ̀ \rho ~ \mu o ́ v o s, ~ o v ่ \delta ์ ́ ~ v \iota \nu$
Өvaтà фúaıs ảvépov


 บ์л £ŋл $\lambda \eta \sigma \theta \ddot{\eta} \mu a ́ \tau \alpha \nu, \quad\left(a ̉ \nu \tau เ \sigma \tau \rho \cdot a^{\prime}.\right)$
















 pevécu;
 $\beta \omega \nu, \quad$ (גेขтเбт $\rho . \beta^{\prime}$ )








 тà $\theta$ zía.














## АГГЕло玉.













 тáxa

 $\pi \lambda \bar{\eta} \nu ;$


 ${ }_{\xi}^{\prime} \tau \iota$;

 gov;











入ล̆ข

 vov.
 $\phi \bar{\omega}$,

OI. $\pi o ́ \tau \varepsilon \rho a ~ \delta o ́ \lambda o \iota \sigma \iota \nu, ~ \hat{\eta} ~ \nu o ́ \sigma o v ~ \xi v \nu a \lambda \lambda a \gamma n ̃: ; ~$




 б̀̀ $\delta^{\prime}$ घis rà $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s, ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \phi o ß o v ̃ ~ \nu u \mu \phi \varepsilon v ́ \mu a \tau a . ~$






 та́фо.


 $\mu \varepsilon ́ \tau a$.






D 2




 pov.
 ä áa ${ }^{2}$,

 АГ. каі $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ т о и ̈ \tau ' ~ a ̉ ф ı к o ́ \mu \eta \nu, ~ o ̈ \pi \omega \varsigma ~$


 סpäc.

 $\lambda \varepsilon ı$.


 $\beta_{\varepsilon i}$.
 $\mu \omega \nu$;
 Équv;

 $\mu \varepsilon ;$
 ıloov.

 غ̇ $\gamma \omega{ }^{\omega}$.

 $\beta$ wiv.
 $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \gamma \alpha$;

 $\delta \omega \mathrm{s}$;



> mous


 хоо́ขч.
 ขets;





 ф̣̆́áбov.
 фооукї.
 тихй $\omega$;











 аं $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \rho \bar{u} \nu$,



OI. $\gamma$ ข́val, voहïs èkeìvov, oैทтเv' àptíws
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 бафй́s．



1068





 áypías












 $\mu \eta \nu$ id $\rho \iota s$, ，（ $\sigma \tau \rho$, ）
 モモテel Tàv au゙pıov

1092 каi т ¢оофòv каі̀ $\mu \eta \tau \mathfrak{e} \rho^{\prime}$ aü $\xi \in \iota \nu$ ，
 roïs è̉noïs ruẹávขos．





 $1104 \varepsilon^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \theta^{\circ}$ o Ku入入ávaç àvá $\sigma \sigma \omega \nu$,















 $\pi \omega \nu$


## ӨEPAIISN.



 $\mu \eta \nu$.

 mos.
1128 OI. тòv ä้ $\mu a \theta \dot{\omega} v$;
 $\gamma$ ELS;
 $\pi \omega \varsigma$;














 реїs;
 $\nu$ º́s.





 $\nu \in$ ย.
 Ępкic.
 aikion.
 $\rho$ as;
 $\mu a \theta_{\text {Eiv }}$;
1156 OI. тòv $\pi$ аï̛"

 кov.
 $\mu \mathrm{ar}$.

 $\pi a ́ \lambda a \iota$.



 $\pi \lambda$ 亿́ov.



 $\gamma E \iota$ 。




ӨE. $\mu a ́ \lambda \imath \sigma \tau^{\prime}, a^{2} \nu a \xi$.
OI. us $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ t i ́ ~ \chi p e i ́ a s ; ~$
ӨE. . $\dot{\omega} \varsigma ~ a ̀ \nu a \lambda \omega ́ \sigma a \iota \mu i ́ v t \nu$.
OI. $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \circ ข ̃ \sigma a \tau \lambda \eta ́ \eta \omega \nu$;
1176 ӨE.
$\theta \varepsilon \sigma \varphi a ́ \tau \omega \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ о้кขџ какผ̃v.
OI. $\pi$ oli $\omega v$;








 oīs t'

1186 XOP. 'Iì $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon a i$ ß $\wp о \tau \bar{\omega} \nu, \quad$ ( $\left.\sigma \tau \rho . a^{\prime}.\right)$

rís $\gamma$ áp, тís ảvท̀o $\pi \lambda$ и́ov

$\hat{\eta}$ тобойтоข öбоข סัокยі้
1192 каì סóĞ $\alpha \nu \tau^{\prime}$ àток $\lambda i \imath v a \iota ;$

 ßротй̀


 $\beta$ ov,



хш́pą $\pi$ v́gүos àvéorac.

 Өńßaıó̀và ává $\sigma \sigma \omega v$.

ris äraıs ảypiats, ris èv tóvous



аข์тоऽ ท̄ดкєбєข
таıঠì каі̀ $\pi a \tau \varrho \grave{ }$

 $\rho \varepsilon \iota \nu, \tau a ́ \lambda a \varsigma$,



тєкขойขта каї тєкขоข́ $\mu є \nu 0 \nu$.

$\varepsilon i \theta_{\varepsilon} \sigma^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \theta_{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon$
$\mu \eta ́ т о \tau^{\prime}$ દióónav.


 ${ }_{\varepsilon}^{2} \kappa \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \theta \varepsilon \nu$
каї катекоі́ $\mu \eta \sigma а$ тои̉цо̀ข оै $\mu \mu$.

## ЕョАГГЕАО之.










 $\mu \grave{~ o u ̀ ~}$




 $\tau$ t̀̀ $\mu \mathrm{e} \boldsymbol{\nu}$






 $\kappa а \lambda \varepsilon і ̈ ~ т o ̀ v ~ ท ̄ o ̛ \eta ~ \Lambda a ́ i o v ~ \pi a ́ \lambda a \iota ~ \nu є к \rho o ́ v, ~$























 ä $\rho a g$ है















 какои ;

















## 




OI. alaĭ, aiat̆,



 $\mu \nu \nu$.
OI. ì бкórou ( $\sigma \tau \rho . a^{\prime}$ )


1316 oil $\mu \mathrm{ot}$,


 $\sigma \iota$
 OI. ì̀ фídos, (à $\nu \tau \tau \sigma \tau \rho, a^{\prime}$.)


$1324 \phi \varepsilon \bar{v} \phi \varepsilon \bar{v}$.


 oàs

 ( $\sigma \tau \rho \cdot \beta^{\prime}$ )





 $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta$ по оо




є̀ $\chi$ оо́татоv $\beta \varrho о т$ ти̃v.


 (ảvтıбт@. $\beta^{\prime}$.)


1352 тóte $\gamma$ à $\varrho$ ầ $\forall \alpha \nu \grave{v} \nu$


 $\nu \nu \mu$ фíos



 тoüт' '̇̀ $\lambda a \chi$ ' Oỉ̀ímous.
 ка入 $\omega \varsigma$.

 $\sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v a$,






























































 oac,



 в̈тои

 $\theta$ вou



 $\mu \varepsilon \nu$




 $\mu a t$,





















1468 ' $\theta^{\prime}$ ', $\tilde{\omega}^{\nu} \nu \mathrm{G} \xi$,

 тí фпиі́;


 $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega \tau \iota ;$
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KРЕ．$\quad$ тávza үạ̀ кацৎӵ ка入á．


 KPE．$\quad \tau \bar{u} \theta \in o u ̄ \mu$ aitriç סóviv．


1520 OI．中ทุ่ร тádo oṽv；
 на́тทン．
 KPE．$\quad \sigma \tau \varepsilon \bar{\chi} \chi \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \nu u \nu, \tau \in \in \nu \omega \nu \delta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \phi о \bar{v}$.
 KPE．$\quad \pi a ́ v \tau a ~ \mu \grave{~} \beta$ ßovi $\lambda_{0}$ м кратєīv．

 Oidítous öde，







## NOTES.

The fatherly address $\dot{\oplus}$ técva is followed by way of explanation -the rather, as the assembled suppliants are partly men in years - by the honorable addition of their descent from the ancient lord of the land: a highly gratifying encomium, just as the Athenians liked to hear themselves called K $\varepsilon \kappa \rho о \pi i \delta a t$, 'E $\rho \in \chi \theta \varepsilon i \delta a t, \pi a i ̃ \delta \varepsilon S$






 ка日ฑ̈бӨa九 Ëסpav, sessionem sedere, cf. 10. 13. 15. 20. 32. The similarity of sound (cf. $\begin{gathered}\text { ówcog, } \theta \tilde{\omega} \kappa o g, ~ \theta a ̃ к o g) ~ a n d ~ a n ~ o b s c u r e ~ p e r-~\end{gathered}$ ception of a cognate meaning, led earlier poets to use $\theta$ oás $\varepsilon \tau \nu$ in the sense of $\theta a \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu, \theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota \nu$ : thus Empedocles ap. Sext. Empir. 218,
 - v̈rtvog $\theta \circ \alpha \dot{c} \zeta \omega \nu$.
3. The ix' $\tau \alpha l$, in token of their placing themselves under the protection of the gods, bore in their hands laurel or olive boughs wound round with fillets of wool ( $\sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \mu a \tau a$, $\sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \phi \eta$, velamina, cf. 913 ; hence ésóó $\sigma \tau \in \pi \tau 0 \iota \kappa \lambda$ ádou in Eschylus). These were placed on the altars or images of the gods, and were removed when the desired object, was attained, the protection afforded, the prayer ful-

 sons of the irérat, as in Virg. An. 7, 154, ramis Palladis velati.
4. Cf. 186.
6. Edipus inquires, in the first place, what is the meaning of the inetria assembled in front of his palace, then what is the occasion of the sacrifices ascending before his eyes, and of the prayers and lamentations resounding in his ears from the city around the Acropolis. Both these points are included in $\ddot{4}$, and to both the priest makes answer v. 19 ff . Hence 4, 5, though in point of form it comes in merely as a remark, must in point of matter be taken interrogatively.
 more pointed: not from messengers, who are others, on whom therefore there is no depending, as they may be mistaken, or may give a false report. Otherwise Aj. 516. Phil. 38.
8. $\delta-\kappa a \lambda o v \mu \epsilon v o s, 1$, of all men, called the famous Edipus,
cf．40．1207．1380． 1524 ff．Edipus speaks these words not in proud self－consciousness－he is only reminding them of the general voice of the people－but in deep compassion for the sufferings of Thebes，and in the bitter consciousness of the vast disparity between his lauded greatness，and the little that he，after all，can do to help in the distress．As，however，it must needs be a comfort to the inérat，to be assured that their illustrious ruler is interested for them，so to the spectator it is a trait of tragic irony，that，at the very turining－point of his $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \nu$ óf $\eta \rho$ ，he calls this to mind．

9 ff ．The question，put generally in $\mathbf{v}$ ．2，is here addressed more particularly to the spokesman of the ikeral：Speak，in what con－ dition have ye here set you down，in fear，or already stricken by calamity？（speak）assured that I will be ready to do all I can to help you．The object of a icereia may be twofold；either the warding off of impending peril，or deliverance from actually present calamity：hence тivt трóтw（ $\pi$ w̃s $\delta \iota a \kappa \varepsilon i \mu \varepsilon \nu o t)$ is more exactly defined by the epexegetic participles：cf．Plato
 $\kappa \rho \dot{o} \quad \nu \tau \in \mathrm{~g}$ ，ef．Krüger Gr．59，1．A．7．Instead of the antithesis to סelocivtes that one expects to follow，viz．グ $\delta \eta$ ra甘óvitg or áva－
 having been fain to accommodate yourselves，as man needs must，to a misfortune which has already reached you．Cf．©d．C． 509，otépzov，perfer．Some copyists，not taking this meaning of the word，have＇foolishly altered it to $\sigma \tau \in \xi \zeta \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon g$ ，which appears already in Par．A．

13．For I must indeed be hard－hearted，did I not com－ passionate a iketeia such as this（of helpless children and old men）．For $\mu \dot{\eta}$ óv катotkrei $\rho \omega \nu$ ，I have written with Par．A．and other good authorities，$\mu \dot{\eta}$ к $\alpha \tau$ ．For as one could not say $\delta v \sigma a \lambda$－
 $\rho \omega \nu$ ．Comp．the exactly similar passage 76 f ．（For the $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ov，often wrongly put by the copyists－ef．on 220－the only possible way of justifying it here，would be to assume that the ou is appended merely by way of external correlation to the negative implied in $\delta v \sigma a ́ \lambda \gamma \eta r o s$ $=$ оúv عuá入 y $\eta$ тos．）

14－57．The Priest，answering v． 15 the first，and 19 ff ．the second question，explains，that those most in need of succour have confidingly come to Edipus＇s domestic altars，while the rest of the population（cf． 182 ff ．）has formed processions to the other sanctua－ міев．
 antithesis in the Priest＇s thoughts，unexpressed，is＂But learn also concerning the rest：＂while in 19 ，io $\delta^{\circ}$ äd ${ }^{3}$ o $\phi \tilde{u} \lambda o v$ is opposed（cross－wise）to the parties mentioned immediately before as forming the insreia to Edipus＇s palace［i．e．in the first the form

 $\dot{a} \gamma$ ．$\theta a k \varepsilon i]$ ．Similar inversions in the members of antitheses are frequent in Homer，cf．II．i． 20 ；xxiii． 635 ．Od．xvi． 336 ff．i． 146. Infra， 320 f．
16. The emphasis falls on tois oois opposed to àyopaírı, 20 f.,
 Edipus's palace ; above all, of Zeus 'Epreĩos, Apollo 'A $\gamma \boldsymbol{y}$ vev́s ( 919 f.), then perhaps of Artemis (Esch. Sept. 449) and of Pallas (Ant. 1183 f.), which were the principal deities of Thebes, 159 ff .187 ff .
17. The metaphor rests on extension of the sense in which $\nu$ vooooi, infantes, is customary with the Tragedians, Eur. Heracl. 10.


 $\gamma \varepsilon \iota \nu o ́ c$, ef. Phil. 185 ff .266. Aj. 1017, infra, 1112. Similarly Virgil, madida oum veste gravatus.
18. The assembly consists of boys, of chosen young men, and aged priests, as Homer, Il. ix. 575, describes a similar solemn procession to Meleager, when he in anger kept himself aloof from the


 (Žv̀s 'A yopaĩas in Thebes, Paus. ix. 25.3) supply oi $\delta_{\varepsilon} \tilde{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \quad \theta \varepsilon \omega \tilde{\nu}$. For oióz $\tau^{\prime} y^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \omega \nu$, for the sake of agreement, we must read oif $\delta^{\prime} y^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \omega^{\prime} \omega$ (attested by a good authority).
20. In the different markets of the city (one known to Pausanias, in the Kadmeia, another mentioned by Xenophon, Hell. v. 2, 29, in opposition to the Kadmeia, which latter, according to v. 161, was situated in the lower city, north-east from the Acropolis) in which the $\theta$ eoì á ${ }^{2}$ opaïol, Zeus, 'Hermes, Athene, Artemis (161) had altars or tenples: moreover at both the temples of Pallas, and at the Ismenion; as it was the custom, in time of trouble, to

 worshipped under several names in and about Thebes, as "O $0 \kappa \alpha$,
 cannot be defined : one of them may be that built by Cadmus to "Oyкa, Fisch. Sept. 483. Paus. ix. 12, 2, in the south of the Kadmeia close by the Oncæan gate. Every Athenian, however, would be reminded by the $\delta \iota \pi \lambda$ õ̃ vaoí here, of his own citadel-goddess (Modiás and Parthenos), whom the patriotic poet here also purposely puts foremost in the enumeration; ef. 159, and on Phil. 134.
 ' $\mathbf{E} \mu \pi v \rho о \mu \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon$ ia was practised, as in Olympia, Herod. viii. 134. Antig. 1005 f., lay outside the Homolöian gate. From Sophocles's expression, it appears that the $\mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \iota c$ Ismenos was thought to be buried in this sanctuary of Apollo, whereas, according to the tradition elsewhere, the name of the river Ismenos was derived from the son of Apollo and Melia, or of Amphion and Niobe. (If orooís could
 Paus. ix. 11, 5, where $\mu a \nu \tau \iota \kappa \grave{j} \alpha \pi \dot{\partial} \kappa \lambda \eta \delta o ́ v \omega \nu$ was exercised, might be available here. But then 'I $\sigma \mu \eta \nu$ oṽ could not be éxplained.)
23. The city is thought of as a living being, carried away and overwhelmed by an inundation ( $\phi$ oivios $\sigma$ d́ 10 g, because of the deaths on every side). Hence this image underlies v. 46, 50 f . Cf. 174.

25．The land is dying in all its vital powers，while the fruits wither away，the herds fall off，and the women are either barren or give birth to lifeless abortions，ef．171，254， 270 f ．These threefold calamities are the standing expreasion of the wrath of the gods： so according to Philostratus，Vit．Apoll．p．51，21，ì $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$ ov $\sigma v \nu \varepsilon \chi \dot{\omega} p \varepsilon$


 Hence in wishes and imprecations we have often the same formula，e．g．


 Simon．Amorg．6，102，$\lambda \iota \mu o ̀ s ~ \delta v g \mu \varepsilon v \eta ̀ s ~ \theta \varepsilon o ́ s: ~ i n ~ P h i l o x e n o s, ~ \gamma a ́ \mu O g ~$
 and so is all that in its kind is conceived as being great and mighty in its operation．This $\theta$ zós is called $\pi v \rho \phi$ ó $\rho$ oc，because it is his work that aíi mvoai vecúvv кaiovтo Өauetaí，Il．i．52．Cf． 190 f．
 1，3，30，macies et nova febrium Terris incubuit cohors．With èaúveє，agitat，cf．Aj．499， 743.

29．The whole city is called $\delta \hat{\omega} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ Kafueiov（the Thebans，v．1， being collectively reckoned as members of the Cadmean family）as a great house，over against the $\delta \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ of Hades，who is called $\mu$ é ${ }^{\text {gas }}$ in contrast to the rvoфóoog $\theta$ عóg．The expression $\pi \lambda o v \tau i \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha, ~ s e e m s ~$ to allude to ח入ovir $\omega \nu$ ，cf．on 70.
，31．iooúpevov depends on крivovisg，34．The thought，Edipus not put upon a par with a god，serves for rhetorical elevation of the following $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \bar{\rho} \omega \bar{\nu} \delta \dot{\xi} \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau 0 \nu \mathrm{ff}$ ．，and at the same time explains the unusual circumstance of a inería to the abode of a man．

34．бuнфорail Biov，ßewтıкai бuvтvxiar，Schol．Saıцóvшv ซuva入－入ayai，extraordinary dispensations of the gods，as the Sphinx before， and the pestilence now，ef． 38.

35．$\mu \circ \lambda \omega \nu$ ，although not even a Theban but $\xi \xi \nu 0$ ．Otherwise 396.
 pounded as an oracle the riddle she had learnt of the Muses，
 cf．Eur．Phosn，1545．Edipus delivered Thebes from the tribute paid to the Sphinx；for，until her riddle was solved，she seized and devoured one Theban on each occasion，whence Æischylus calls


37．Edipus，before the solution，had neither casually gathered any thing on the part of the Thebans as to the nature of the
 purposely enlightened him（ $\mathbf{6} \delta \delta \delta \delta a \chi \theta$ eí $)$ ）．Cf． 398.

38．＂By divine assistance＂－and this the priest puts emphati－ cally prominent：yet is Edipus all the while an $\bar{x} \chi \theta \rho \circ \delta \alpha i \mu \omega \nu$ ！ Note the tragic irony．

40．Cf．8．Often we have $x \alpha i \nu \tilde{v} \nu$ ．or $\nu \tilde{v} \nu \tau \varepsilon$ where we expect кai kai vũv，and even now，the simple ṽ̃v being strongly ac－ cented，cf． 427.
 respond inversely with $\dot{\nu} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ and $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa y \quad \theta \varepsilon o \tilde{v}, \tilde{v} .37 \mathrm{f}$. The divine suggestion is also distinguished by the form of expression

44. To men approved (by deeds) there is also the best



46. Bporâv áptotos shews how highly not only the крáros of Edipus, 40, but also his ajpet $\dot{\eta}$ is extolled by the Thebans.
47. Instead of following up the vûv $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$ outright with the opposite, the priest euphemistically couches it in the milder form of a wish


48. троиך $\theta$ iac in inferior copies, false. The priest takes for granted that CEdipus has the power, and exhorts him only to shew a resolute readiness to help; and Edipus also in his reply goes to this point, that he has done all $\pi \rho o \theta \dot{v} \mu \omega \mathrm{c}$, and will continue to do so.
 ${ }_{0} \mu \mu \mu$. From the original form $\mu \varepsilon \mu \nu \eta о i \mu \eta \nu$ comes, according to the Ionic law, $\mu \varepsilon \mu \nu \varepsilon \varphi^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ (as кєктєч' $\mu \eta \nu$ ), Il. xxiii. 361 ; Attic $\mu \varepsilon \mu \nu \Psi^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ (as кєкrч́ $\mu \eta \nu$ ) Xen. Cyr. i. 6, 3. Anab. i. 7, 5. The conjunctive $\mu \varepsilon \mu \nu \omega ́ \mu \varepsilon \theta a$ preferred by some, ne sinas nos meminisse, is too like a threat, therefore incompatible with the reverence shewn by the priest.



52. ópvi日l alбiu, fausta avi, a phrase not so common in Greek :
 59 and LXII. Meinek. The verses which I have bracketed, as disturbing the order of the thoughts, and startling in point of expression, seem to be an ancient variation to 35 ff .
 on Phil. 26. ©Ed. C. 586.


 have sufficed, but Sophocles, by combining both expressions, brings more vividly before our senses the dreariness of the desolation : as
 Aj. 464. Phil. 31. A similar thought in Xen. Cyr. iv. 4, 5, oiкоข $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \eta$


58. Even in its style and phraseology, Edipus's speech bears the impress of the lively emotion and deep concern under which he speaks. $\gamma v \omega \tau$ d̀ koủk ä $ᅱ v \omega \tau$ á $\mu$ ou is said with strong emphasis, in Homeric fashion (cf. Il. iii. 59. vi. 333. Hes. 'Theog. 551, $\gamma \nu \tilde{\omega}{ }_{\rho}{ }^{\prime}$ ovid' $\dot{\eta} \not\} v o i \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu)$, cf. 1230.
60. Instead of letting vocoûvtes complete itself formally with ov

a more suitable turn of expression．For the spectator the words contain this by－meaning：None of them all is so sick（ $\nu \dot{0} \sigma \hat{k}$ ）as （Edipus，i．e．so near to misfortune，cf．1061，or none fallen into such a $\sin$ as his，cf．©d．C．1065．

 $\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \nu$ ．
65．ข̃ $\pi v \varphi$ єv̌రovta，carelessly sunk in deep sleep，as $\phi v \gamma \tilde{\eta}$




68．бкотติv єṽpıбкov，see on Phil． 282 ；laotv with reference to ขのォєiv，v． 60 。
 logy of $\Pi v \theta \omega$ ，whereas the name is usually derived from the $\pi \dot{u} \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ of the dragon．The poets are particularly fond of this sort of pun－ ning allusion in proper names，cf．30，919．Ed．C．44．El．6．Aj．




 whatever kind；by going to work in what way：so Phil．








74．той єiк．тépa，secus quam consentaneum erat ex mea opinione．His restless state of mind depicts itself in the accumu－ lation of words，cf． 289.

78．The priest，to whom，towards the close of OEdipus＇s speech， the boys，whose young eyes have made out Creon in the distance， have whispered that he is approaching，finds comfort and hope of deliverance at once in CEdipus＇s readiness to obey whatever the god may enjoin，and in Creon＇s appearing．

80．Ėv túx Yé $\uparrow \varphi$ ，with some sort of happy response how－



 joyful tidings）${ }^{8} \beta \eta$ ．Those who had gone to consult an oracle re－ turned home laurel－crowned，when they had received a happy response．Fabius Pictor relates，Liv．xxiii，11，se jussum a templi （Delphioi）antistite，sicut coronatus laurea oorona et orack－ lum adisset et remb divinam fecisset，ita coronatum navem ascendere neo ante deponere eam quam Romam pervenisset．
 （i入ns）relates to the sacred Delphian laurel，Parnasia Delphica
laurus, which was distinguished according to Plin. N. H. 15, 30, maximis baccis atque e ciridi rubentibus.
84. Edipus, in his restless eagerness, thus calls aloud to Creon while yet at a distance, cf. 1110 f .
85. Cf. 69.
87. Creon answers evasively, because he prudently thinks it advisable to communicate the oracle to CEdipus alone, that in so serious a matter the king may examine it for himself, and that the guilty may not perhaps be able to save himself by flight. He replies quite generally: good tidings: for I assure you, if even that which is troublesome (the difficult, and after such a length of time, precarious task of finding out the murderer) should come to happy issue, that then the oracle is in all ways a happy one. For Apollo does not enjoin the Thebans a heavy penance or sacrifice. The play of words in rúxol, ev̉ruxeiv, is meant to put expectation on the stretch. (Others, less simply, understand $\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{a} \boldsymbol{a}_{S}$ or $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda ı \nu$ as the subject to $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$ ع $\dot{v} \tau v \chi \varepsilon i \nu$. Others take $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau a$ for the subject, that all will be well, cf. Aj. 263. The usual interpunction after $\delta \dot{v} \sigma \phi \circ \rho$ ' is false, since $\delta \dot{v} \sigma \phi \circ \rho a$ cannot be connected

 second member is attached immediately to $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{2} \tau \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu \mathrm{os} \varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon i \nu$. Dobree wrongly, $\sigma \tau \varepsilon i \chi \omega \nu$.
93. Edipus, in his lively concern for the inhabitants of Thebes, from whom his good conscience will keep nothing secret, insists upon an immediate public communication. Connect $\tau \dot{o} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \delta \varepsilon \pi \in \varepsilon \theta o s$
 $\pi \in \nu \theta o s$, than even the grief for mine own life, if so be I must put that to the hazard.
96. Ẻュфavŵs (106, $\sigma \alpha \phi \tilde{s}$ ), whereas, on other occasions, the
 Prom. 664 ff. For Creon's not at once speaking out about the murder of Laius, the only reason is, that the poet has chosen to give the exposition in the livelier form of question and answer.


 place the $\mu i ́ a \sigma \mu \alpha$ é̀ $\lambda a \dot{v} v \varepsilon \iota \nu$.

 $\xi u \mu \phi$. (of what sort is the mishap?) the answer is $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ ró $\delta$ al $\mu a$

101. т' $\delta \epsilon$, that meant by the god, as might be gathered from what was said. But at the same time $\tau 6 \delta^{\prime}$ alpa might by the spectators be pointed at EEdipus, the son of Laius, as also, 102, Tทivסє túx $\eta v$ might be referred to the speaker himself : ${ }^{\circ} 5$, as in 97 , points clearly to the oracle of Phoebus.
105. Cf. Phil. 250. oủ-m $\boldsymbol{\pi} \omega$, of course here not nondum, but by no means, not at all, a usage which Sophocles seems to have

 OEdipus Tyr.
 $\lambda \alpha ́ \theta \eta r a t$. This makes it unadvisable to read (against the MSS.) $\pi o v$ or $\pi \omega c$.
107. тov̀s aủtodytas tıvás, the murderers be who they may,

 951, and Plato's $\tau \grave{\partial} \tau i$, in opposition to $\tau \grave{o}$ öv. Similarly, Plautus Epid. 2, 3, 7, quam ostendann fidicinem aliquam conducticiam. The conjecture rıvá is erroneous, if only for this reason, viz., because rivás, for the spectator who is cognizant of the circumstances, admits of being taken in a different sense, $i$. $e$, that Apollo pointed at certain individuals. The plural, with its generality, leaves it in the dark (as often in oracles, ef. 308) whether the murder was the act of one person or of several. Cf. 137, 366, 1184.
 $\tau \mu \omega \rho \in \operatorname{Liv}$, as 140 .
108. Properly the meaning is $\tau \tilde{\eta} s^{\delta} \delta \varepsilon \sigma v \mu \phi 0 \rho \tilde{c} c^{*}{ }^{2} \chi \nu 0 \varsigma$, as 历sch.
 aI $\mu \alpha \pi a \tau \rho o ́ s$, and the like. The facile conjecture $\pi 0 v \tilde{v}^{\pi} 0 \theta^{\prime}$, is to be rejected, since here also the $\tau 6 \delta$ carries with it a double meaning, and shows that the track, so entirely missed, is very near at hand. Cf. 101, 102, 113.
 declared that the murderer was living in Thebes:
112. That the spectators may be made accurately acquainted with the posture of affairs, and put in a condition for the full and correct apprehension of the whole matter, Creon (103) and EEdipus, who is determined to go thoroughly into the investigation enjoined by the god (132), revert to the remote antecedents of the present circumstances. By the method of question and answer, the whole is brought out in a natural way, whereas Earipides's method is to relate the whole story continuously in the prologue. But, the more thoroughly Edipus goes to work, the more is his sagacity still led off on a false scent. Whether it be in itself probable, or otherwise, that Cdipus should for so long a time have remained unacquainted with the circumstances relating to Laius, is a consideration with which the poet does not in the least trouble himself.
113. $\sigma v \mu \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota$, the present used of things known by all, as Phil. 727. Cf. 118. The spectators, once more, cquld apply $\tau \bar{\psi} \delta \varepsilon \sigma v \mu \pi$. фóv $\varphi$ in quite a different sense: fall in with this murderer ( $\phi \dot{0} v o g$,
 usual phrase is фóvय $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon$ ì not $\sigma \nu \mu \pi$.
114. The poet makes Creon answer Edipus's question in quite general terms, on purpose that no clue may be given him by specifying either the place where Laius was murdered, or the oracle in Delphi as that which he went to consult, or the occasion of his consulting it-according to Euripides, whether the child who was exposed were yet living-any of which particulars might put him on the right track.
116. The reading of the MSS. given in the text seems to be corrupt. For кatei $\delta \epsilon$, which besides wants its object, is not the
word we expect in EEdipus's question, the scope of which should be, "And did no messenger, no companion of his journey return?"

 $\phi \quad \nu_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \omega \mathrm{S}$. These inconveniences disappear, if for cateĩ $\delta \varepsilon \nu$, which is

 from whom one might have investigated what happened there, and used it for discovery of the murderer, cf. ©Ed.



118. Ovícкочбь, are killed.
119. It is singular that the one attendant, who saved himself by flight, of all that he had seen, had but one thing to say for certain
 that for fright he clearly saw nothing more than a number of rob-
 that which he had to say, he had but one circumstance to say for a certainty, cf. Ed. C. 74. The Schol. seems not to have

 nexion of $\pi \lambda \eta \nu \quad \varepsilon \varepsilon_{\zeta}-\pi \lambda \eta \nu \quad$ g̈ $\nu$ : meant to show how very remote the chance is of discovering the murderer.
120. Ȧॄev́pol äv, might make it possible. Again ominous words, which verify themselves to the fullest extent in the course of the play, cf. 1184 f .
122. That not the remotest thought of his own old adventure may cross his mind, it is emphatically stated as the one undoubted fact

124. Edipus ominously here, as elsewhere (ef. 139, 225, 231, 236,
 Laius), because he immediately suspects that the murderer had been hired, and in this again misses the truth. There is a bitter irony in his afterwards turning round to the plural, v. 842 ff ., when he is brought by the posture of affairs to place all his hope on that circumstance of the story as commonly reported. Cf. on 347.
125. évévoc, from Thebes. The poet brings this point forward that the spectator may see in this how improbable is the tale of the escaped attendant, and that the correction of this in the progress of the drama will be important.
128. Creon speaks indefinitely of кalcó, that, through the further` inquiry which CEdipus is naturally led to make, the spectators may be relieved of the surprise they are likely to feel at the circumstance that, immediately after the murder, there was no inquisition after the perpetrator.
130. тоıкı $\lambda \boldsymbol{6} \delta \mathbf{s}$, cf. 36, because of the dark, perplexing enigma. Senec. Ed. 102, dolos ac triste carmen alitis solvi ferce.-Toे


137 f. When ©dipus says, he himself is not safe from the mur-
derer of his predecessor, the feeling of the spectators is, how little he knows of the truth! And this is the poet's motive for putting this reflection into his mouth ; not to exhibit him as a self-interested
 he knows, is not at all related by blood to him. But in themselves, and taken apart from the next verse, the words can also be applied in the sense, that it is for no remote friends, but for the nearest and closest, even for his own father, that he is concerned to act as avenger. This equivoque, in which ©dipus again unconsciously utters a truth, is still further helped by the collocation vinte yà oux instead of oư $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{v i \pi} \varepsilon{ }^{\prime} \rho$.
138. These words again are capable, especially if the recitation be managed accordingly, of a construction which just hits the truth of
 $\sigma \kappa \in \delta \bar{\omega}$ тои̃то тд̀ $\mu v ́ \sigma o s$.
140. Edipus, straightway assuming as a fact the surmise he had expressed above, 124, is apprehensive that the murderer, a political malcontent or hired by political opponents, may, in like manner, with violent hand (107) take vengeance on him. He has no foreboding of the truth that he utters in these words, in regard that he is after-

141. The more legitimate and plausible the inference, the more erroneous it is.
143. Cf. on 3.
144. äd $\lambda$ os, one of the king's attendants.
145. Cf. 76, 265.

 1376.

Str. l. What may the oracle contain and lay upon the city?
 472. Apollo administers his office (Hymn. Ap. Del. 132, xpin $\sigma \omega$. $\delta^{\circ}$ $\left.\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi 0 \iota \sigma \iota \Delta \iota o ̀ \varrho \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \tau \varepsilon \alpha \beta^{\prime} \beta v \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu\right)$ only by commission of his father Zeus, the director of the world's order, and of the destinies therein involved. Cf. 995. ELd. C. 623, 793.
153. ėктย́тauab, not am on the stretch, but am unstrung, unnerved by anxiety, metu eneotus. The image is taken from outstretched corpses or from wrestlers flung to the ground, as Eur.


 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon i \rho \xi ̆ \omega$. Cf. Æsch. Prom. 883, крадіа фо́ßц фрє́va $\lambda а к т і \zeta \varepsilon$. Suppl.
 $\pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \nu a l$. The accumulation of images depicting the great distress of mind, as $192 . \mathrm{Aj}$. 139. (Usually $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau a \mu \alpha_{l}$ is taken with $\phi 0 \beta \varepsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \phi \rho \in \in \nu \alpha$, and $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ made intransitive.)
154. $\Delta$ d́ $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ( Aj .704 ) betrays the Athenian, as, according to the Ionic and Attic tradition, Apollo came from Delos to Pytho through Attica, Esch. Eum. Prol., whereas the Bootians represent him to have been born in their country.
 new or in the revolution of the years (Ar. Avv. 696, $\pi \varepsilon \rho t \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda-$
 like emergency already heretofore ordained of the god), he will lay upon the Thebans to perform, in order to be freed from the pestilence. As the god speaks, so the man must perform: hence

158. xpuréas т. E., in so far as those consulting the oracle always hope the best, cf. 151.-ă $\mu$ קротє, because coming from Apollo.

Antistr. 1. The three principal deities of Thebes are invoked to aid in this time of distress. As the strophe began with Apollo, so the antistrophe in its close returns to him.
 pect a following $\lambda i \sigma \sigma o \mu a \iota ~ \phi a \nu \tilde{\eta} \nu a \iota$, instead of which the poet chooses a different turn of expression conveying the same meaning. With $\kappa \in \kappa \lambda о \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \varphi$, for the sake of grammatical connexion with the $\pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\alpha}-$ $\nu \eta \tau \varepsilon^{\prime} \mu o t$, which is so far removed from it, the sentence would drag
 ${ }^{n} \chi(\omega)$, we find participles in the nominative, e.g. Menander 'A入ıEIS,



 dyopai in the more ancient times were laid out in circular form, as


 Dith. 3) with allusion to the goddess of marriage, "Aprধpıg Eviv $\varepsilon \iota a$, Plut. Aristid. 20, worshipped in all markets of Boeotian cities. Her temple in the Agora of the Lower Town is mentioned by Pausanias, ix. 17, 1 ; and close beside her were statues of Apollo Boëdromios and Athene Zosteria. Here again the Athenian poet gives the latter the precedence, see on 20. Also Æsch. Sept. J29, 148, Pallas Onca is invoked together with Apollo and Artemis as $\dot{\rho} v \sigma i \pi o \lambda ı c$.
164. ' Tplogol, as in invocations it is a favourite practice to name three principal deities in connexion. Even Homer's Aĩ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho Z_{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon$
 connexion of the three gods, who are also united in the oath, Dem. Mid. 54, as the Athenians were obliged to swear by three gods, Zeus, Poseidon (or Apollo), Athene, or others, according to the posture of the circumstances.- $\pi$ рофávŋтє, cf. Ant. 154, l154. Aj. 694.
165. тротध́pa äтa, the Sphinx whom CEdipus, with the help of the gods (38), destroyed.
 funeral pyres (27) which are in the poet's thoughts, here of themselves call forth the corresponding metaphor, cf. 176; 190 f.-The protasis ci-ท̉vúrare is inclosed by a double apodosis, $\pi \rho \circ \phi \alpha^{\prime} \nu \eta \tau \varepsilon$


 ${ }_{\boldsymbol{E}} \boldsymbol{\nu} \theta \dot{\alpha} \dot{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\chi} \dot{\eta} \mu i \nu$, where likewise, as often in comparisons, the members
are made exactly to balance each other by a $\kappa a^{\prime}-\kappa \alpha i$; so Ar. Nubb.


Str. and Antistr. 2. Well may the gods be invoked to help now also, for the calamity has risen to the highest point; therefore described as above, where the priest justifies his appeal for help by depicting the sufferings of the land. In the close the chorus returns

 poet allows himself to shorten its last syliable, as El. 164, a licence not otherwise permitted in the iambic rhythm.
 (27) or a wild war-god (190) has broken into the land, against which hostile invasion the wit of man has no defence to offer.- $\boldsymbol{\psi}^{3}$ Tts
 times one stands upon his defence) : not the same as $\boldsymbol{\psi} \mathrm{jug}$

171. үáp makes good the assertion voceĩ $\pi \rho o ́ \pi \pi \alpha \varsigma ~ \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda o s . ~ T h e ~$ fruits of the field in connexion with the births of women, as 25 f . and




173. The paroemiacus in each of the three following verses is preceded by an iambic anacrusis, in the first an iambus, in the second a dipodia, in the third a penthemimer, each rising above the other in gradation.
174. Nor by births do the women rise above their doleful pangs, because they are either unfruitful or die in giving birth.
 chooses a more picturesque structure, in which the image is taken from swimmers who with difficulty keep their heads above the


175. After the analogy of $\tilde{a} \lambda$ yos $\tilde{\alpha} \lambda$ y $\varepsilon ⿺ \pi \rho \sigma$ ǵcetrat, and similar


 the throng of the dead bodies burning on the funeral pyres; as
 were, flutter away: Eur. Suppl. 1142, the heroes burnt on the pyres,


 Hes. Theog. 319, the Chimæra breathes $\alpha \mu a \mu a \dot{\kappa \varepsilon \tau о \nu} \pi \tilde{v} \rho$.
178. ákrár, because Acheron flows round Hades. Pluto is called
 conception his abode was represented to be at the western extremity of the earth, where the sun goes down, ef. Od. 12, 81, $\pi \rho$ òs

 opening of the straphe, $\dot{\oplus} \pi \delta^{\prime} \pi o t, \alpha^{\prime} \nu \alpha^{\prime} p \iota \theta \mu a \mathrm{ff}$.
180. $v \eta \lambda$ '́a, because from contact infection was dreaded ; $\theta a v a \tau a \phi$. diffusing contagion and therewith death. Therefore they died in such numbers that the pyres could not consume all the corpses. The
 1216, ムä̈aүєvє́g.
 oi $\mu \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$, which was customary in obsequies. Senec. ©Ed. 56, fletuque acerbo funera et questu carent.

 nius. Cf. 174.

186. Songs of deliverance, and wailings between whiles, as v. 5. On the metaphor here borrowed from the circumstances (note on 166) $\lambda \alpha{ }^{\mu} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota$, cf. 474, on Phil. 201 and Bacchylid. Fr. 12, $\pi$ at $\delta$ tкol $\psi^{2} \mu \nu 0 \iota \phi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \iota$.
188. $\dot{\omega} v$ vinte, pro quibus averruncandis, as we say, means (of


 ful-looking help, in opp. to the dreary spectacle of the corpses lying around. But as the parallel passage adduced for this, Asch.


 points to Pallas $\gamma^{\lambda a v \kappa \tilde{\omega} \pi \iota \varsigma, ~ A j . ~} 450 \gamma^{\text {ope }} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \tilde{\omega} \pi \iota \varrho$, who, as the goddess of keenest eye, was worshipped in Laconia as Ophthalmitis, Optilitis, Ptillia, in Argos as 'O${ }^{2} v \delta \varepsilon \rho \kappa \kappa \dot{\omega}$. . (Lobeck, Paralipp. I. 269, gives the
 poets often use the masc. vocative communi gen. Besides, Artemis cannot be meant here.)

Third Str. and Antistr. After depicting the distress, 'the Chorns resumes the prayer for help addressed 159 ff , to the principal deities, involing, next to Pallas, Zeus, Apollo, Artemis (the same four together in ©Ed. C. 1085 ff.), and Bacchus with his train.
 meaning, סós, $\pi \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime} \varepsilon \iota$, as freq. in prayers, e. g. Hymn. Ven. 104


 image of the war-god, a suitable foe for the invoked missiles, thunder of Zeus, shafts of Apollo, \&c. The pestilence is called Ares because both are $\beta$ porodocyoi : but to mark him as distinct from the actual

 $705 \varepsilon$ ยี้ $\varepsilon ᄂ$ (the wicked wonıan burns the man) är $\tau \rho \delta a \lambda$ oṽ : Æsch.


 stigated to the murder of Clytemnestra, viø $\eta \psi \varepsilon \delta \delta \tilde{\omega} \mu^{\prime}$ aj $\nu \eta \phi \alpha i \sigma \tau \psi \pi \nu \rho i$ :

making the chorus invoke Pallas first against Ares, the poet perhaps has in mind the opposite sides taken by these two in the fight under Troy (Il. 5, $824 \mathrm{ff} .21,391 \mathrm{ff}$.), although here a different Ares is meant.

 this pest-god excites a general cry of wailing, see 183 ff . In the following verses also, the expressions remind us of actual war, as $\nu \omega \tau i ́ \sigma a \iota, \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ p a \sigma \theta a \iota$, terga vertere. The accumulation as 153 f. 430.


195. The chorus (cf. Aj. 1192 ff.) wishes the pest-god driven into the sea, the abyss which swallows up all evil things-and specially, either to the south-west, the Mediterranean, or north-east, the Black Sea. The entire Mediterranean is here called Thalamos of Amphitrite, the wife of Poseidon (as Pind. Pyth. 11, 2, 'I $\nu \dot{\omega} \pi o \nu \tau \iota a ̃ \nu \dot{\delta} \mu 0-$ $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda a \mu o c N \eta \rho \eta \hbar \dot{\delta} \omega \nu)$, whereas elsewhere Poseidon, Thetis, and other sea-gods inhabit a definite palace in the depths of the sea, cf. IL. 13, 21. 18, 402. 24, 78.
 Grecian colonists on its coasts, eüfervos, cf. Phil. 217. The Thracian sea, because Ares is at home there, I1. 13, 301. Od. 8, 361.
 ${ }_{0} \rho \mu \omega \nu$, i.e. äय $\nu v \xi \varepsilon \nu i \omega \nu$ "̈ $\rho \mu \omega \nu$, cf. on 190 . Of course the chorus does not wish Ares cast into a haven, but into the wide sea: besides, an apposition between such incongruous notions as ö $\rho \mu \mathrm{og}$ and $\kappa \lambda \dot{v} \delta \omega \nu$ is not to be thought of.
 $\tau^{\prime} \hat{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \iota$ in the sense of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda^{\prime} \omega \bar{c}$ s there is no authority ; and to understand it as $\varepsilon_{\nu} \tau \varepsilon \in \lambda \varepsilon \iota$ (si quid nox in fine reliquerit) would be extremely flat. Hence we adopt the emendation of C. L. Kayser and J. Jeep (cf. Æsch. Eum. $381 \mu_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \nu \varepsilon \iota \gamma^{\prime} \rho^{\circ}$ with the like asyndeton): for Ares will make an end with us, depopulate all Thebes (28), unless ye help: for what the night lets go, that the day seizes. Here
 767) are terms taken from war: $\varepsilon i-\alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \tilde{y}$ in the preterite would be


202. The MSS. and Edd. ¿̄ Zєṽ $\pi a ́ r \varepsilon \rho$. If so, something must have fallen out from the Antistrophe. But, as there is no perceptible hiatus there, I have struck out $\dot{\omega}$ Zeṽ, which the copyists seem to have put in from Homeric reminiscence: $\pi \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \varepsilon \rho$ is sufficiently plain from the context. While the other gods are called to appear in person, Zeus shall but send his lightnings. Cf. Aj. 693 ff .
203. Av́кєlos, originally the god who secures to the fugitive homicide ( (úroç) cleansing and protection against blood-vengeance, is applied to Apollo in the Tragedians, to denote him as a god terrible to his enemies, and mighty to protect his friends, at the same time

 669.-xpuóơTp. ảץкv́入a, gold-strung bended bow, as Apollo is

 read ${ }^{2} \gamma \kappa v \lambda \tilde{\omega} \nu, a^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \nu \lambda \tilde{\alpha} \nu$ ．

 $\theta$ عоі̃о．

206．тробтa日evta，because the arrows，by protecting，are to bring
 time the expression reminds one of Apollo a pooтaríptos．（Others derive $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau \alpha \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$ from $\pi \rho o s \tau \varepsilon i v \omega$（intenta adversus deum），Dindorf wrongly，$\left.\pi \rho o g \tau a \chi \theta^{\prime} \varepsilon \nu \tau a.\right)$

 ＇Exáta，Ar．Ran．362），as symbol of the life，light，and growth
 mupos，Trach．214），she roves with her nymphs（0d．6，102）over the mountains of her own and Apollo＇s native Lycia．

209．The exuberant tresses of Dionysos were confined by a fine kerchief of many colours，from which characteristic costume the god

 1115）born in Thebes of Semele，is Kaঠرєios äva乡，Thebanus deus； from the country he is called Botwóśs，Botótıog Oeós；in Roman poets，from ancient designations of the city and the land，Ogygius， Aonius，Echionius deus，Lyceus，Iacchus．Thebes also is called Baкхєia Ө $\hat{\eta} \beta \eta$ ，Trach．510．Eur．Ion， 1573.

211．Maıv．©́ $\mu$ ．，as Ant．1150，$\pi \rho о ф a ́ v \eta \theta^{\prime}$ ，む Naక̆íaus $\sigma a i ̃ s ~ a ̈ \mu \alpha$
 Maıváv．

213．For $\pi \varepsilon \lambda a \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} \nu a t$ ，for the sake of the metre，I have restored the form frequent in ※sch．and Eurip．$\pi \lambda a \theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota$ ，as in $214 \alpha^{\prime} \gamma \lambda \alpha \dot{\omega} \pi \iota \delta_{\iota}$ for $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \lambda \alpha \tilde{\omega} \pi$ l．Bacchus brandishes a pine－torch，as Ant．1126．Eur． Phon．228．Ion 725，\＆c．，because it was believed that by torch－light he solemnized his processions on Parnassus．See Aristoph．Nubb． 603 ff ．

215．$\dot{d} \pi \dot{d} \boldsymbol{d} \tau \mu \mathbf{\nu}$ inasmuch as the poet＇s fictitious pest－god enjoys no honour among the other gods．Cf．Wisch．Eum．691，हो $\nu$ roĩs $\theta$ eoit


Speech of Edipus，216－275．Order of the thoughts：＂If ye will give ear to my words，ye may achieve for yourselves the deliver－ ance which ye implore from the gods．These（my words）I will lay before you，myself entirely a stranger to the matter in question， seeing I did not become a citizen of Thebes until after this thing befell．Therefore，I call upon all Cadmeans to make known the murderer of Laius；if，moved by private regards，ye forbear to denounce him，I decree the exclusion of the murderer from all civil and religious intercourse．For the perpetrator himself－should he remain concealed－may a curse light upon him，even as I imprecate the like curse upon myself，if I screen him ；yea，though he were mine own familiar inmate．The carrying out of my orders I enjoin upon you，who ought of yourselves，without bidding of the god，to have made inquisition after the slayers of your king：but now，since I，as his successor in the kingdom and in his marriage，am bound to
this duty, I will leave nothing untried that may lead to this goal. Whoso fails to give effect to my commands, shall be accursed ; but the rest of the Thebans shall be commended to the abiding grace of the gods."-The stormy impetuosity with which ©Edipus sets himself to do the bidding of Apollo, exercises a marked influence on the structure of the speech and the connexion of the thoughts. -Observe the manifold ariacoluthous constructions, and accumulations of expression.
 cov́фเб儿ข.
217. The vóaras, as the principal thing, is mentioned alone, because of 190 ff .

219 f. Edipus emphatically asseverates his utter unacquaintance with the matter, as yet not imparted to the chorus; of which he as
 information, cf. 249. To express his ignorance in the strongest possible way, he avails 'himself of the antithesis (here over-precise)
 quence of the oracle) and the $\pi \rho a \chi^{\theta} \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ (the murder of Laius).

220 f . I will lay before you what follows, because, restricted to myself individually (av̇óc) I should have to make long search, seeing I have no clue at all that could

 absurd, for the only possible interpretation, nisi aliquid indicii reperirem, is forbidden by ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \omega \nu$ : it originated in a mistaken interpretation of the reading Laur. A. $\alpha \cup \boldsymbol{j} \dot{o} \mu \grave{\eta}$ ov̉,, which is easily explained as a mistake for aútós [the final $\Sigma$ mistaken for $M$ ]. When however $\mu \dot{\eta}$ oúc had thus intruded (cf. on 13) the copyists went a step further, and instead of $\dot{\eta} \gamma \hat{\alpha} \rho \not{ }_{\alpha} \nu \nu$-which I have restored-put ov $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho a \ddot{a} \nu$, in order to get the negative which was requisite for the following $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ov.
 gress in the search.
 the grounds of his turning to the Thebans in this matter, he returns
 expressed in 219 , while he again emphatically gives the motive of his
 Sentences similarly formed, Aj. 1114 f. Ant. 468 f. 1057 f. El. 516 f.
 as 230. 261. Aj. 267. Phil. 135, $\varepsilon \nu \xi^{\xi} \varepsilon v q \xi^{\prime} \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} v o g$ and passim.
227. In order to relieve the culprit, supposing him to denounce himself, from all apprehension of severer punishment, he means to
 $\lambda \omega \nu$ av́ròs ca $\theta^{\prime}$ av̇roũ (so far as he, of his own free will, draws forth the impeachment of himself from his own bosom, where he keeps it concealed, cf. El. 1410), $\mu \dot{\eta}$ фо $\beta_{\varepsilon i \sigma \theta \omega . ~ B u t, ~ i n ~ h i s ~}^{\text {. }}$ eagerness to allay any such fear, he at once, without stopping to complete the sense, gives the reason why he need not fear, $\pi$ eioctat $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\alpha} \rho$ к.r. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Hence also from the alternative prescribed 100 f. $308 \mathrm{f}_{\text {t }}$, he chooses the milder, viz. banishment.

230 f. As CEdipus has learnt, 113 f., that Laius was slain beyond the borders, it was natural to presume that the murderer might be a foreigner, although Apollo had said he was now living in Thebes. Accordingly he demands in this case also that he should be de: nounced, and promises the fitting reward ( $\dot{\eta} \chi^{\dot{\alpha}} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho}$ ). At the same time, this very surmise here again exactly fits Edipus himself, ef. 1178.

 had already, 224 f . includes this, and Edipus only goes on to pacify the alarm of the self-denouncing culprit, and then adds by way of supplement, that any information, if so be, of a person not a Theban being the murderer, shall be rewarded.)
 with the gen. according to the sense, as if it were $\pi \rho \circ \kappa \eta \delta \delta \dot{\mu} \varepsilon \nu 0 \varsigma$ ) points back to 224 ff . and 227 alike. For that a Theban should screen a foreigner was less to be apprehended.
 Xeooal ( $\varepsilon_{c}$ oưkovg from 24i) tòv äv 817 ff.) $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \epsilon \pi$ тpos $\phi \omega v \in \mathfrak{v}$. (Edipus becomes more vehement and more rigorous, the more exactly he describes what afterwards turns out to be his own case.
 forbidden by Periander Herod. 3, 52, ôg â $\nu$ tòv $\pi a i ̂ \delta \alpha=\hat{\eta}$ oikiota



 $\varepsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \omega \lambda \tilde{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma t-\pi \alpha \rho a \tau \rho \omega \pi \tilde{\omega} \sigma^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o t$, cf. Od. 13, 357. But CEdipus's, impassioned way of speaking puts it more emphatically, so that $\varepsilon \dot{v} \chi \alpha i ̈ \sigma \iota$, though logically subordinate, and $\theta \dot{v} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$, are put upon
 In unimpassioned discourse we should also have had $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \bar{\varepsilon} \rho \nu$. $\nu \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu$.


 $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{\mu}$., those who were to take part in any holy act were sprinkled with water consecrated by dipping into it a fire-brand taken from the altar; hence the admission of a person into the religious fellowship
 Esch. Ag. 1034. The Tragedians carry back the Athenian customs into the heroic age, as, according to Demosth. Lept. 137, Draco



244. т仑̂̀ סaí $\alpha \boldsymbol{1}$, Apollo, ef. 136. 253. El. 658.
 left uncertain.
248. кaкòv каккิิ, Aj. 839. The pronoun (viv) repeated, as in the formal language of a legal sentence, for greater precision, cf.

 longer sentence intervening.

249 ff . For a proof how earnestly he takes the matter, and for renewed asseveration of his utter unacquaintance with the affair, he denounces a curse upon himself, if he conceals the murderer among
 - $\mathbf{y}$ tvouro there lies a deep irony for one apprised of the facts. The

 antecedent, I have given what the sense demanded, tois aitions, the guilty ones, the murderer. Cf. 107 and ©d. C. 995.
253. For my sake, explained by 137 ff. When Edipus here again makes account of his own person and interests, the poet's aim in this is merely to bring out more strongly the illusion under which ©Edipus labours, not to impute his, zeal tor the god and the land to a selfinterested motive.

 É $\phi \theta \alpha \rho \mu$ ' $\nu 0 \nu$. (Yet the combination of two such heterogeneous notions, $\alpha^{\kappa} \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \pi \omega \mathrm{~g}, \kappa_{d} \theta^{\prime} \omega \mathrm{c}$, is not without offence : according to 25 f .171 f . one would expect $\kappa$ à $\gamma$ ó $\nu \omega \mathrm{\omega}$.)

255 ff . Statement of the reasons why the Thebans must do all in their power to bring the murderer to light, and why (Edipus himself will prosecute the matter in earnest. Join oùd $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon \dot{\alpha} \dot{\tau} \dot{o} \pi \rho . \mu \bar{\eta}$
 Apollo, 256, the murder of Laius, for which in 219 we had $\lambda o{ }^{\prime} \gamma \mathrm{g}$ and
 chosen of design, that the hearer might be able to putinto them a reference to the death of Laius as foretold by the oracle.
257. Ba.aı入éws, apposition to $\boldsymbol{a}$. ápiáov. Others less suitably,
 aggravates his guilt by the praise he awards to Laius of an $\boldsymbol{\pi} \nu \dot{\eta} \rho$ äpıatos.
 1364. Alph. Hécker proposes ó $\mu$ óбтолоข.
 children would be their brothers and sisters. кotrà (i. e. cide $\overline{\lambda \phi} \dot{\text { a }}$, the neuter, as Aj .53 ) kouviv, mutually brothers and sisters, cf. 222, 230. Here again CEdipus unwittingly hits the truth of the case.
262. To be childless was accounted a $\delta v$ srvxia : Eur. Suppl. 75,

 The spectators were able to give it a meaning in reference to the exposed child of Laius, of which Gdipus himself knows nothing.
263. Properly speaking, the thought expressed in this verse was meant to form the second member of the protasis: $\nu \tilde{v} \nu \delta \dot{\delta}, \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon i \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$


 out the sentence regularly to its completion : instead of this, after a
 apodosis in a different form with $\alpha^{i} \nu \theta^{\prime} \omega^{i} \nu, v .264$. Similar structures of sentences, Aj. 1300. El. 783 ff . infra 1271 ff .-The image, as


264. Here also Edipus unconsciously expresses his actual relation to Laius, in a manner that makes those shudder who know the true state of the case : cf. 261, 928, and the imitation in Ovid. Met. 10, 4f7, in the story of Cinyras's amour with his, to him unknown, daughter Myxrha: Forsitan cetatis quoque nomine filia dixit, Dixit et illa


267. The recital of his ancestors (Eur. Phœn. 7 ff.) belongs to the official designation of the murdered person, but at the same time Cdipus's subsequent destiny is rendered all the more tragical by the heinousness which he himself attaches to the crime as committed against such a predecessor, cf. 257. Herodotus, too, 5, 59, adds to
 cf. 7, 204, the long pedigree of Leonidas.-The connexion of the adj. $\Lambda a \beta 8$ aкe $i_{\varphi}$ with the logically equivalent genitives, as Aj .884 'Oגv $\tau$ ' 'Iácovos yá $\mu o t$, , and elsewhere.
269. Cf. Ant. 324. He means those who do not inform against the murderer, or do not join in the search after him.
270. On av̀тoîs, see on 248. The unfruitfulness of the fields and of the women connected ( 25 f .171 f .), as in the imprecation upon such as transgress the Amphictyonic decrees, ap, Æischin. in Ctesiph.

 Hymn. Cer. 331, the goddess will not $\gamma \tilde{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ к $\kappa \alpha \rho \pi \dot{o} \nu \dot{a} \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$, until Persephone be restored to her.
 as women also in the language of the Greeks are ápívı $\mu$ o y yúat, and the notions of sowing and begetting, fruit-bearing and child-bearing coincide, cf. 260, and many other passages of this drama.
272. The future force of eüxo $\mu$ at draws the infin. into its sphere, ef. on Phil. 1394, as freq. ßoù之opal.
274. $\Delta i \kappa \eta$, inasmuch as the Thebans, if neither principals nor abettors, are sicatol- - $v^{\prime} \mu \mu a x$ os is to be connected as predicate with گขveĩยข. Cf. on CEd. C. 1089.
276. As thou hast bound me by the curse, so will I with solemn oath speak.
278. т̀े पทंтクua (the question propounded to us, also to be
 eliph. aors is added as epexegesis. By this remark the poet pointedly intimates that the discovery must be possible in a different way:
280. A painful reminiscence from his own experience, cf. 788 ff .
282. rà $\delta$ єútepa, a point of less importance than the delation of the murderer, but next to this the most important. Cf. Phil. 49. © Ed. C. 1228.

Edipus Tyr.
283. Even were it still less to the purpose, Eidipus desires to know it, ef. 291.
 yंßoukgro. The middle voice, because CEdipus's interests seemed to require the sending for him.

 importance is to be attached.
292. To those cognizant of the facts, $\tau$ tvav could also mean by certain (known) persons, cf. 107. Creon, 122, had spoken of $\lambda$ әorai : ódousópou comes nearer to the truth, yet without bringing Gdipus upon the right track, ef. 715.
 105. The words tòv $\delta^{\prime}$ i§. oviठeis stpq̂, but the eye-witness (C.Edipus thinks of 118) no man seeth, findeth out, contain a fearful equivoque: but him that saw it (me) none seeth (none recognizes as the doer of the deed). Some awkwardly enough conjecture Tì $\nu \bar{\partial} \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau$ ', whereas ©dipus pointedly opposes the i $\delta \bar{\delta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \nu$ to the $\lambda_{\varepsilon} \chi \theta \ddot{\eta} \nu a \iota$ and $\dot{\alpha} \kappa$ кoṽat.
 seems to be disproved by the best MSS. : Laur. A pr. has it not; other good authorities, as Par. A, substitute $r^{\prime}$. Wunder writes,
 The Choragus means, not the witness of the deed, but the doer of $i$.
 $\sigma c \gamma \tilde{\eta} \phi \nu \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau t \omega \nu$, "but will of his own free will come forward." Cf. 1323.
296. Again entirely fitting CEdipus himself; as his sentence, though he is the man it lights on, does not yet in the remotest degree alarm him.
. 297. The Chorus-which here speaks a truth of which it had no foreboding-is made at the outset to express thus emphatically the high confidence it reposes in the seer, that the attachment of the Thebans to their former deliverer may be put to the severest proof. - ovi $\xi \in \lambda \in \gamma \xi \omega v$, cf. Phil. 1242. Art. 261. El. 1197. Grood authorities,
 common reading.
299. Ulysees, in Hor. Sat. 2, 5, 5, addresses Tiresias, 0 nulli quicquam mentite. Cf. Ant. 1091 ff.

 $\dot{\sigma}\langle\varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \tilde{i}$ ré $\chi \nu y$. The eloquent description of the laigh endowments with which Tiresias as a seer is gifted, makes the ensuing disunion and altereation stand out in more startling contrast, while Edipus is led to doubt first the good will, then the power of the seer to help.
301. oùp. te kal $\chi^{\theta} \mathrm{ov}$. belongs to both that can be made known and that cannot be spoken, be it in heaven or on earth, i. e. universally, cf. ad 1427.
302. The $\delta \dot{\delta}$ after $\phi \rho 0 v \overline{c i c}_{\mathrm{c}}$ shews that the first clause, expressed as
protigisis，$\varepsilon i$ кai $\mu \dot{\eta} \beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ，was in the poet＇s mind as if it had formed


305．Commonily，against the sense，вiкаi $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ：Dindorf，हil $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta}$ ， F．V．Frizzsche，$\varepsilon i \mu \dot{\eta} \kappa a i$ k $\lambda \dot{v} t s$ ，if thou hast not already heard it．It shews the excited state of Cdipus＇s mind，that，having just extolled the seer＇s prophetic skill，he yet thinks it necessary to iuform him of the oracle．Of Tiresias＇s knowledge an awfully start－ ling proof is given v． 350 ．Here，again deep irony in CEdipus＇s manner of beginning．

308．Cf． 100 ff．
 to extispicia，cf．Antig． 1005 ff．

312．Cf． 64.
313．¢̂vrau，in a pregnant sense，added for the sake of uniformity ： make deliverance by riddance of the $\mu$ iaraa．Cf．35，$\xi_{\xi} \xi \lambda \lambda v a s$
 object，$\phi \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \alpha \kappa о \nu, \sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \tau \iota \nu 0 \varsigma$ ，and the like．
 lutely，to do good，be useful ：d＇申＇\＆v EैXor（El．1378）refers to outward means，$\delta$ d́vaıto to inward，mental resources．
 conjunctive is recommended by the MSS．，so that Tiresias spealis generally：גún 中povoûvct，where it profits a man nothing that he has insight，ef．Lysias v．779，Oủk ä́sov rov́tocs

317．Tiresias is explaining，as yáp shews，why he exclaimed $\phi \in \tilde{v}$ $\phi \varepsilon \tilde{v}$ ：for this I kuew full well（that $\phi \rho o \nu \varepsilon i \nu$ ，to have insight，
 220）I should not have come hither．But the hearer can give raũ̃ $\alpha$ the by－meaning，that Tiresias foreknew all that is about to take place here．Moreuver，by the prominence given to this d̀o－入éroal，the poet designs to explain the seeming äं ${ }^{\prime}$ oyov of Tiresias＇s coming at ail，as he must know for what purpose Edipus had sent for him．
 cf．Ed．C． 1530.

319．Edipus sees from Tiresias＇s manner how depressed he is in


320．Here also；as in the whole dialogue，Tiresias veils his words in prophetic＇clear－obscure，＇while he says quite indefinitely，toे adow

 bear his destiny most easily if he do not learn it through the seer； and he his own destiny，if he be spared this painful diselosure．

322．Ёvvoua and трояфı $\lambda$＇́s together，as often adj：and adverb

 refers to outward expressions，$\pi \rho o s \phi \downarrow \lambda$ ég to the temper therein shown．

323．Tпr 8 en，which we all expect from thee．

but it could also be referred to his present speech. With oust$\mu \eta \delta \epsilon$, cf, the double кai, 165 f .
325. The speech here broken off-Tiresias has in his mind $\sigma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ 亿 -

 rivóc.

326, 327 are commonly assigned to the Chorus; for whose calm bearing, however, the request is too importunate: in fact the Chorus is silent until 404, and then interposes a pacifying word in a speech of four verses, and so it would have been here had the poet intended the $\sigma r r^{\prime} 0 \mu v \theta i a$ to be broken in upon by interlocution of the Chorus. Moreover, 'Tiresias' reply is addressed only to Cedipus.

 utter my evil revelations, that I may not bring to light thine evil deeds withal. Though Tiresias now already touches upon the кak $\dot{\alpha}(320, ~ \tau \grave{o} ~ \sigma o ́ v)$, he veils the meaning of his words in a mysterious way, especially by significantly bringing caкá to the end of the sentence, so that in the first instance the hearer would necessarily connect $\kappa a \kappa \alpha \dot{a}$ only with the $\tau \dot{\alpha} . \sigma \dot{\alpha}$ immediately preceding. (C. F. G. Arndt conjectures $\tau \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \dot{\boldsymbol{a}} \nu \varepsilon i \pi \omega$, ego nunquam aliorum (i.e. corum qui Laium interfecerunt, quos tu alienos a te putas) mala facinora edicam, ne tua patefaciam; K. Fr. Hermann, $\tau$ à $\mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu^{\prime}$ हй $\pi \omega$.)
332. Here, as in 320 and 328, Tiresias's mentioning himself in connexion with ©dipus serves to make what is said more enigmatical. Tiresias would fain neither afflict himself, for he foresees ©dipus's indignation, nor pain Edipus by revealing the mystery.-(The read-


334. A poet ap. Schol. 11. 23, 254, Nv́ $\mu \phi \alpha \not \subset i \lambda \eta, \kappa \alpha i \tau \lambda \eta \tau i \lambda i \theta \psi \dot{\varepsilon} \nu i$ סáкриov ŋ̀kcac. Plauł. Poen. 1, 2, 77, 1lla mulier lapidem silicem subigere ut se amet potest. Ovid. A. A. 1, 659, lacrimis adamanta morebis. Edipus, who still entertains a feeling of reverence before the mighty seer, shrinks alarmed at his own vehement $\bar{\omega}$ каккüv кákıбтє, and mitigates it by kai $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho . .$.
336. à $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ úr $\eta$ ros, with whom one never comes to an end, makes noprogress.
337. With studied obscurity Tiresias speaks of $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{Y}_{\grave{\prime}} \mathrm{e} \mu \eta$ n, with apparent reference to $\delta \rho \gamma \alpha \boldsymbol{v} \varepsilon s a \varsigma 335$, but in reality he has in his thoughts the reproach $\kappa \alpha \kappa \tilde{\omega} \nu \kappa a ́ \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon: m y$ disposition thou blamest, as if I were кaкūv кáксбтog, but thine own $6 \rho \gamma \dot{\eta}$ which is next-door neighbour to that which thou castest up as a reproach to me-i. $e$. which is at the same time uttered in those words (kakwĩy kák.), this thou didst not discern, even in the act of uttering. (Yet ípov̂ val. may also be intended to mean, thy disposition which is like thine estimate of mine. This double meaning is lost, if with Dindorf we read rivv ooi $\left.i \delta^{\prime} \dot{\partial} . \nu.\right)$ Though Edipus must needs refer this to his own burst of anger, as in fact he takes in only what most strikes the ear, and 339, 344, 345, speaks of $\dot{\delta} \rho \gamma i \zeta_{\varepsilon \sigma \theta a t,}$ still Tiresias means only to hint that the кak $\bar{\nu}$

кék, $\sigma$ toc is precisely Gsdipus's own predicameat. (The allusion which some find in these words to Cdipus's ovvvaitty with his mother Jocasta, is quite out of place, since 'Tiresias comes to that point only in the further progress of the altercation,)
 $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \psi \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, opp. to $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma \dot{\eta} \nu-\kappa a \tau \varepsilon \pi \delta \epsilon \varsigma$. Cf. 166. Aj. 627.

 бо $\tilde{v} \delta^{\prime}$ où $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$.
340. Cf. Phil. 66. Aj. 1107.
 and will not accede to thy request : I do keep silence, cer-
 what, but means the disclosures which will inevitably ensue.



346. $\mathbf{a} \pi \in \rho \xi v v i \eta \mu L$ would sound ironically to the hearer.
347. From $\xi$
 even, not in correlation with $\tau \varepsilon$, which comnects the two verbs.-
 at present ©Edipus is not, in the remotest degree, thinking of him as an accomplice with Tiresias ${ }_{j}$ but he means the robbers, 124 f ., as set on by Tiresias.
349. As zivas is unknown to the best MSS., we may conjecture

350. It makes an awful impression, as a token of more than human knowledge, that Tiresias, he whom CEdipus, 305 ff., thought neces, sary to apprise concerning the oracle, reminds him of the cípuy $\mu a$, concerning which no information can possilhly have reached bim. EEdipus in his excitement does not catch this ominous intimation of Tiresias' superhuman insight.
 1047), we expect $\dot{\omega} \varepsilon$ й $\nu \tau \alpha-\mu \iota \alpha \sigma \tau о \rho \alpha$. But, to obviate the possibility of referring the acc. to $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau^{\prime} \bar{\varepsilon} \mu \dot{\varepsilon}$, the paet puts the dative, as

 $\theta v i ́ y$.
355. If we retain the reading (others read cai mov), then roṽro is the matter of the speech, the consequences and the punishment




 moreover, thinkest thou to be able to escape without being


356. Cf 369.

than in 300 f. Even now he is not thinking of Creon, cf. 347, 378.
359. (Edipus, who can scarcely believe his own ears, in his surprise challenges Tiresias to repeat what he said just now (353) hoping from liis further expressiuns to get some clue to the supposed plot against him.
360. Or art thou merely putting me to the proof, that
 in Laur. A, meipav $\lambda$ óy $\omega \nu$ kılıȩ̃. Tiresias marks right well that Edipus would lead him to compromise himself by further discourse.
 easily be spared.)
 Cf. 1131. Edipus attends only to the first part of the question.
362. Thiresias means (cf. 449 ff.) peremptorem te esse dico viri cujus quaris peremptorem, but designedly speaks in an ambiguous manner.
363. จที่ тl xaípшv, cf. 368, 401, 1152. Phil. 1299.
365. $\mu$ átทv again unconsciously with a donble meaning, since he can also be understood to say, For me, the irrecoverably blinded, it will be spoken in vain.
366. Now for the first time Tiresias advances to a further revela-tion-that Edipus is also husband of his own mother-while, however, in oùv toís $\phi$ ( $\lambda$ r. (see on Aj. 842, infra 1184), he designates the relation in terms designedly obscure.
368. Perhaps $\tau \alpha u \tau^{\prime}$, i. e. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma ~ a \dot{v} \tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \pi \eta \mu o \nu a ́ \varsigma, 363$.
369. Cf: 356.
370. "Certainly there is a might of truth, which, however, is lost on thee, because thou art blind in mind and body." With the vehement


371. The parechesis here, as in many other instances, heightens


 عlıag ff., ef. Ant. 1055 ff.
372. Tiresias bitterly iterating $\delta \nu \varepsilon \delta_{i} \zeta \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu$, points it at the actual blinding of CEdipus and his mental blindness, which will presently be exposed.
374. Thou art a child of darkness, so that thou hast not power to hurt any man that sees the light, and can avoid thee.
376. Thou needest not to fear me, since no danger threatens thee on my part.
377. Here too in táסe ékrp. Tiresias purposely speaks darkly, ef. 341. Below, 1329 f., Edipus recognizes the truth of this utterance:
378. Are these thine own inventions, or Creon's, that ye would scare me with Apollo? On the sudden, Edipus imagines that he discovers in Creon the instigator of the seer ; viz. the instant Tiresias names Apollo. For Creon in fact had been sent to Delphi ; it was Creon's counsel (288) to send for the servant of the god. In
the belief that with this suspicion thus suddenly darted into his mind he has hit upun the truth, Edipus, in what follows, depiets to himself in detail the league between the seer and Creon as an ascertained fact.
379. But Creon is no bane for thee. Kpéwv $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta}$ antithetically put first, the thought in Tiresias's mind being $\sigma \dot{v}$ бoi $\pi \tilde{\eta} \mu a \quad \varepsilon \%$, cf. 570, 931. Ed. C. 396. With $\pi \tilde{\mu} \mu \mathrm{a}$, cf. 1355.
380. Similar opening of the speech Pliil. 927.- $\pi$ गoûtos and тup. are often in like manner connected, as Eur. Or. 1155 f. Oúc tatıy


 vircep. ff. Art surpassing (every) art in the life of man, which is so full of emulous endeavours. Cf. Phil. 13Z, ré $\chi$ va
 his solution of the enigma, he has given of his mental acumen, which he here calls $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \chi \eta$ (398, $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta)$ not without sarcastic allusion to Tiresias's $\tau$ ' $\chi \nu \eta, 389$. Compare the counter-taunt of the seer, 442.
382. фu入áarєтal, keeps himself in the background.
384. $\delta \omega$ p. oủk alt. (63), cf. 1202. ©d. C. 525.
385. тav́rचs emphatically refers to the throne as not ambitiously
 but, so great is the might of envy, that even the old, trusty friend has suffered himself to be led away.
386. The image is taken from the tricks of the wrestlers, as $\dot{v} \pi 0-$




390. $\pi$ ov, wherein shews itself that so infallible skill of thine as seer! Cf. Aj. 1008, 1100.
 the hydra, the harpies, Erinyes, and similar monsters are called by the poets кúves. The Sphinx was $\dot{\rho} a \psi \psi \delta o{ }_{c}$, in regard of the enigma learnt from the Muses, see on 130.
393. тоป̀т., тои̃ тuхйขтog, cf. ©Ed. C. 752.
394. סıєเสєโิv, distincte enarrare, ef. 390.

396. His praising his own merits is justified by his belief that he is made the object of a malicious attack (cf. 399), and by the fact that the priest of Zeus has but now, in quite similar expressions, given him to lay to heart, as the universal judgment of Thebes, the
 come, cf. 35.
397. $\delta \mu \eta \delta \mathbb{E}$ є $\mathfrak{i} \delta$. Oid. refers in the first instance to $v .37$, butagain contains a cutting irony, as the words speak the full truth. Perhaps Sophocles alludes to the root 'IA contained in Oidi- $\mathrm{moves}^{\text {, }}$ which would make a pointed oxymoron-I, the nothing-knowing (through any information of yours) and yet knowing CEdipus (nott. on 70, 412).


That Edipus here vaunts of his $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ is for the purpose of humbling the oíuro.кóтog (310, 395). Quite otherwise 1484 f .
401. With a mock at the $\delta$ oke $i v \pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \tau ., 399$, Edipus with bit-

 $\boldsymbol{Y}$ fewv, with the essential accessury notion $\mu \tilde{\omega} \rho o \mathrm{~g}$, cf. 433 ff .
403. Thou wouldest by puniskiment be made to know what manner of thoughts thou entertainest against me. The antithesis is mainly between $\pi a \theta_{E i} \nu$ and $\phi \rho 0 \nu i \nu$. The poet alludes

411. Ineed not Creon to my patron, ef. 399. The Athenian metoeci, whose circunstances Sophocles transfers to the heroic age, were obliged to choose some citizen as their patron (vép $\frac{1}{}$ $\phi \varepsilon \sigma \theta a c ~ t \grave{~} \rho \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{a} \tau \eta \nu$ ), as whose clients they were enrolled in the tabuler publicoe ( $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho ө \sigma \tau a ́ \tau o u)$, see on Aj. 1260.
 used in Homer, Tiresias with solemnity ushers in his revelations (as 449), while by this expression he reminds him of the oracie-god whose

 names, note on v. 70.-тиф д $^{2}$ v, 371.
413. Æsch. Proin. 445, maukind, before Prometheus, $\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi т о \nu \tau \epsilon g$
 $\tau \alpha ́ \delta \varepsilon ; C$ C. Aj. 85.
414. Évea, in the house of thy father ; \%̀tuv oi. $\mu \dot{\in} \tau \alpha$, cf. 990, desiguedly admitting of a double neaning.

418. mort, because Edipus at the end of the play remains still in Thebes.- $\delta$ cıvórovs ápá, she that executes the curse of parents,


419. Readered more enigmatical for Cdipus by comparison with 413. The oxymoron as Eur. Phœen. 319, oкótov d\& $\delta$ opkwís. Ed. C.


420 f . What rocky bay on the sea, what mountain in the land shall not presently resound in one accord with thy
 virum studiisque faventum Consonat onne nemus vocentque inclusa volutant Litora, coll. 8, 305.
421. Instead of moîo bog, Tiresias puts the neighbouring mountain, with mysterious allusion to the exposure there of Edipus, of. 1451, 1391. Similar application of significant proper names, Soph.

 Hipp. 1169, membra quis scoous Sinis Aut yuis Proorustes sparsit? Apul. Apol. p. 325, Quis Eurybatus, quis Sisyphus, quis Phrynondas talem excogitasset fraudean?
422. The $\lambda_{1} \mu \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu}(4: 0)$ leads of itself to the image of the prosperous voyage to which CEdipus's seeming happy fortune is likened. The bridal song (as token of the consummated marriage, Ant. 813) is the

it leads not into a sure port, despite the favouring gale, i.e. although Edipus did happily solve the enigma, and his lot seemed an enviable one. The startling juxtaposition of the expressions ävophas and $\epsilon \dot{v}-$ т $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ oias ruxöv sets in a sharp light the cutting contradiction between appearance and reality.
425. To the two какá intimated thus far, the murder of his father, and marriage with his mother, Tiresias adds a third, taking the connexion from öтav катаíधy : a multitude of other miserable facts thou perceivest not in addition (besides those two), which (so soon as thou perceivest them, z $a i \sigma \theta \dot{d} \mu \varepsilon \nu \dot{\nu} \nu \quad \sigma \varepsilon$ to be understood) shall make thee like to thyself and to thy children, i.e. by the revelation of which thou wilt appear as the brother of thy children. This third point, which naturally comes in after the mention of the marriage, is called $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os $\kappa$ кaк $\omega \hat{v}$ ( Aj . 863, $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ óvov $\pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \theta 0 \varsigma)$, becanse it includes in itself a train of disastrous relations, see 457 ff .1403 ff .1496 f . Agreeably with the idiom of the language,
 261), by which the Greeks pointedly express the equality as common to both the one and the other of two different parties, the explanation above given is sufficient, the poet thus sharply marking the contrasted relation of father and children, which in OEdipus's case is done away. But, at the same time, the hearer might find in the

 wretch he was from the first destined to be.-The sigmatismus is sarcastic, cf. on 371, 1408, 1507.
426. тойці̀v бто́ца, ef. 390, 671. Ant. 997. EEd. C. 794.
430. Cf. 1146. Aj. 369. 'l'he accumulated expression as in 192.
434. Cf. 82, 220, 318.


436. oi $\sigma^{\prime}$ Éфvarav, here significantly, in opp. to his supposed parents in Corinth, ef. 793, 827, 1412, 1514.
437. The mention of his parents-the subject of enquiry which led him to Delphi, and thence, as it were by accident, brought him to Thebes-falls heavily upon his soul; for the Theban seer had never come in contact with GEdipus's supposed Corinthian parents : therefore he bids him stay.
437. éкфv́є, me gignit, is my father.

440. тav̂тa, т $\dot{\alpha}$ aivık: $\dot{\alpha}$, cf. 393.
441. Cast up to me (and welcome) as an overoos, the things whereby thou wilt find (on closer search) that I have become great : which are to me a к $\alpha \lambda o \partial \nu$ öveioos (Phil. 477).
442. This lucky chance-Tiresias counts as $\tau \dot{v} \chi \eta$ the success in solving the enigma which Edipus described as $\tau \underline{\epsilon} \chi \nu \eta$ and $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$ 380, 398-has, however, undone thee utterly.
443. Cf. 322, 331, 340, 365.
445. ${ }^{2} \mu \pi$. $\delta^{2} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is, turbas ut sis impedimento, viz. in carrying into execution the command of the god.
448. Horat. Carm. 3, 3, Justum virum non woltus instantis tyranni

449. Cf. on 412. In the concluding repetition of his prophecies from 412 ff ., Tiresias is still consiatent with himself in the matter, but, to confuse Edipus's mind yet more, he varies the expressions, and in $\mathbf{v .} 457 \mathrm{ff}$. inverts the order of the facts:
451. ovitos repeated after the assimilation $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \check{a} \nu \delta \rho a \delta \partial \nu \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon i \check{\varrho}$,
 ท) $\delta^{\prime}$ Eativ.
452. $\mu$ érousos, ä̀. Then the construction changes to the verb finite, as 1201. Phil. 213.-Cf. 414.
 419.
456. Seneca, (Ed. 656; Baculo senili triste protentans iter. Ovid. Met. 14, 189, Pratentat manu siloas. Tibull. 2, 1, 77, Et pedibus pratentat iter.
457. Cf. 425 ; $458=422$; $459=415$. Cf. 791 ff .

461. Cf. 605.
462. фа́бккєи, Phil. 405. Cf. 390.

464-511. First stasimon. In Str. and Antistr. 1, the Chorus, setting out with the oracle of Apollo imparted to it in the first scene of the first Epeisodion, expresses its convietion that the murderer will never eseape the gad who is hotly parsuing him. It helps the irony, that the chorus imagines the murderer to be lurking far from the haunts of men : in Str. and Antistr. 2 it reverts to the second scene, between Tiresias and Edipus. In suspense between hope and fear, it will hold fast to EEdipus's proved wisdum and patriatic zeal, until 'Tiresias's horrible prophecy be fulfilled (if so be) by the event. Both are wise, yet both are men, and man can surpass man in wisdom. Only Zeus and Apollo are infallible; not the art of the diviner. In this manner the Chorus, not less devoted to its king than the priest of Zeus, seeks to reason away the impression made
 EEdipus preponderating above its reverence for the seer, notwithstanding 284 ff .
 temple positum est in monte Parnasso in rupe undique impendente, Justin. 24, 6. Here the designation is used with a view to the image in line 1 of the Antistr.




467. ס日evapф́тepav, firmius, perseverantius, cf. 176. Theogn. 986,
 $\theta \tilde{a} \sigma \sigma o \nu " i \pi \pi o v$

469. As the Delphian god declares the will of his father Zeus (see on 151), therefore the poet arms him with the lightnings of the $\pi v \rho-$
 498. With èmevep., ef. 263.
 K $\hat{p} p \in$, , i.e. the Erinyes of Laius, dog the murderer as executioners of the punishment doomed by the higher will of the gods, as evil demons often act by commission of higher deities : in Solon. 11, 75, Zeus sends the *Ar $\eta$ as $\pi / \sigma o \mu t \nu \eta$ among men, and in Rhianos 3, 21,


473 ff . Confirmation of the representation, that the god with his lightnings pursues the murderen. As the poet, setting out with the metaphor of a flash of light ( $\lambda \alpha^{\mu} \mu \pi \varepsilon t \nu, 186$. Phil. 201, $\pi$ קoúqaiv ктúmos), likens the oracle which Creon has brought from Delphi to a beacon shining out from the top of the $\Delta_{\text {e }} \boldsymbol{\phi} \phi \mathrm{ic} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \rho a$, which, as a signal, shall rouse all to the common search; the expression at the same time would remind the Athenian spectators of the Hívica $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau ; \rho \pi \pi a i$ of Apollo $\alpha i \gamma \lambda \dot{\eta} r \eta \mathrm{~g}$, so carefully watched by their Pythaists, the propitious appearance of which on the Harma on Mount Parnes occasioned the sending of $\theta$ Ewpiá to Delphi, Strabo 9, 404. Agreeably with this we may also explain the image used 469 f ., of Apollo's lightnings. The epithet viф́́evzos (Eur. Phoen. 214,
 tain-top.

476 ff . The fugitive homicide ( 294 f ; ) is represented as a bull which has left the herd, and, rejofting in its freedom, strays through wood and rocks. The image is suggested by the hunting term lxvcúctu, for he roams in the wild (CEd. C. 349) wood-lands. In tragedy, the fugitive homicide-originally in the Greek and German mythology conceived of as a wolf (see on 203)-is often likened to other beasts naturally wild, or that have run widd: Athamas in Eur. Ino
 as a startled roe. Here a bull, because this creature, once possessed of its freedom, is not easily caught, whence it is proverbially said




479. Xnpev́wv, bereft of human intercourse.

480 f . He seeks to outrun in flight the pursting $\mu a v r=\varepsilon \pi a$, which


 But let him exert himself ever so much to leave the $\mu$ avrtia behind him, they hover around him, unwearied (45).

483 ff . Awful indeed are the utterances of Tinesias, yet the hope preponderates, that for this once he errs.- ta-


 coalesce.

neither here (before me), nor behind me, i.e. as the matter is
 109, äभa $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$ кai $b \pi i \sigma \sigma \omega$ $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{v} \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota$ ) were of course explained by suitable gestures.
489. "Of, a strife between the Labdacid (Laius) and the son of Polybus (Edipus) have I never heard." Hovering between hope and fear, the Chorus expresses this state of feeling by the thrice-repeated
 $\nu$ ยíkos ĕkıtт (cf. iofávaı veĩos), for which, as in 425, we might

 By this means, the severance of two parties tells with more effect upon the ear.

494 f . Taking occasion of what (unde exorsus, cf. 525), I with clear evidence shall come forward against the popular renown of Gdipus. For the sake of the metre I have added $\sigma v \geqslant$ $\phi \alpha \nu \varepsilon \rho \tilde{q}$, cf. 509 f., while others omit $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \pi^{\prime} \alpha \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\psi}$, in the Antistrophe.


 tion of the vengeance on the unknown murderer, the Labdacidæ obtain èmıкоขpía.

498 ff . Only Zeus and Apollo (the force of the art. is illi quidem, Jup. et Ap.) know all things chearly: but that among men a soothsayer is of more consequence than another man ( $\bar{\eta}$
 of this, a true decision is not possible: doubtless, however, by wisdom man can surpass wisdom: yet will I not give my voice (485, dंжофа́бкогтa) to men blaming (Edipus)
 סevitspa фśpso $\theta a l$, borrowed from the strife of competitors, whence also $\kappa \rho i \sigma \iota \zeta$ and $\pi a \rho a \mu \varepsilon i \beta \varepsilon \iota \nu$, because the point is, how to decide between the claims of two men, both accounted oo申oi (483, 510). But, since CEdipus's oodia has already been proved by its beneficial results, the Chorus, until further evidence, decides for him. Though in Str. and Antistr. 1 the Chorus has extolled the inevitable might of the Delphian god, yet, not to fall under imputation of impiety for doubting the truth of the diviner's art, it once more premises a $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{o}$



509 ff . For the Sphinx, before the eyes of all men, pressed upon Cdipus, and he approved himsclf then oo ós, as afterwards $\dot{\eta} \dot{\delta} \dot{u} \pi o \lambda \iota s$. Therefore shall he in mine eyes never pass for кakós. With фavepá and Bug., ef. 494, Sopocles conceives the Sphinx as rushing upon Edipus to destroy him also, should


 goal, cf. 62, 520, 991. Aj. 799.
518. Biov tov̂ $\mu$ aкр. ( $\mu$ aкраiшves $\beta$ iot, Atschylus ap. Plat. Rep. 2,

383 B, Biorog sủaínv，Trach．81），the advanced age which may be destined for me．

519．The $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda^{2} 0 \hat{v} v$ ought to have $\pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma t o v$ or the like to cor－ respond．But，as the former in point of sense is equivalent to zic


523．But in fact this reproach must have come，perhaps extorted by passion rather than spoken from the ground of the heart：viz．supposing that ©dipus had raised the reproach at all．The Chorus expresses itself with a nice reserve，considerately disguising what has really happened．On $\mu \mathrm{e} v \quad \delta \dot{\eta}$ see on Aj .877.
 more strongly emphatic），and what in all the world could be the handle（494）for saying？
 reserve betrays itself even in the repetition of $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{0} \mu \eta$ ．

528．Creon seeks now to ascertain at least the outward bearing of CEdipus，hoping to be able to draw some conclusion from that．This question also the Chorus declines entering into．－dpêv，of． 419. ठ́pө̂̀s（its opposite，voṽs $\pi \alpha \rho$ ýopos），Ant． 495.

530．The Chorus professes－what was accounted the duty of＇ser－ vants－to have no eyes or ears for $a$ master＇s doings．

534．Edipus，who thinks himself quite sure of his cause（with $\varepsilon \mu \phi a \nu \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ and $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \rho \rho \gamma \dot{\eta} \subseteq$ he seems to allude with a degree of bitter－ ness to Creon＇s words in 96，106），calls Creon the ¢ovev́s of his person，and $\lambda \eta \sigma \tau \eta$ g of his royalty，scoffingly applying to him both the expressions， 124 f． 362 ，used concerning the murder of Laius．His murderer（cf．OEd．C．1361）Edipus could declare Creon to be，be－ cause he believes him to have suborned Tiresias to accuse him as the guilty person，for whom the oracle has ordered death（or banishment）．

538．CEdipus，with regard to his סєı入ia or $\mu \omega \rho$ oia（taking them in the inverse order），asks whether Creon（ $\bar{\ell} \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega \nu$ to be supplied per－
 him，or would be too cowardly to meet them．－$\gamma$ vopioolul，not $\gamma \nu \omega \rho ⿺ 𠃊 \tau ̃ \mu$ ，cf．Aj．1027．Phil．1427，is aecredited，but Par．A has $\boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \rho$ í о $\mu$ ．

541．The $\phi$ 亿六ot are political adherents，立raĩpot，such as those，for instance，who made Pisistratus tyrannus，Herod．1，61．Hence， 542，хюй $\mu a \tau а$.

542．8，a prize，which ．．．．，more expressive than \＃：Eur．El．



544．Cf． 409.

548．©dipus here and àfterwards with bitter scorn repeats Creon＇s phrases．＂Nam altercantes eadem dicendi forma，qua alter usus erat， respondent，＂Hermann on Eur．Androm． 576.

552．тो̀v Sík $\downarrow v$ ，the deserved punishment，Eur．Heracl． 1025 and often，cf． 642 f ．（Edipus unconsciously expresses what in fact exaetly fits himself．

OEdipus Tyr．


556. бequdцаитьv, sarcastically, because Creon had so designated him. The middle $\pi$ equaodal, as 287.
557. Thuc. 3, 38, ó au̇rós єí $\mu \tau \tilde{y} \gamma \nu \omega i \mu \eta$. Phil. 521.
559. Creon cannot comprehend what brings Edipus to Laius, as the subject which he had just started was the advising him to call in Tiresias.



 i. e. $\tilde{\eta} \hat{a} \rho \alpha$, cf. Ed. C. 753, as $\tilde{d} \rho a$, especially before éariv, often


 rect, Sophocles has formed the antithesis more freely, as if $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi v \theta \dot{o} \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ had preceded, cf. 485, 519.)
568. ovitos ó $\sigma$ oфós, bitterly, with reference to 563 .-With тá $\delta$ e EEdipus refers, shrinkingly, to the murder imputed to him, cf. 390 ff .
569. Cf. 1520.
570. With sarcasm CEdipus iterates Creon's wonds (oida and $\phi \rho 0 \nu \tilde{\omega})$, whose maxim he regards as spoken at him: "Thus mućh however, thou surely knowest (ol $\sigma \theta a$ ), and canst well-advisedly
 $\delta^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ oi $\sigma \theta a$, because Tiresias has been the subject of discourse so far.)
571. Creon likewise emphatically iterates oitoa.
 not have spoken of the murder of Laius as mine-as my doing. The hearer finds in it the unconscious truth, He would not have spoken of the murder by me committed. (Döderlein's $\tau$ ás $\delta^{\circ}$ is useless.)
574. If Tiresias so says, thou knowest it (olc $\theta a$ again sarcastically) alone for thyself, and needest not to have the truth confirmed to thee by me. The seemingly harmless words admit, however, of this meaning-that what Tiresias asserts is true, and that Edipus knows it to be sn.-Creon will now put Edipus through the same course of examination ( $\tau \alpha v \theta^{\prime}$, eadem ratione).
576. Edipus immediately thinks that Creon's aim is to convict him of the murder; whereas he only wishes to make it thoroughly clear to Edipus, how absurd it would be for him (Crean) to wish to exchange his honourable position with one scarcely more honourable and far more full of anxiety.

 of words, because he thinks Creon is driving at the murder.
 with her; irov v., apportioning like dignity and honour to the wife, ef. Phil. 1020. Ant. 371.
581. Cf. on Aj. 1174.
582. Eidipus wrests Creon's privilege, looṽo日aı av̀rテ̄̈ $\tau \varepsilon$ кai
'iokóory into matter of reproach: in this very thing-that thou art put upon an equal elevation with us twain-shewest thou thyself a
 makest the equality cease.

583 ff . Creon's defence goes in the first part, 584-602, to the point of shewing in detail the absurdity of the crime imputed to him, since he can have no inducement to exchange his position with respect to CEdipus (590) and the citizens (596) for the more anxious, and not more powerful, possession of the throne. In the second part, 603-615, he counsels him to look closely into the actual facts, and warns him against precipitate judging.
 thoughtfully reason the matter with thyself, even as I reason it with
 cf. 3, $25 ; 4,102 ; 5,138$.
584. тойто трйтov, with this corresponds, in point of sense, 603, каi т $\tilde{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \frac{8}{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \odot \nu-\pi \varepsilon \dot{v} \theta o v$.
 that he shall have.
 CEdipus's charge against him, of making common cause with Tiresias. Cf. 601 f .
590. ävєv ф́́ßov, opp. to 585. Cf. 593.
591. Many things I should, as sovereign, be obliged to do, with inward reluctance, under the apprehension of provoking discontent and conspiracies against me in case of my refusing to do them.
594. outrw, not yet (El. 403), here as a convenient handle for the antithesis $\nu \tilde{v} \nu \pi$. $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega$.

597. aícáג Novou, the beautiful emendation of $L$. Dindorf for हкка$\lambda o \tilde{v} \sigma \iota$.

 their wishes, depends wholly and solely upon this.-ärav, according to the testimony of old grammarians, has the second syllable long in the Attic poets. Buttm. Gr. 1, 254. Usually with Par.
 - 599. Cf. 592. keîva, sovereignty with its discomforts; тáde, my present position.
600. Cf. 594. A man of sound, sensible principles will not become base.
 me, cf. 664.
 down in 584-586 is carried out in two parts, parallel in point of matter and form : a. 587-89=601, 602. b. 590, $91=96-98$. c. $592,93=99$. d. $594,95=600$.

 on 70.
605. тєparкótov, so. Creon calls Tiresias, with allusion to the
meaning of his name, $\dot{\delta}$ т $\dot{\alpha}$ reipsa $\sigma \kappa o \pi \omega \tilde{\nu}$, the wonder-seer,
 cf. 461.
 distinct from the $\lambda \alpha{ }_{\beta} \beta$ ģs of 605 .
608. xwpis, alone, so that, in fact, thou art but following an $\dot{a} \dot{\delta} \eta \lambda o s \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$.


613. The poet here and 615.674 f. points prospectively to (Edipus's later acknowledgment.
614. Because the bad but too easily betray their worthlessness, while the good are often modestly retiring, and the recognition of their worth is a work of time. Simonid. Epigr. 156, Ou̇x $\ddot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \mu \varepsilon i \nsucceq \omega \nu \beta \dot{a}-$

616. The Chorus counsels prudence.- evỉ. meceiv, for one (=in the eyes of him) who takes heed to himself not to fall. The same image in raxv́s, גббфа入и́s, $\chi \omega \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\nu} \nu$.

618. ©Edipus (cf. 545 f.) will have nothing to do with arguments and grounds of reason.
619. ка́ú́, cf, on 500.
622. Cf. ©d. C. 643.
623. Because of the double guilt, 534 f .
624. $\theta a v o \bar{u} \mu a t$, when thou shalt first have satisfied me by argument what room there can be for that supposed $\phi$ Oóvog of mine against thee. Creon had even now circumstantially combated this delusion.
625. Instead of proofs, Edipus insists upon unconditional obedience to the commands of the sovereign.
626. The altercation now worked up to its highest point is marked by the dialogue breaking off into hemistichs, dealt out, blow for

 as kakóg he does not deserve it.
 obey the command of the ápxw . Creon: No, they must not, if one rules ill. diprrínv has a passive signification, after the analogy of certain verbs in which the middle or passive has acquired a peculiar sense, such that it hardly reminds one of the meaning



 equivalent to pareo.-suvíis for Guvins I have here as Ant. 399. EI. 1339, restored from the MSS. : El. 586, "Eec. From the original
 tion causing the vowel to be modified so that $\varepsilon a$ comes in the place of $\eta$.
629. CEdipus appeals to the judgment of the city by which he liad been elevated to the post of ruler, 382 ff.

630．I too，says Creon，belong to the city，and my word also has a right to be heard，cf．Ant． 737.

631．The altercation is broken off by Jocasta＇s appearing on the scene，ef．Aj． 1316 f．

633．єṽ 0＇́テOar，El． 1434.
634．Tìv oráctv，the strife which ye raise；your quarrel－not т $\grave{v} \boldsymbol{0}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ with Döderlein．

637．oủk єi－xail $\mu \dot{\eta}, \mathrm{Aj}$ ．75．The preposition is also to be sup－ plied to outcove，ef． 734.

640．Creon mitigates the punishment demanded by ©idipus（623） in order to make it easier for him to retract．Edipus has given option of two hard things（GEd．C．636，rovir $\omega \nu$ dídupi бot крí－
 1320．Svoiv is to be pronounced as one syllable，as bis（i．e．．duis＝ dvis），and in Plautus often duorum，duarum，pronounced drorum，
 for $\delta v i \varsigma]$ ．The lengthening of the second syllable in ámoкоivac before muta cum liquida in tragedy is very rare．Perhaps it should be סvoĩv èv dimoxpivac．

## 641．入aßఱ́v，607．CEd．C． 475.

642．Edipus justifies the $\delta \varepsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \kappa \dot{a} \quad \delta \rho a ̃ \sigma a \iota$ upon Creou by his own $\delta \rho \tilde{\alpha} \sigma a \iota$ какw̃ $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \tau$ ．каки̃，agreeably with the ancient
 as Archilochus says．Cf． 551 f．EEd．C． 229 f．Aj．678．El． 1026.


643．той $\dot{\partial} \nu \sigma \omega ิ \mu a, ~ E l . ~ 1233 . ~$
647．ठркоs $\theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\omega}$ ，sacred oath，because the gods，if he lies，shall punish him．So in Homer，$\Delta \iota o ́ s$, or，$\theta \in \omega ̃ \nu$＂оркє $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́:$ in Xenoph． Anab．2，5，7，оі $\theta \varepsilon \tilde{\omega} \nu$ ӧркои．

650．$\theta \in \lambda$ グбas（Phil．1327）refers to the good will，фpov．to the prudence and cleverness of Exipus．
 by the oath 644 f ．has bound himself to Zivis＂Ooкlos，is thereby made strong．

655．Cf．Phil．342， 559.
656．dvayn＇s，because he becomes $\dot{\alpha} \rho \tilde{q}$ zvoxos the moment he swerves from the truth，644．－iv aitiqu Badeiv，Trach．940，aitia $\beta a \lambda \varepsilon i \pi \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \bar{y}$.





659．Inasmuch as Creon，should I let him go，will carry out his treason against me，and be urgent to apply to me one of the two punishments（641）．

660．oủ，i．e．ov̀ $\mu \alpha ́$, 1088．Ant．785．El．1063．Helios，the light－ bringing，all－seeing and all－hearing god，is often invoked in oaths and asseverations，Il．3，277．Hymn．Merc．381．Ed，C．868．He is called т $\rho o ́ \mu o s$ probably because he ascends and descends in heaven as the $\pi \rho \dot{\rho} \mu a \chi$ os and $\phi \dot{v} \lambda a \xi \not \xi^{\prime}$ of the gods．
 pliciis.
665. ф0ivouga, not corresponding with the antistrophe 694 (whence Dindort conj. $\phi \theta$ ivás $)$, seems to me to have intruded from 25 f . Soph. perhaps wrote $\gamma \tilde{\alpha} \mathrm{S}$ עórog.
666. The codd. have cal tá $\delta^{\prime}$ and certainly one does desiderate кai. Probably the corruption lies deeper.

669. CEdipus, here also фı㒸кoıvos, gives up Creon to the prayer of the citizens, without being reconciled in heart.- $\delta^{\mathbf{\prime}} \mathbf{o v i v}^{\circ}$ Aj. 961.
670. Cf. 658 f.
 sumes that Creon will flee from Thebes, which in the end is his own destiny. But I am inclined to conjecture $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \tau^{\prime} \hat{a} \nu y^{\prime}$.
673. Taking up $\sigma \tau v \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$, Creon says, Plainly thou art full of hatred towards me ( $\sigma \tau v \gamma \nu o ́ g$ actively, as $\sigma \tau \nu \gamma \nu \dot{s}$ кal $\pi \iota \kappa \rho o ́ g$, Zeno ap. Diog. Laert. 7, 16), although thou yieldest, but thou wilt presently be heavily pressed by remorse-from $\varepsilon l$ supply EOt-so soon as thou shalt have come to the end of thy wrath. Instead of the usual $\theta v \mu \dot{\partial} \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho \tilde{q} \nu$, Sophocles puts the
 615 , the poet points prospectively to the actual result.
674. ai tolavital, which passionately refuse to listen to reason. Cf. Ant. 767.
677. Having found in thee one that knows me not-i. e., by thee misknown-but to these the same that I always was.
678. After Creon's departure, the Chorus wishes EEdipus also away, that there may be peace, ef. 637. 861.
 chanced. Jocasta is made to ask what is the matter, in order that Edipus, in process of the dialogue, may be startled out of the security he has hitherto felt.
681. An empty suspicion (Trach. 425 f.) without clear proof
 (523) from the speeches. (Or ajyws $\lambda$ doy $\omega$, that sees no reasons?) The Chorus means, on the one hand, the disclosures, by it strongly doubted, of Tiresias; on the other hand, the accusations which ©dipus has thrown out against Creon. With reference to the latter, the words, which are designedly vague, might be understood to mean, a groundless suspicion came up in respect of words supposed to have passed underhand between Creon and Tiresias. Though the Chorus thus lays the blame of the misunderstanding upon Cidipus, at the same time it seeks to excuse his vehemence: But on the other hand it stings one to be laid under ungrounded (1158) accusations, such as Tiresias has uttered.

 عiov ; Though the Chorus answers in the affirmative, it shrinks from entering into further explanations which would pain CEdipus.
685. тротоv. (какоข $\mu^{\prime} \nu \eta \zeta$ ), a stronger furm of $\pi 0 \nu$., far gone in



687. The reserve with which the Chorus, of very devotion to EEdipus, has spoken of the matter, puts him out of terioper, and he says, Seest thou what thou art come to (how thine unseasonable mildness towards Creon makes thee unjust towards me, cf. Ed. C. 941), in that thou art backward to spealk of that wherein I am fully in the right ?
688. тoùudv mapleis, leaving out of view my interests, кail ( $\tau \boldsymbol{\iota} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \partial \nu$ ) кéap кат., and blunting my heart by thine intercession, so that Creon does not feel the edge of mine anger. Wsch.


689 f . The Chorus vindicates itself from the reproach of deserting the king.-oùx äт. $\mu$ óvov, ef. 660 ff.
690. The accumulation $\pi a \rho .$, äm. è $\pi i$ фp. (ad quodrvis prudens consilium inhabilis) shews how much the Chorus wishes not to be misunderstood by Edipus. Cf. 661.

694 f . Edipus has erewhile steered the ship of the state into the right course ( 35 f .52 f .506 f .), and may he now again prove a for-
 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \varepsilon \nu 0 \tilde{v}$. The last word is commonly regarded as a gloss, as the metres moreover agree, when it is omitted. But as then the con-

 wish. To me, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\text {g v }} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ o seems to be originally an emendation written over díva by some early copyist, meaning that Sophocles wrote
 dívato, then the Chorus gives a second reason for its firm adherence to CEdipus, viz. Edipus's good will to help if he had the power.
699. Cf. on Phil. 327.-ioтávaı $\mu \not ิ \nu เ v$, as $\beta$ oŋ̀ $\nu$, concipere iram.
700. I honour thee, Jocista, more than I honour these, who would fain cloak all with the mantle of love, cf. 685 f.
 Phil. 439.
702. Jocasta wishes for distinct information as to the occasion of the dispute: Speak, if laying the blame of the
 it clearly-let me know plainly what it is, The emphasis is on шафй¢.
703. So little credit has Creon found for his assurances, that with the sayings of Tiresias ( 574 f.) he has nothing to do, ef. 362.
706. As regards his own person, he keeps his mouth altogether clean, in that he has taken good care not to utter the accusation himself, and so all- the blame falls upon Tiresias,
707. áф. otavtóv, let thyself loose, free from the cares which hamper and straiten thee, and give ear, as touching that thon speakest of, to me. Jocasta avoids mentioning the murder of Laius.

711. oũk êp $\hat{\omega}$, as elsewhere où $\lambda_{\hat{\varepsilon}} \gamma \omega$, that one may not seem to blaspheme, but cf. 720.

contrast with Apollo's prophecy : robbers and withal strangers: so far was it from being his own son !
716. 中ovévovel, the present, as in 113.
 ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \iota \psi \varepsilon \nu: \nu \iota \nu$ is repeated (248) because of the interposed o $\delta \delta$. $\ddot{\eta} \mu \dot{́} \rho a \iota$ т $\rho \varepsilon \tilde{u}_{\mathrm{S}} \kappa$ к $\mathfrak{i}$, non intercesserant tres dies et abjecit. The note of time is annexed by kai, as Phil. 354 f. Ant. 1187. Thuc. 1, 50, $\boldsymbol{\eta} \delta \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta$


719. The unusual ending of the verse ( Aj . 459, кai $\pi \varepsilon \delta i a \tau a d \delta$ )
 tp $p \mu i a v$ ) more strikingly depicts the frightful coldness of the mother's heart.
720. ${ }^{\eta} \nu v \sigma \in \nu$, cf. on 156. Instead of saying, and there he perished, Jocasta brings prominently forward what suits her purpose of depreciating prophecy and divination.
722. Jocasta passes from the father to the son, and then comes back to the former. In exactparallelism with $\phi$ о $\nu \in \in \alpha \in \nu$. $\pi a \tau \rho o ́ c$, , she says,

724. What the god once thinks worth while to search out, that will he with ease himself (without the prophets) bring to
 saying is verified quite otherwise than it was meant,
728. vimootpaфeis, to what anxiety suddenly turning thyself again, and letting go thy former feeling of seeurity (707). Cf.

733. The spot where the three roads meet is still shown, and is named, after a ruined Turkish village, тò $\sigma \tau а v \rho o \delta \rho \grave{\mu} \mu$ т $\overline{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{M}$ Máp-mavac.-Jocasta's levity meets with its immediate punishment, as the very mention pointedly made by her of the $\tau \rho \iota \pi \lambda a \tilde{\imath} \dot{\alpha} \mu a \dot{\xi} \iota \tau o i$ (i.e. a high-way where many travellers come together from all parts of the world) leads to the discovery of the truth.
734. ámó belongs also to $\Delta \varepsilon \lambda \phi \tilde{\omega} \nu$, ef. 637, 761 .
 $\tau \tilde{\mu} \pi \alpha \downarrow \delta i \delta_{\iota} \pi \tau \varepsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \varphi$; Thuc. 1, 13, [where see my note and Gr. 1147, i].

- 740 f . What stature Laius had, and withal what ripeness of age: quamnam habuerit staturam, quam simul habens cetatem (istann quam dices staturam habuerit). Edipus's disquietude is expressed by the poet in the connexion $\varepsilon \bar{i} \chi \varepsilon-\varepsilon \in \omega \nu$. (This turn of expression has been needlessly objected to: if any alteration were necessary, we should propose $\varepsilon \mathbb{\rho} \rho \pi \varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon i \chi \varepsilon$, proficisoebatur Delohos, so that ${ }^{\varepsilon} \chi \omega \omega \nu$ should be referred also to riva $\left.\dot{\phi} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu.\right)$

 transition from middle life to old age.


747. $\beta \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \pi \omega \boldsymbol{}$, ef. 371 ff .
748. Cf. 746. Instead of the vulg. óкṽ̃ $\mu^{\prime} \nu$, La. has $\delta \kappa \nu \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \nu$, according to which I restore what seems to me the true reading, ${ }^{0} \kappa \nu \varphi$

 Gr．69，16．A．1．－a $\delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{a} v$ ，so the best MSS．：$\alpha \nu \delta^{\prime}$ is an unecessary alteration，cf．729， 763.

750．Balos，in unimposing attire，and with scanty attendance．
753．Cf． 802.
756．Cf． 118 f．
759．Aátó́v $\tau^{3}{ }^{3} \lambda$ ．has attached itself to $\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \tau \varepsilon$ ễ $\chi о \nu \tau a$ ，whereas properly it should be $\operatorname{\Lambda at}$ ov $3 \lambda \omega \lambda$ órog，ef．on 1360.

762．In order，at the greatest possible distance，to see the city from afar，to be as far from it as possible．Cf．Phil，467，


763．of＇à．Soû入os，for a slave，in whom one cannot exactly look for faithfulness．The reading＂$\gamma^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}$ ．，ö oz $\gamma^{\prime}$＇is corrected by Hermann．

765．$\pi \omega ̂ s$ âv $\mu$ ódol，cf．Aj．388．Phil．794，1214．With this ex－ actly corresponds Plautus，Trin．923，Qui istum di perdant．Casin． 2，4，1，Qui illum di deceque perdant．

767．Edipus is thinking of the curse he denounced．$\delta 6 \delta$ ．é $\mu a v \tau 6 v$,
 фрáббато ßоu入ás．

770．Etv roi，what within thee troubles thee．
 ieties I am so far gone，that I may expect the disclosure of the worst．Cf． 125.

773．ઠ̊à т．т．¿む́v，permeans per lıanc fortunam，versans in hac

 äт $\eta \mathrm{c}$ ä̉

774．Cf．Introd．p． 1 f．
775．$\Delta \omega$ ís，from the parts of Doris by Mount Eta．－门ㄱópŋv，


 sent it as a trivial circumstance，not worth his serious regard，that he may not wound Jocasta＇s pride by the doubt of his equality with her in point of birth．－On the homoteleuton d $\xi_{i}$ ia see on 1280.

779．The plur．ס́ìmva，a convivial entertainment，Trach．267，
 as $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \tilde{v} \nu, \pi \iota \mu \pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu a$, and similar verbs admit the instrumentalis as well as the genitive．
 accumulation of the synonymes $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \delta \varepsilon i \pi \nu 0 t \varsigma, \pi a \rho \rho^{\prime}{ }^{\mu} \nu \psi \psi, \dot{v} \pi \varepsilon \rho \pi \lambda$ ． $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \eta$ ，Edipus seeks to enforce his representation that it was a mere idle word．

782．катє́नXov，tuli，held out，cf．Phil． 690.
 （from whom the word slipped），implying that it was spoken without thought or serious meaning．


786. viф. $\pi \mathbf{\pi}$ 人d́, it came over me, much as I was delighted with the way in which my parents took it, more and more-with great force.
 1273, 1278. Ant. 22) for which I had come, the clearing up of my doubts touching my parentage.
789. Threefold epithets, as the oracle was threefold.
790. трои̇фáv $\lambda$ 入é $y$., reserved and shut up to my question, Apollo, to my surprise, volunteered this announcement. (The conjecture $\pi \rho \circ$ й $\phi \eta \nu \varepsilon \nu$ only dilutes the poet.)

791 ff . Cf. 413 ff .457 ff .
793. тоv̂ фvт. тaтpós, cf. 436, 827.
795. I would shun the Corinthian land, thenceforth measuring my way by the stars, guiding my wandering by the stars, and eschewing intercourse with all whom I knew. "A $\sigma \boldsymbol{\tau} \rho$ ois $\sigma \eta \mu a i$ $\nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \tau \varepsilon \kappa \mu a i \rho \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \iota \circ \tilde{v} \sigma \theta a \iota$, a proverbial expression, borrowed from the ancient navigators (Virg. Æn. 5, 25), applied to persons who wander without a guide through desert and, to them, unknown lands, and shun inhabited places; especially to those $\phi \varepsilon \dot{v}-$




 Cf. Phil. 454.

799. б̈ $\lambda$ voण ou, cf. 118, 714.
800. кai- ${ }^{\mathbf{\xi}} \leqslant \in \rho \hat{\omega}$. © . at the point where he is concerned to relate truly and faithfully a long-forgotten incident, at the thought of which he now shudders.
801. Cf. 716.
802. He distinctly mentions, because of 753, the $\kappa \tilde{\eta} \rho v \xi$ sitting on the pole of the carriage, and the king on the dípoos of the $\alpha \pi \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$
 that the description there given of the person of Laius agrees. To mark the identity with the persons mentioned 753, Edipus accu-

 the poets, where two clauses of a sentence have a term common to both of them, to move it into the latter clause, thereby giving greater force to this, and connecting both more closely: El. 105, ${ }_{E} \in \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \nu$


 xоцрáda.
 procedure of the charioteer and of Laius: in 806 ff . he specifies the outrage attempted by each severally. The driver is first called more grandly $\kappa \tilde{\eta} \rho \cup \xi$ because of 753, cf. Hom. Il. 24, 178 ff., then
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu(\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \ddot{\prime} \pi \pi \omega \nu)$ and $\tau \rho \circ \chi \eta \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \mathrm{g}$. In the CEdipodeia his name was Polyphetes, Pherecydes Scholl. Eur. Phoen. 39 calls him Polypoetes.
806. тòv ékтре́тогтa: which of the two, as both Laius and his $\eta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu \omega^{\prime} \nu$ are in question, is marked by the addition rò $\nu \tau \rho \circ \chi \eta \lambda$. (cf.



808. Having watched for opportunity the passer of the chariot, i. $e$. within reach of the chariot while in the act of passing it, and right for Laius's blow. Instead of of x ov rapact. which would have been ambiguous, Sophocles chooses the more refined
 $\tau \tilde{S} \mathrm{o} \delta \delta_{0}$, which is to be connected neither with $\tau \eta \varrho \dot{\eta} \sigma a \mathrm{~S}$, nor with

 staff with which the beasts were driven. Soph. Andromed. 137,




 aught of kindred with Laius. (Edipus shrinks from the very uttering of the thought, if the stranger was Laius; because even the other less horrible way of putting the case makes him shudder.тov̀s $\bar{\xi} \dot{\mu} \mu \pi$., except only the $\phi o ́ \beta \psi \phi u \gamma \omega \dot{\omega}, 118$, who escaped to Thebes

 whence Dindorf has restored the true reading. (It will not do to reject the verse; in that case it would be necessary to write $\frac{\varepsilon}{\ell} \mu \mathrm{v} \tilde{v}$ for $\alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \dot{n} \rho$ in the next line.) The verse serves for pause and transition to ©dipus's reflections. Cf. 822.
 Perspicuity forbade to choose the accusative öy $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ff. Xenoph.

 denounced above, 236 ff ., could hold only for the Theban citizens : as Cdipus here (823) immediately thinks of exile (cf. 455 ff .) he expresses the thought, that him, the blood-stained murderer banished by command of Apollo himself, no man whatever may receive into his honse, by the formula $\mu \dot{\eta} \xi \dot{\xi} \nu \omega \omega \nu \mu \eta \delta \dot{\Sigma} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \nu$ ras. Cf. II. 24, 202.
 Pyth. 4, 78, కॄєivoş aif' $\omega \nu$ dá $\sigma$ ós, Soph. El. 975. Trach. 187. (Din-

 Yet $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon$ may also be taken as precursive of the more exact $\tau \alpha \dot{c} \delta \delta^{\circ}$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho a ́ c$ following, as if the poet had thought of saying, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\delta} \varepsilon \dot{\delta} \dot{\delta} \pi \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\omega}-$


820. Cf. 744 f.
822. To the punishment which falls upon him as the murderer of

Laius, he adds for aggravation, that he has ascended the marriagebed of the slain. To this he again, as in 815, attaches a double question : am I simply какós, am I notutterly unclean?
823. Edipus, all unforeboding of his true parentage, depicts to himself how, banished from Thebes, he may not dare to approach his supposed home, that he may not see the dreadful oracle, 791 ff ., receive its accomplishment.
 as 817. The genitive with $\mathfrak{k} \mu \beta a \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \iota \nu$, to set foot in my native land (see on 808), as EEd. C. 400. Aj. 1274. (Not so well accre-

827. This verse, misliked by some modern edd., is indispensable, as giving marked expression once more to Edipus's unshaken belief that
 reflection might raise a doubt in the mind of the hearer. EEdipus's clear perception of the fact, that it was Laius whom he slew, leads him back to the old oracle, and puts him on quite a false track, alarmed as he is, not so much at having slain this to him $\xi_{\xi}^{\prime} \nu 0 \varsigma \alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \eta \eta^{\prime} \rho$, as at the contemplation of the fulfilment of the (in fact long fulfilled) oracle. It is the bitterest irony, that Edipus is a second time misled by the oracle. For it was precisely in his position at Corinth that he would have continued $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu o ́ s$.

828 f . The question : would not one direct his speech aright (hit the exact truth) in the case of this man (me), if he explained these circumstances as appointments of a ruthless demon? winds up the reflections with the leading thought that Gdipus is еौ $\chi$ Өродаі $\mu \omega \nu, 816$.
830. Cf. 823: Phil. 1284.
832. It has been prōposed as a necessary correction to read ídoiv, an optative form which can be instanced only from comic poets and Euripides. But, though passages such as 505. Phil. 961. Trach. 658, favour the requirement of the optative, there are others which defend the objective way of putting it by the infin. after $\pi \rho \rho_{\sigma} \sigma \theta \in \nu \quad \grave{\eta}$



833. Cf. ©Ed. C. 1134.

835. Cf. 756 ff.
837. Cf. 761, and on the apposition $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \beta$ о $\tau \bar{j} \rho \alpha, 806$.
 in his appearing?
841. $\pi \epsilon p / \sigma \sigma 6 v$, important.
842. Cf. 715. The construction as 224, 780.-Wonderful irony in the turn given to the circumstances, by which CEdipus, who (see on 124) has hitherto always spoken of one murderer, is now led to place all his hope on the establishment of the popular belief, that the murder was the act of many.
845. roîs moddoîs means the murderers mentioned by Jocasta, those many; with the general reflection he mixes up a reference to

would give a sharper edge to the irony，Edipus in that case speaking quite generally．And so in fact the common reading may be taken，

 travelling：Orpheus Hermesianact．Eleg．é $\tau \lambda \eta$ па $\rho \dot{\alpha}$ к $\boldsymbol{v} \mu \alpha$ доүó－ $\zeta \omega \sigma \tau 0 \varsigma$ кı $\theta$ api $\zeta \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ．Nauplius with a single vessel attacks the fleet of


848．Cf．956．Ph．253．415．El． 882.
852 ff ．Let the shepherd，if he will，swerve from his former account，still he would not thereby make out the slaying of Laius，as right were（ $\delta u s a i \omega \mathrm{~g}$ ，according to the common concep－ tions of men concerning the $\dot{\alpha} \psi \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon_{S}$ oró $\mu a$ of the god），to have come about correctly．Jocasta maintains，that even if this naming of a single murderer would make it so far tally with CEdi－ pus＇s adventure，still the express declaration of the oracle（ $\delta\llcorner\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon$ ， see on 410）that Laius should fall by the hand of his own son，would be falsified，consequently no need for Cdipus to trouble himself any


$$
\text { 855. Cf. } 720 \mathrm{ff} .
$$



 cf．EEd．C．280，with the thought， 723 ff．

859．ка入فs vou．，very good，but still ．．．．Edipus assents not heartily，but in this way he cuts short what Jocasta might have said further，and wishes the shepherd to be fetched with speed．




Second Stasimon 863－910．The natural reluctance of the Theban citizens to speak openly against the consort of their pious （ 830 ff ．）highly－revered king（ 505 ff .689 ff ．），whose meritorious ser－ vices towards the state are here also thankfully mentioned， 879 ff ．， spreads a kind of＇clare－obscure＇over this magnificent ode．

Str．l．The holy divine ordinances，the everlastingly im－ perishable，may I never transgress！

863 f ．In place of the infin．中自 $\rho \in \iota \nu$（exercise，observe）attaching
 887），the participle is immediately joined on to $\xi u v \varepsilon i \eta \eta \mu o \ell$ ，so that from it the infin．is to be understood．In the participle（ef．316）is implied the consciousness of having thus far lived purely，hence also $\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon \tilde{v} \sigma_{\text {．}} \dot{a} \gamma \nu \varepsilon i a v$ ．The Chorus prays the gods may grant it the lot evermore to be enabled to exercise its piety．For it is the grace of the gods that gives man the power for this also：Xenophanes Eleg．




864．$\lambda$ óy $\omega \nu$ ёрүшv $\tau \epsilon$ ，in words and works，ef．517， 383.
865．тро́кєเขтal，cf．Ant． 477.
866 ff ．The divine ordinances which no length of time impairs， the laws，innate in man，of religion and morals（äүоафоь עó $\mu \circ$ ，ó коь－

OEdipus Tyr．


 They pace on high above the earth, because they are of divine original, and untouched by the wit of man, as the Ate, Iliad 19, 92,


 $\boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{u} \gamma \tilde{\eta} c$.
867. " $0 \lambda \nu \mu \mu \mathrm{mos}$, also Ant. 605. CEd. C. 1654 f. Seat of the gods.
871. $\mu$ ย́үas $\theta$ é́s, numen divinum, cf. 27.-oủסé $\gamma \eta p$., Ant. 898.

Antistr. 1. The $v^{*} \beta$ pıs, the antithesis to $\sigma \omega \varphi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{v} \eta \eta$ and $\varepsilon \tilde{v} \sigma \varepsilon \pi \tau o s$ d $\gamma \nu \varepsilon i \alpha$, the presumptuous conceit of setting himself up
 sooner or later hurls the sinner into a headlong abyss, where it destroys him. I will never cease to put myself under the protection of the god. The concluding thought strikes upon the same chord with that in the end of the strophe, resembling it even in the cadence.
873. Insolence begets the tyrant, insolent disregard of the holy ordinances makes the $\tau \dot{v} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \nu \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{g}$ (here in the bad sense which in later times preduminated in this word) who acts upon his own arbitrary will, and abuses his ${ }_{0} \lambda \beta$ og, 380 ff . As olympus is the father of the moral laws, so is arbitrary rule the child of $\because \beta \rho i g$, hence the same metaphor $\phi$ vicúes.-The poet controverts, as Esch. Ag. 735 ff ., the popular view, that ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \boldsymbol{\beta}$ og in itself generates кópos,

 makes the man an evil rúpaypoç. Affection to EEdipus, who is often called rúpapyog in the good sense, leads the Chorus to choose this
 Jocasta is the object of its aversion.

874 ff . Insolence, when once it has climbed to the topmost steep of its perversity and perniciousness, with reeling foot topples headlong down into the abyss whence there is no escape. With strong em-
 dition. The image of climbing and falling corresponds with that of the high-pacing, sure-footed mareh of the divine ordinances, 866 ff . $-\epsilon \mathfrak{l}-\mathrm{v} \pi \in \rho \pi \lambda$.. cf. 198, suggestive of cópps.- $\mu a ́ \tau a v$, cf. 891.
 (wholesome) point to 717 ff .
376 f . The hiatus before $\stackrel{1}{\sim}$ àmóтopov I have filled up as Arndt with $a i \pi o \varsigma$, as $\varepsilon i \varsigma a \nu a \beta$. demands a local accus. The $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}$ ó
 inevitable ärv, which in the end infallibly seizes the man of crime, see Æsch Eutn. 346 ff . A similar image Ant. 853, $\Pi \rho o \beta \bar{a} \sigma^{\circ} \quad \varepsilon \pi^{\prime}$
 $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$. The poet just hints at the punishment by which Jocasta will be dashed in pieces.
878. The irony on the vain endeavour of the overthrown üptorat to escape from the $a \dot{a} \eta \eta$ is heightened by ov $\pi \circ \delta i \chi \rho \eta \sigma i \mu \varphi \chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \tau a t$,
cf. on 271. Aj. 309. El: 742. The expression borders upon an

879. The thought of the pit of destruction into which Jocasta will be precipitated, reminds the chorus of the near relation in which she stands to OEdipus (579), for which reason it beseeches the god, that he will never permit the $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda a i \sigma \mu \alpha$, so beneficial to the state, namely, the deliverance from the Sphinx, to come to nought, ef. 506 f. 694 f.
881. This sentence rounds off the strain to the point from which it started, the thought corresponding with that in the beginning and end of the strophe, while at the same time it serves to draw on the



Str. 2. But if any presumptuously transgress the holy ordinances, evil be that person's lot: if such impious daring be honoured, there is an end of all reverence for the gods.-Thus the curse imprecated upon $\boldsymbol{a}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\varepsilon \iota} \alpha$, forms the antithesis to the prayer in Str, 1. Though couched in quite general terms, it is meant for Jocasta alone.
 sumptuous ways, accards with the images used 866.876.-Xepoiv


887. кaká viv è $\lambda$. $\mu \mathrm{oi} p a$ (864), the middle voice, because the $\kappa \alpha \kappa \eta \mu_{0} \tilde{\iota} \rho \alpha$ claims him as her right.



889. What was said in the protasis el-mopevécal, is taken up afresh, and expressed more in detail by $\varepsilon i \mu \eta \dot{\eta}-\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta a v \varepsilon \tilde{L}$. Cf. 165. 337. Aj. 841. 769. El. 572. In the first member of the secend


 and Jocasta by unrighteous deeds sought their own advantage, cf. 717 ff . Here, as in 873 , the chorus deserts the popular morality,


890. ảaÉTTTんv, cf. 864.

892 f . The chorus, startled at the freedom of its own expressions, justifies itself by the thought, What man will any longer in such a state of things. (Ant. 39, $\varepsilon i \quad \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \quad z u$ rov́rors), be able to boast of keeping aloof the arrows of wrath from his soul? In its pious zeal, the chorus even blames the long-suffering of the gods, if they do not cause the deserved punishment to take effect. $\theta v \mu \omega \nu \quad \beta \varepsilon \lambda \eta$, the imprecation against the presumptuous transgressors, 887, agreeably

 well be taken together, I have put $\theta v \mu \tilde{\nu} v$ : the plural has an in-
tensive force，wrathful indignation，as $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ，$\theta$ ávatol，$\delta \varepsilon 亢 \pi \pi \nu a$ ， and the like．－evegetal，Musgrave，instead of the erroneously re－



895．ai rolaí $\varepsilon$ ，as $892 \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ тоĩđ $\delta \varepsilon, 901 \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \delta \varepsilon$ ，pointing with reserve at that which it blames．

896．Xop．，as part of the festival worship of the gods；as the chorus which is singing this ode and dancing to it（xopevév）is doing it in honour of Dionysos．

Antistr．2．Unless as a warning example for every man it be made manifest that Jocasta＇s impiety against divine oracles shall not go unpunished，then shall I no more resort to the holy places where they are uttered．May Zeus resent the impiety，else the honour of the gods is at an end．The chorus has no foreboding that the fulfilment，for which it is so zealous，of the ancient oracle would have the effect of plunging its king into the deepest misery．

897 f．It names three oracles much resorted to from Thebes，$\delta a t-$
 to 891，inculcating that no human presumption can wob the holy of its dignity）；namely，two of Apollo（Delphi put first，being that from which Laius received his oracle），one of Zeus；the two ora－ cular gods（see on 151），because the matter in hand is the fulfilment of the oracle．Hence also，904，invocation of Zeus，and 909 mention of Apollo．In the like mood Ulysses says in Soph．＇Oঠvareĩ＇Aкav－
 $\pi \varepsilon i \sigma \varepsilon ⿺ 𠃊$

898．үâs ${ }^{\circ} \mu \phi a \lambda \delta{ }^{2}$ ，cf．480．Beside the sacrificial hearth in the temple at Delphi，there lay a white stone in the form of a truncated cone，which the Delphians regarded as the centre of thewearth． Fisch．，Eum．66，and other poets，often designate Delphi accordingly，


899．Abæ in Phocis，famed for the temple and oracle of Apollo＇A $\beta a i \mathrm{o}$ ， which was reckoned older than that at Delphi．Herod．8，33，Ev


 （ $\theta$ zóv），Trach． 173.

900．In Olympia was a primeval oracle of Zeus，presided over by the priestly family of the Iamidæ，in which the divination was by the burning of the sacrifices，empyromantia．Pind．Ol．8，3：
 ápүчкєрайขov．

901．тáठє（cf．568），on 895.
902．¿рpиóct，intrans．，as Ant．1303．El． 1293 ：if this shall not，as a pointing of the finger for all mankind，fit itself with（the ancient oracle），agree with and confirm it．

903 ff ．If rightly thou art called all－ruler．Cf．©d．C．
 expects $\pi a^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ，and Hermann restores this，striking out $\mathrm{Z} \varepsilon \tilde{v}$ as a

which is facilitated by the adverbial $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$ ，i．e．，$\pi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma a c$ ávaక̧as auá $\sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ ，lording all lordships．
 The subject is either rád 901 ，or the whole following sentence ： $\phi \theta^{\prime} \nu o \nu \tau a \quad \gamma$ àp ff．（Dindorf $\lambda \alpha^{\prime} \theta \eta$ ．）


 $\rho \circ \tilde{v} \sigma \iota \nu$（Ėкфav入i乡ovaıv，${ }^{\prime} \phi a \nu i \zeta o u \sigma \iota \nu$ ），with reference to Jocasta，as sounds which have died a way；evanescent，unfulfilled．

909．Cf．912， 972.
911．Xépas äv．，cf．Ant．988．CEd．C．780．831．With levity，and in an undignitied manner，Jocasta says，$\delta \dot{\sigma} \xi a \mu 0 \iota \pi a \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha^{\prime} \theta \eta$, I have taken the fancy into my head，and $\nu \alpha 0$ vis $\delta a \mu \mu$ ．（ $886 \delta \alpha \mu \mu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ ${ }^{*} \delta \eta$ oi $\sigma \in \beta^{\prime} \beta \omega \nu$ ，instead of which she coutents herself with addressing the god whose image happens to stand nearest，919．Just in like manner，Clytæmnestra，El． 634 ff．

913．$\sigma \tau \in ́ \phi \eta$ ，as in＇́tic，920，as 3.

 Plaut．Truc．2，8， 10 ，animos sustulit，of haughtiness．The simile of the unquiet sea，cf． 922 ，seems to be implied in this expression．

916．She would have Cedipus judge of the new oracle by the old one，which was never fulfilled，and therefore not trouble himself about it．
 $\lambda$ é ${ }^{\circ}$

919．Apollo áyvuég，трогтати́ptos（El．637）．Joc．calls him $\Lambda u ́ \kappa \varepsilon \iota o s(n o t e 203)$ ，because she expects $\lambda$ úбıv from him．See on 70 f ．

920．тoîsठ́ $\sigma$ oùv кat．，either，with this my prayer，as Hor． hac prece te oro，or better，with these $\theta \dot{v} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$（ $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \alpha \rho \pi \alpha$ ，El． 634 f．），$\frac{\dot{b}}{} \pi \iota \theta v \mu \iota \alpha \mu a \tau a$（913），which Jocasta presents to the god for commendation of her $\varepsilon \dot{v} \chi \dot{\eta}$. For sủגai require $\theta \dot{v} \mu a \tau a, 239$.

921．The 入úors itself is called pure，pious，because the matter



923．All shrink，because without CEdipus the state is a ship without a pilot，cf． 694 ff ．With this similitude Jocasta denotes extremity of distress ；while（Ovid，Met．11，492）ipse puret nec se qui sit status ipse fatetur Scire ratis reetar．

928．An unconscious equivoque here，as 264.
930．$\pi$ a．vte ${ }^{\text {riss }}$ ，because Jocasta was pointed out as the wedded wife of CEdipus，blessed with children．Cf．Ant．1016，1163．Con－
 סó $\mu \mathrm{os}$ ijutт $\lambda \dot{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ ，cf．Lucian．Dial．Mort．19，1．For the hearers， apprised as they are of the true state of the case，there is a
 called for．
 929 f．

934 ff ．The messenger，as usual in persons of his degree，making the most of the importance which attaches to him as the bearer of the news，withholds the announcement itself，and says，first，that he is the bearer of joyful tidings ；then 936 f ．，that it will bring to Jocasta joy and yet sorrow too，inasmuch as he presumes that the decease of her husband＇s father must needs also excite concern in her．

936．To $\pi$ pòs $\tau$ ivos $\dot{d} \phi$ ．he cannot exactly reply，because none sent him．Hence $\tilde{\kappa} \kappa \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ Kор．Cf． 955.

937．As he means to say $\tau$ áxa ทั̃oo a av，but interrupts himself with the parenthetical $\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \delta^{\prime}$ oűc（cf．567），he adds the $\tilde{a} \nu$ here，to



939 ff ．The messenger puts first the ground of the $\ddot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ ，where－ upon Jocasta forgets to ask the ground of the $\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \sigma \chi^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu$ ，as the tidings of the death of Polybus are to her but too welcome．From $\eta \dot{\cup} \delta \tilde{a} \tau 0$ हौкモ̃ it follows that ©Edipus could not，as natural heir， ascend the throne．

942．Өáv．viv ṫv $\tau$ ．ËXel，spoken with popular humour，in oppo－
 like the $\phi \dot{v} \lambda a \xi$ in the Antigone，is fond of sententious generalities． Cf． 961.

943．She can scarce believe her ears，and wishes once more to hear the joyful news．Cf．Phil．333．El． 675.

945．She turns to one of her attendant women；for as Queen she

 directly interrogative，cf．953，1311．Similarly，Il．2，339，$\pi \tilde{y} \delta \dot{\eta}$


948．тá入au Е́фєvує，dudum exulabat．

 principle on the subject is openly spoken out in v .977 ，ascribes the death of Polybus to $\tau$ úx $\eta$ ，although，as it is said in Soph．Fr．604，ov่
 further enquiry assumes that Polybus died a natural death．Not so the prudent，thoughtful CEdipus， 960 f ．

951． $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \in \pi \in \mu \psi \omega$ ，on 556.
953．т亢̀ $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \alpha$, ，cf． 556 and 947.
955．Cf．936．Jocasta will not let the messenger answer the question；without a thought of $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \alpha \lambda^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \tau$（937），thinking only of the happy turn which affairs have taken，she triumphantly repeats what was said．

957．Ti $\phi$ ńs，cf．943．－$\sigma \eta \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} v a s ~ \gamma \in v o u ̂, ~ s t r o n g e r ~ t h a n ~ \sigma \eta \eta \mu \eta \nu o \nu, ~ c f . ~$ 1146．Aj．588．Phil．772．Usually，from an inferior MS．$\sigma \eta \mu$ á $\nu-$


961．Seneca Ed．787，Animam senilem mollis exolvit sopor．Plato



963．Ay，and（besides the sickness，he died），according with the measure of his long life，i．e．of old age．Cf．73，Ill2．

Esch．Cho．609，of the firebrand of Meleager，бíциєтрол סıai $\beta$ iou


964．Repeatedly pressed by seeming facts，and with his mind not yet cleared up on the subject of Laius＇s murder，EEdipus is led for the moment to assent to Jocasta＇s expression of contempt for the oracles．But this contagious levity is presently wepressed by the thought of his yet living mother， 976 ．Cf． 946 f． 720 ff .852 ff ．

965．T $\mathrm{\eta} \nu \mathrm{\Pi} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { v }} \mathrm{6} \mu$ ．氏́oriav，the sacrificial hearth of Apollo in

 （Ant．988）relate to the disclosures made by Tiresias，see on 310.

 $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ \pi \varepsilon \epsilon \theta_{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \theta a$ ßov入n̆．

967．The sudden excitement of hope is expressed in the metre of the verse by the unusual resolution of three feet successively，ef． 719. －（Dindorf $\kappa \tau \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \tau \nu$ ．）

968．кáт $\omega \theta \in \nu$ Үท̂s，Dindorf．
969．ă廿．Ё＇YXous，without having so much as touched a weapon of death．In the literal sense，true，as Laius was slain $\sigma \kappa \dot{\eta} \pi \tau \rho \varphi, 811$ ．Here again irony．In the words $\varepsilon_{l}^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota \mu \eta$ ff．，his pious feeling attempts with an ingenious refinement to save the honour of the god．Here，too，his acuteness leads itself astray． — $\tau \dot{\omega} \mu \tilde{\varphi} \pi \dot{o} \theta \psi$ ，Ed．C． 419 ，after Il．19，321，$\sigma \tilde{\xi} \pi o \theta \tilde{\eta}$ ．

971．Eagerly catching at the seeming assurance，he takes heart to affirm the fallaciousness at least of the oracles given to him．With $\sigma v \lambda \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$, cf．El．1150．CEd．C． 1384 ；with ${ }^{\prime \prime} \xi_{\imath} \imath^{\prime}$ ov̀ $\delta^{\prime} .906$.

975．Cf．739．El． 1347.
 Perhaps $\lambda$ éxoc tò $\mu \eta \tau$ оós．

977．世 т т т．т．кратєi，for whom the casualties of blind chance are in force，$i$ ．$e$ ．whom they rule。 Thuc．4， 55 ，каi ä $\mu$ к





979．\％̈tws $\delta$ v̌v．tıs，as one may．The optative without $\alpha \nu$ ，to express an intrinsic，essential complement of the notion $\varepsilon i \kappa \tilde{\eta} \zeta \tilde{\eta} \nu$ ， cf．Phil．694．Ed．C．1172．Ant． 666.

980．єis，Cf．706．Ant．372．Phil．1042．Ed．C．804．Trach．


 Cic．de Divin．1，29．Jocasta despises also the interpretation of dreams．

984．Edipus is sunk in thought．Hence the circumstantial way of expressing himself．Cf． 219 ff ．

987．ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ，a bright point：a thought to reassure one，as Orestes Æsch．Cho．931， $6 \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \dot{c}$ s ouncwv，the only son．Eur．Andr． 407, ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu$ òs $\beta$ iov，elsewhere ф́́os，${ }^{\circ} \mu \mu a$ ，used in the like sense
989. кaí, 772. 1129. Æsch. Ag. 278, đoiov đøóvov dè каi $\pi \varepsilon-$

991. és $\phi$. ф'fpov, cf. 517.
 children to be begotten by him with his mother could be dispensed with.




1001. Edipus, who, in his horror of the $\mu \ell \gamma \tilde{\eta} \nu a t \tau \tilde{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho \dot{\prime}$, refers the $\tau \dot{a} \delta \varepsilon$ of the messenger to the $\mu \mathfrak{i} \xi_{c}$, expressly. adds to this, тarpós $\tau \epsilon$ Xp. $\mu \grave{\eta} \phi$. єlvau, because in 996 he had spoken of this only in passing, whereas in 964 ff . there was nothing said about the $\mu \mathrm{IF}$ Es.
1002. $\tau i$ oux $\mathfrak{i} \sigma^{\prime}$ Ėg., why have I not at once by a word released thee? i.e., a word shall relieve thee of that fear. Hence the optative $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta$ ßocg. Frequent in Plato, e. g. Gorg. 503 b, rí oúxi
 already.
 senger reckons upon a reward for his good news, as in Trach. 191. Quite after the manner of such people, counting himself to be in possession of a secret which cannot but be most gratifying to EEdipus, he holds him long in suspense, by delaying to speak out. Here again a deep irony in the contrast between result and intentions.
 once more at the end of my days go well with me. But cf. Ed. C. 392.
1007. тoîs $\phi u \tau$., my mother. Cf. 1012. 1184. 1248.
1008. The messenger in his sense of his importance speaks familiarly: hence the phrase of common life, $\kappa a \lambda \bar{\omega} s ~ \delta \tilde{\eta} \lambda o s, ~ i . e . ~$ $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu v$, egregie, pulchre, as in comedy.

$$
\text { 1011. Cf. } 88.1182 .
$$

1014. $\pi$ pòs $\mathrm{\delta ík} \mathrm{\eta s}, \mathrm{merito}, \mathrm{El} 1211.$.
 the same gens. Cf. 1383.1430.
1015. Edipus, still holding Polybus for his father, asks, upon the mysterious speech of the messenger, especially taking up the $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ coov, And how is the begetter all one with the not-be-


1016. maîSá $\mu^{3}{ }^{3} v .$, called me his son. With imperf. as Eur.
 ঠо́догя.
1017. xєцpós, viz. $\lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$.
1018. After 1020, the question cannot be $\hat{\eta}$ rexciv, as it is in the MSS. Hence Bothe $\bar{\eta} \tau v \chi \dot{\omega} \nu$, ef. 1039. The poet wrote either so, or ou่ Tekcup.
1019. Ta bring about the discovery quite gradually, the general expression evipwiv is chosen, cf. 1038 ff.

1020. Certainly, and indeed thy deliverer withal. The first $\gamma^{\xi}$ belongs to the whole sentence, the other emphasizes the expression $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ (Dindorf's $\sigma o \tilde{d} \delta^{\prime} \check{\omega} \tau$. seems inadmissible, as the

1021. $\sigma \omega \tau$ र́p gives occasion to the question, with what sufferings in his helpless condition ( $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \nu$ какоĩs кат Edipus was afflicted. The reply opens Jocasta's eyes to the real state of the case, but does not yet enlighten the chorus and Edipus himself.
 $\beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta \nu$.
1022. Cf, the Introduction.
1023. Received I the name oidiaovs from father or from mother? As the naming of a child is the affair of the parents, and CEdipus is thinking of the means of getting at his parentage, he now, in the hope of coming at last upon a sure clue, with passionate eagerness seizes upon the $b \nu o \mu a \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} \nu a \iota$. He fancies his mother may have exposed him as vólos, or his father for other reasons.
 1025. 1031. 1034. Cf. on 118.
 ally knows, ef. 105. 293.
1024. ä入入ov, viz. غ̀vย́ $\pi \epsilon \iota \nu$.
1025. vofís ékeivov ( $\varepsilon$ Ival), perceivest thou that yonder person is he, whom on the one haud we sent for, and whom, on the other hand, this person means? The notion of identity lies not so much in Exeĩ
 of this, pointing to the fetching of the shepherd which was before spoken of, he puts keєĩov. Perhaps, however, a break may be put at the end of 1055, to mark the question as not finished.

1026. Jocasta, who, lost in thbught, has listened but little, dis-
 wherefore (askest thou) whom he meant? Ar. Nubb. 1261, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{i}$
 but the best MSS. as above.
1027. The concluding words Jocasta speaks with a gentle sigh; enough that $I$, who see through the matter but too weli, am sick, unhappy. Cf. 60 f . The personal structure as in Aj. 76, 634.

1028. Edipus again goes off on a wrong scent in his surmise as to the cause of Jocasta's vexation,-the humbling of her pride ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \eta$, $\delta v \sigma \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \rho^{\prime}, 1078$ ff. Ant. 38. Hom. Od. 4, 63) by the discovery (if

 of quite low extraction and base condition were called $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa$ t $\rho \iota \gamma o v i a g$



 the opposite to which Hipponax has the term è $\pi$ rádovios. ©Edipus means that though mother, grandmother, and great-grandmother were proved to be slaves thrice told, Jocasta's évéveta would be still unimpeached.
1029. та́ठє, 1059.
1030. тà 入ஸ̂ora, what thou callest 'best,'-that I should not investigate my parentage, is the very thing that has long been troubling me. For even the journey to Delphi was with that object,



 татоц тตั้ $\mathfrak{d} \sigma \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$
1031. Similarly Ant. 766. 1244. Trach. 813.
1032. 'The oL $\omega \pi$ n' refers partly to Jocasta's urgent dissuasions,

1033. id. $\operatorname{\beta ov\lambda }$. (on Aj. 681), I will not let myself be put off from my purpose. Similarly the Romans, Plaut. Mil. Glor. 395, Narrandum ego istuc militi censebo, it must be told, say I: and to that I will hold. Horat. Epp. 1, 14, 44, Quam scit uterque, libens, censebo, exerceat artem, he must practise it, say I : that shall be my advice aboutit.
1034. aṽт开, Cf. Ant. 484.
1035. Bitter irony again, that now at the very moment when Jocasta already sees through the whole matter, and CEdipus is to learn his true, high extraction, he taxes her with aifxúvy at his


1080 ff . But I, who look upon myself as a son of Tyche, the beneficent (Ed. C. 643), shall not be debased. For she is my proper mother, and the kindred moons (of my life) have by turns ordered (set) me low and high. ©edipus, with a forced courage, is screwing himself up to face whatever may come of it. That he may not be humbled by the exposure of a low extraction, he will consider himself as a son of Túx $\eta$ (977), Fortunæ filius, Hor. Sat. 2. 6. 49, who capriciously gives by turns good and ill. As such an one, he must accommodate himself to the caprices of his mother ; yet, in the feeling of his hitherto gaod fortune, and boni ominis gratia, he adds $\tau \tilde{\eta} c$ ev̉ ס̀סov́cŋs.

1082 f. The $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu \in s$ (see on Phil, 721) are $\sigma u \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon$ eic of (Edipus, in so far as they are children (ef. Ed. C. 611 f .) of Túx $\eta$, and, in his ignorance of his kinsfolk, in a manner supply their place.
1083. $\mu \iota \kappa$ oiv кail $\mu$.. first small, then at their pleasure great, because he as a foundling, saved and happily reared, was raised to a kingly throne. The thing meant is verified in quite a different



 is also involved the notion of vicissitude.

1084 f. Edipus, in conclasion, once more declares expressly, ef. 1058 f., that looking upon himself as a son of Tyche (toósóe èksús, viz. $\quad$ enoí, cf. 1080), he will not rest until he brings to light his true extraction. Cf. Trach. 90 f. Instead of $\tilde{a}^{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o s$, which does not admit of a satisfactory explanation, I have written ä $\lambda \lambda \Delta \sigma^{\circ}$ with Döderlein.

Choral Ode 1086-1109. The loyal chorus, entering, all unsuspicious of evil, into the feelings of its sovereign, imagines to itself that, as the Corinthian shepherd received Edipus on Cithæron, it shall soon have cause to celebrate its native mountain with glad solemnity, as the cradle of its king. Unobservant of the inconsistency of its belief with the oracle which declared that ©edipus should slay his father and wed his mother, it pictures to itself that a mountain nymph bore the child to one of the gods who preside over hill and field. This joyous song takes the place of a stasimon, like the hyporchema Aj. 693, as the tragedians are fond of introducing odes of this description just before the catastrophe, for the sake of contrast. See Æsch. Cho. 770 ff . Soph. Trach. 630 ff .


 limited measure of myintelligence, as the chorus very modestly speaks of itself. 404 f . Ant. 681 .


 as 660 . A similar passage, El. 1065.
1090. To-morrow's full moon refers to the then present time, viz., of the great Dionysia, the six days' festival in the middle of Elaphebolion, at which new tragedies were brought out. Festivals of this description used to be celebrated by night, $\pi \alpha \nu \nu v \chi i \delta \varepsilon \xi$, Ant. 153. 1147.
1091. With a climax, the Chorus calls the mountain к $\alpha i \pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota \omega$ -


1095. xорev́ectal, be solemnized with dances, ef. Ant. 1153.

 361. 1007, and often.
1096. To thee, to thee (El. 147. Ant. 1113), Apollo, may this be well-pleasing. As the Chorus struck up with $\in \| \pi \varepsilon \rho$ ź $\gamma \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha^{\prime} \nu$ rts $\varepsilon i \mu i$, agreeably with this it prays the god of $\mu a \nu \tau \iota k \dot{\eta}$, whose oracle is the point around which the whole drama revolves, and through whom CEdipus's destiny was brought in question, that the joyous solemnity may be well-pleasing to him. A dash of solicitude, however, is perceptible.

Antistr. What nymph bore thee to Pan or Loxias? or did Hermes, did Dionysus win thee of a nymph? As the ris
 pression takes a different turn, in which, whereas the question at first was concerning the mother, now the father is put foremost, and
the verb, which in the first member preceded, is now with inversion of the parallelism moved to the end.
1099. тâv $\mu$ akp., $\tau \tilde{a} \nu \delta \quad \delta \rho \circ \beta i \omega \nu \nu v \mu \phi \tilde{\omega} \nu$, as appears from the context, and from 1109. The nymphs, although mortal, $\delta \eta \rho o ̀ v ~ \mu \hat{k} \nu$

 Hesiod Fr. 163, as long as a palm-tree, which attains to a great age:
 Pindar.
1100. As ©dipus was found on Cithæron, the Chorus attaches to each god an epithet having reference to mountain scenery. Pan

 Ihave adopted Bergk's conjectural emendation $\pi$ a $\tau \rho \dot{\partial} \varsigma \bar{s} \pi \boldsymbol{\lambda} a \sigma \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \sigma^{\prime}$ :

 fidingly addressed as $\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$, as elsewhere $\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \rho \Delta$ tóvvaє.
 adopted the felicitous emendation of C. F. G. Arndt. With eivíátelpa
 Nópos is here called noگias, improperly (see on 410), but because the thoughts of the Chorus dwell upon the Apollo's-oracle. On $\sigma$ ' $\gamma \varepsilon$ see on Phil. 1117. After the epic model, Herodotus also, $7,10,8$,
 $\dot{\nu} \pi \dot{o} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \nu \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$.
1104. Hermes, son of Zeus and the Atlantid Maia, was highly venerated on the summit of his natal mount Cyllene in Arcadia.

1105. ó Bakx. | é́s ( (Ed. C. 678. 1494) in Phanocles, ópєффоí $\eta \mathrm{S}$ |
| :--- | Atóvygos, as to him especially the top of Parnassus is sacred.$\varepsilon \ddot{v} \rho \eta \mu a$ ס́z $\xi a r o$ to be understood as in Hymn. Hom. Pan, 40 f., where Hermes, with the daughter of Dryops, begets Pan. ròv $\delta^{\prime}$ a $\ddagger \not \psi^{\prime}$



1106. The Heliconian nymphs are the muses or Leibethrian
 Helicon.- $\sigma \nu \mu \pi a i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon$, Anacreon, Fr. $2,{ }^{\top} \Omega \nu a \xi$ (Dionysos), $\Psi^{\top} \delta a \mu{ }^{\top} \lambda \eta S$




1111. rpécßets, as Wsch. Perss. 842, ef. 911. Edipus, who in the stretch of expectation is looking towards the quarter from which he expects the herdsman, addresses the Chorus.


1114. w̌s $\pi \in$, as it were, seemingly ; because Edipus is not

1115. Tnी $\delta^{\prime}$ Ertar., in opp. to 1110 f . The chorus as a whole is addressed with $\sigma \dot{v}$, which perhaps caused the alteration $\pi \rho^{\varepsilon} \sigma \beta \gamma v$ in many MSS. in v. 1111. Cf. on ©Ed. C. 175.
1118. ©́s $v$. avvip, as in fact he was in Cedipus's employ as
herdsman，so that he was a person from whom fidelity was to be expected．Others understand it，so far as fidelity can be expected
 C． 20.

1123． $\boldsymbol{\eta}$（from ${ }^{2 \prime} \alpha$ ），Attic form of the imperfect of $\varepsilon$ épi．－oinoo трафеís，verna，is said with pride，cf． 756.

1129．кal，989．Ant． 766.
1130．گ̌vva入入ágas（1110）depends on oiv $\theta a$ ．Instead of $\pi \omega$ ， which without the negative is inadmissible，we have restored from Laur．A．pr．$\pi \omega$ ¢，cf．© $d$. C．1157．Trach． 695.

1131．Cf．361．－$\mu \nu \eta \mu \eta \mathrm{s}$ vino，per memoriam，ex recordatione．
1133．With an attempt at wit the messenger connects olda ötc кároide，as Plautus nil scio nisi nescio．

1134．Doubtless he still knows the time，when．．．．．． cf．Aj．1273．In beginning with тdे Kı $\theta$ ．то́тоv，he intends to add $\kappa a \tau \varepsilon i \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ．But in consequence of the parenthetic verse，1135， he drops that intention，and straightway brings out with $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i-$ a cov what was the main point，viz．，the intercourse with the Theban herdsman．Then we expect him to go on with $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma t a ́ \zeta o \mu E \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o t s ;$ but，instead of this，the speaker，thinking in the first place of his intercourse with the other，puts it $\bar{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i a \zeta 0 \nu \tau \tilde{\varphi} \delta \varepsilon$
 person addressed or spoken of，not to the person speaking），whence the reciprocity followed of course．

1137．It is by Arcturus（ $\beta$ oúr 1 g ，Od．5，272），which rises in the last ten days of February，and heliacally pridie Idus Septembres（Plin． N．H．18，49），that Hesiod also，Opp．566，610，marks the commence－ ment of spring and autumn．It is still the custom in Greece to keep the flocks and herds on mountain pastures during a period of six months and longer．

1138．रєццผิva，for the winter，Laur．A．，the other MSS． $\chi є \mu \bar{\omega} \nu$ ย．

1146．The Corinthian，still without foreboding of CEdipus＇s parentage，speaks triumphantly：the Theban anxiously seeks to break off all further discussion．Cf．430．Asch．Sept．234，oủs


1150．oủkęvv．，by disowning all knowledge of．
 movei，excites himself to no purpose，as if there were any actual occurrence to be discovered．

1152．If we cannot get thee to speak by fair means， blows shall open thy mouth．Soph．Ethal．1，1，moòs Xáouv
 עekpoús．

1154．Slaves were bound with their hands tied behind their backs， drawn up on high，and so chastised．Ant．309．Aj． 72.


 evasions，shuffling．

1161．má入al， 1157.
OEdipus Tyr．

1163．é $\mu \mathrm{d} v \mu_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{e} v$ oủk ÉY．，spoken with the wish to delay the dis－ closure，and at the same time with horror at the very thought of having so given away a child of his own．

1167．тıs үеvvךम．，like фí入e ríкขov，concord by the sense，not by the grammatical gender．

1168．As $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \eta \eta a$ of Laius he might be $\gamma \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \iota \rho$（ $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \eta$ ，Ant． 659），or vó回：hence Edipus＇s question．doṽ入os，a strong ex－ pression for vó⿴og，as the term is applied to Teucer in the Ajax by way of reviling．

1169．入éyetv，cf．Phil． 62.
1171．yé тot 8 ，well then at any rate．Ar．Nubb．372．Plat． Crit．2．The herdsman cannot bear to confess oatright that Edipus was Jocasta＇s own child，therefore refers him to her．

1174．Cf．Aj．44．Ed．C．71．－wis ảv．vtv，cf．719．The optative， because $\delta \dot{\delta} \delta \omega \sigma \iota=$ is she the person that gave，him？

1176．тoùs tekóvtas，his father，361， 1007.
1178．©s to be connected with $\delta o \kappa \tilde{\omega} \nu$ ，as Eur．H．F．984，és


1183．What CEdipus wishes，is death（Ant．809），but his wish，as he expresses it，is literally accomplished by the putting out of his eyes．Cf． 1255 ff .1368 ff ．

1184．Cf． $366 \mathrm{ff} .414,457 \mathrm{ff}$ ．and often．
Fourth（third）Stasimon 1186－1222．The life of man is a thing of nought：no man is to be called happy：this ©dipus shews，he that was once so happy．（Str．and Antistr． 2．）But who now more miserable than the son of Jocasta， and father of her children？Time pronounces doom upon the accursed wedlock which it has at last brought to light．Would that I had never seen the son of Lailus， by whom $I$ was once delivered from the Sphinx，but now am plunged into new misery．－The close brings out again the leading thought，the rapid reverses of earthly happiness， which was also the theme of Str．and Antistr． 1.

1187．$\omega$ s，$\gamma$ áp，explains the í＇，cf． 316 f．Aj．354．－īa каi то̀ $\mu$ ．，

 after $\tau$ o $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ the contrast gains in abruptness．

1190．tas єưठ．，of the $\varepsilon \dot{v} \delta \delta$ ．apportioned to each by measure．
 $\mu o v e i v$, than to feel himself comfortable，to rejoice in his welfare．

1192． $86 \xi \mathrm{k} \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}$ marks the rapid interchange of good and evil
 inclinari．
＇1193．Td $\sigma \delta v$ ，what hath befallen thee．
1196．For out $\delta \nu a$ ，which the metre disallows，Hermann ，has restored oűósv（nothing of all that lives）．The neuter is



1196 f ．The tragedians，to give more effect to the sudden reverse of
fortune of which (Edipus is the exemplar, make his former life to have been in the highest degree prosperous. Exsch. Sept. 754 ff .

 good-fortune hitting the mark). The common expression,

 тo $\boldsymbol{v}$, as coneus, in Soph., Hermann writes Ekpár $\eta \sigma \varepsilon$ тoũ, in conformity with which Dindorf, in 1201, after Laur. A. pr., and perhaps also the Seholiast, gives àvź $\sigma \tau \alpha$, instead of the second person $\alpha v \hat{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha$ s. But the sudden transition to the third person, as the chorus throughout is addressing Edipus, seems intolerable; therefore I have ventured to
 The imperfect, in distinction from the aorist which follows it, malkes vividly present the events which the chorus has witnessed; as in 1202, кал $\kappa i$, because Gedipus is still king. Comp. a similar alternation of tenses 1391 f .
1198. тávт’ єỉర., cf. 1421. Aj. 894, 1394.
1199. ұарч. тарө. xp., ef. 36, 130, and on 391.
1201. avé ition for more impressiveness to the verb finite, as in 452.-- Oavátur, cf. 496, of the Sphinx's many vietims.
1204. Cf. 1082 f.
 654, in misfortune, and bound thereto. The preposition $\dot{\boldsymbol{e}} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ belongs also to äraç, ef. 734. From the foregoing comparative,


1208 ff . The bold image reminds of 420 ff . Jocasta, who once conceived and bore ©dipus, afterward embraced him as her husband.
 uotion of monstrous, horrible.
1209. kai (cf. 1215), first as son, then as husband. But since, as such, he begets children, the chorus, to make the opposition of the contrasted relations more horrible, immediately adds $\theta a \lambda \alpha-$ $\mu \eta \pi o ́ \lambda \varphi$, i. e., to whom as husband the $\theta a ́ \lambda a \mu o g$ was open. - $\pi \in \varphi \in \hat{v}$, кеї $\theta$ at.
 ai коĩtal, cf. 1256, 1497. Ant. 569. Жsch. Sept. 735, $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \rho \grave{\varrho} \varsigma$
 És tooóvסє, той x $\rho \dot{\rho} \nu 0 v$, El. 049, which gives a point of connexion for what follows.

 à $\nu \alpha \pi \tau v \dot{\sigma} \sigma \in \iota$ 凤póvoc.
1215. tekv. kail tekv. should properly be said of Edipus. Cf. 1246 ff .1403 ff . Ant. 864. ©d. C. 267.
 of the metre, has written $\Lambda$ aïniouo $\tau \not \approx \kappa \nu 0 \nu$. But this form cannot
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1217. Cf. 1348.
1218. wis rteplai入a, as ís $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a, ~ \tau a ́ \chi o g . ~ E l . ~ 1439, ~ \dot{\omega} s$

1220. èk $\sigma \tau \circ \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega v$, a more elevated phrase, instead of the prosaic






1221. Cf. the wish 49 f .
1223. Cf. 911,1111 , and $\mu \epsilon \gamma . \tau \mu \mu \mu \mu$ vol with 1202.
1225. Ėyץєvشิ今, with innate loyalty. Cf. El. 1328.
1227. Not the mightiest rivers of Asia and Europe would àvail to cleanse this house from its hidden sins. Cf. on Aj. 654. With a similar hyperbole, Æsch. Cho. 70 ff . says, not all the rivers, drawn into one channel, could wash out the stain of kindred blood. Senec. Hipp. 715, Quis eluet me Tanais? Non ipse toto magnus Oceano pater Tantum expiarit seeleris. Phasis and Nile, according to Herod. 4, 45, the boundary rivers of Asia and Africa, or Phasis and Borysthenes, are elsewhere combined, as Pindar Isthm. 2, 41 makes them the boundaries of navigation; Eur. Andr. 651, boundaries of the world:

1229. As in 1224 a distinction was made between the hearing of Jocasta's suicide, and the beholding of EEdipus's self-inflicted blindness, so $\kappa \in \dot{v} \theta \varepsilon L$ is put in reference to the former, $\phi a v \in i$ to the

 prises in itself a $\pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \theta_{0}$ к как $\omega$, because it is after discovery of overwhelming horrors that she has laid violent hands upon her own life ; hence ö $\sigma a$.
1230. éxóvta кov̉к ä́к. (58, Phil. 760), passively, as éкov́cıa and àкоv́ซıa. Phil. 1318. Ed. C. 240, 977.
 Ėiataãa $\gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \in \nu a$, Jocasta having with her own hands hanged herself, and GEdipus having put out his own eyes. The subjunctive after the relative without ${ }_{\alpha} y$. El. 771. Ed. C. 395, as after $\varepsilon i$, é $\pi \in \mathrm{E}$.

1237 f. Cf. El. 761 f. Although the most painful part be lacking to you, the being eye-witnesses of the miserable scene, yet, as far as my memory serves, you shall hear all.
1239. kảv $\ddagger \mu \mathrm{ol}$, ef. on 1110 . The repetition of the preposition ( $6 \nu$ $\left.{ }^{t} \mu \mathrm{H}^{i} \dot{\nu} \nu\right)$ has place in lyric and dramatic poets, after the example of






1244 f. Cf. with Trach. 915 ff .- ${ }^{\boldsymbol{E}} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega$ belongs to $\varepsilon i \varsigma \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon$, as






1245. ка入єî, as Trach. 796. ©Ed. C. 1696. The usual reading is кá̀є .
1246. бпє́риата, embraces.
1247. т $\grave{v}$ ठ $\delta \mathrm{e}$ тiктоugav (the mother). This clause is attached more freely to the relative, which must be supplied in a different form, while the mother, \&c. cf. Ant. 559 ff. (Ed. C. 424.
 tract being more forcible, as $\dot{j} \mu \eta \lambda_{u}$ кi $\eta$ and the like.
1249. $\delta 1 \pi \lambda$ oûs is accus. plur., the expression which was properly
 milated itself to the notion implied in äд $\delta \rho \alpha$ каі тє́кขa. The sense
 With the construction comp. Phil. 38, кai $\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \dot{a} \gamma^{\prime} \tilde{a}^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ $\theta \dot{a} \lambda \pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$


1250. ${ }^{2} v \delta \rho a$, Edipus. The reading $\tilde{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \mathrm{S}$, which with $\delta \iota \pi \lambda o v{ }_{\mathrm{S}}$ might be misunderstood, looks like a correction introduced begause of そ̇́kva. The alliteration as 371. Cf. 1215.
1252. บ์ $\phi^{\prime}$ ' ธธ oủk $\mathfrak{\eta} v$, per quem non licuit, cf. 1131.
1255. ËүXos, gladius, Aj. 95.
 only ${ }^{\kappa} \gamma \chi^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$, we must supply to $\gamma$ vuaĩk a more general term, e.g. $\zeta \eta\ulcorner\tilde{\omega} \nu$, ยุєvข $ั \nu$, cf. 538 and on Aj .1014.$$

1257 . $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\lambda} \stackrel{\text { äp., cf. } 1210 .}{ }$
 äv 0 рw
 omission of ${ }^{3} \nu$ tos is poetical. Ed. C. 1588. Kr. Gr. 47, 4. A.6), as though some one shewed him the way.
1262. The кoìa $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \rho a$ are usually referred to the staples for the bolt to shoot into, which yielded to the furious assault. But $\kappa \lambda \bar{y} \theta \rho \alpha$ (postes) may also be talken for the door (as closing the way), and coil $\alpha$ proleptically conuected with $\tilde{\varepsilon} \kappa \lambda \iota \nu \varepsilon$, wrenched from the doorposts he drove in the door, so that it caved inwards and gave room for his forcible entrance. Cf. Virg. An. 2, 480, Limina perxumpit postesque a cardine wellit. 493, emoti procumbunt cardine postes.
1263. Cf. Ant. 1221 ff.
1268. The old Hellenic (Doric) woollen tunic worn by the women was fastened by clasps at the shoulders: the Ionians first adopted from the Carians the linen tunic with sewed sleeves.
1270. äpas, cf. 1276.-ä $\rho \theta \rho a$ т $\hat{v}$ v., on Phil. 1338. Eur. Phœn.



1271 ff . CEdipus, arrived at the knowledge of his past life, reproaches the noblest part of his body, the eyes, that they had not done their duty, and recognized, in due time, his father and mother.

 namely, the logical expression of the thought would have required a subordination of the first member of the sentence to the second (the principal notion)-because ye did not see, therefore shall ye henceforth...., instead of this the poet chooses a paratactic arrangement with two co-ordinate members, to make the severance between once and now all the more cutting: that they had not seen, but hereafter should see. Cf. Il. 1.165 ff. 182 ff. 7, 229 f. Od. 5, 13, and Nägelsbach's Exc. XII. to the Tliad. So Atsch. Prom.


 Cf. supra 263.
 Schmidt for oै $\psi$ o ovro. That aorist' form is rare, but ancient authorities in II. 24, 704 read ${ }^{0} \psi \alpha a \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ in the imperative for $0 ٌ \psi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$, and Pindar Prosod. 1, 8 has é $\pi$ ó $\begin{aligned} & \text { ato. }\end{aligned}$
1272. of ${ }^{\prime} \pi \pi$. refers to the marriage consummated in his ignorance
 árq), ot" éEpa to the murder of Laïus.

1273 f. As they (in light) had not seen, they should now see in darikness; bitter irony, with allusion to $419, \beta \lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi o \nu \tau \alpha ~ \nu v ̃ \nu ~$


 $\mu \dot{\eta} \theta a \nu \varepsilon \tau \nu \bar{\varepsilon} \chi \rho \eta \zeta_{\varepsilon} \xi_{5}$, cf. 1184 f. $\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \delta \varepsilon$ and $\left.\bar{\varepsilon} \chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \nu\right)$ oن $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma$., the parents, whom having seen, they ought to have recognized. Edipus is thinking of the meeting with his parents in Hades, see 1371 f. Æschylus, Sept. 766, makes the horror of looking upon the children the sole


1275. $\tau \epsilon$ кal with sharper rhetorical emphasis than $\kappa$ aí, as ©Ed. C.


1279. Senec. CEd. 978, Rigat ora foodus imber et lacerum caput Largum revolsis sanguinem venis vomit. Most MSS, aï $\mu$ a $\quad$ §, Laur. A al $\mu^{\prime}$ : Hermann ai $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$, which is favoured by Theodos. Expugn.


 which the dialogue of tragedy or comedy allows itself. The metaphor


 gushed forth, see on Aj. 376.

1280 f . These verses afford the usual resting-point at the close of the description, and at the same time form the transition to what follows. For the $\mu \dot{\rho} \nu 0 v$ of the MSS. the sense requires $\mu \dot{o} \nu \varphi$ : with this alteration, the poet says, These are the caкd́, which have burst in (cf. 1076) from two persons, not have befallen one, but to man and wife are alike blended какá, i.e. the horrors which have been described have been perpetrated by Jocasta and Edipus, and the suffering of them comes upon not one alone of them twain, but upon both alike. The messenger pqints at the marvellous complication and perversion of the natural relations between mother and son, wife and husband. Tragedy is fond of antithesis between EIC and $\delta \dot{y}$ o, see on 1. Here the extraordinary character of the events is carried to the highest point by the remark, that the fatal acts (self-murder and self-blinding), though proceeding from two persons, yet in the peculiar relation in which they stand to each other, press on both alike. The like ending also of the two trimeters, -which is always avoided by the tragedians except where there is some particular purpose to be answered,-is intended here ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \dot{\alpha}$ ... какá) to express more forcibly the strangeness of the event, cf. 777, 778. So, though not in the end of the verses, the emphatic iteration of ö $\lambda$ Kog 1282, 1283 ; similarly Phil. 554 ff.
1282. $\dot{\delta} \pi \rho / v$ belongs to $\pi \alpha \lambda a u d s$ ö $\lambda \beta$ bs, the two words forming one notion, blessedness inherited from of old, see on 1070, and cf. 1196 ff .
1283. vvิv takes $\tau \tilde{\eta} \delta_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{\theta } \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \in \rho q$ in addition, to balance evenly with $\dot{\delta} \pi \rho i v \pi a \lambda$. $\quad \lambda \lambda \beta$ og and $\pi a \dot{a} \rho o i \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \nu$, and to give force to the antitheses.
1284. In like manner Antig. 4 ff .
1286. The choragus asks not merely whether there be repose now, but what state of repose.
 something worse, as we have often such aposiopeses $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi^{\gamma}$ aiox $\rho 0$ ois : Ar. Vespp. 1217, $\dot{\omega}_{\mathrm{S}} \dot{\delta} \mathrm{K} \alpha \rho \delta \sigma \pi i \omega \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mu \eta \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a$.

1291. ápaíos, as 644 .- $-\mathbf{s}$ ท̉p., 246 ff . Cf. 820 f.
1294. Cf. Aj. 66. It is most natural to take Eidipus as subject to $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon$, though it can also be taken impersonally, it will shew itself;
 Ed. C. 146.

1298. Phil. 681. троsékupora with accusative, because the sense expertus sum preponderates.
1300. трое́́ ${ }^{2} \eta$, Aj. 137. Phil. 192. El. 493.
1301. The image as in 262. J311.- $\mu$ Éfova ( $\pi \eta \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ) т $\hat{\nu} \nu \mu a \kappa$., hyperbolically, as 465, äp $\rho \dot{\rho} \eta \tau^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \nu$, and particularly often in
 evjaunovias, and the like.
1302. $\pi$ mós (1233), in addition to thy ill-fated lot.
1303. Before $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ovi $\delta^{\prime}$ the codd. interpolate a $\phi \in \tilde{v} \phi \in \tilde{v} \delta \dot{v} \sigma \tau a-$ vos, which Dindorf has struck out as erroneously shifted to this place from 1308. Others write $\phi \varepsilon \tilde{v}, \phi \varepsilon \tilde{\nu} \delta \dot{v} \sigma \tau a \nu$ '.
1307. Wdipus, bereft of sight, totters forth from the palace. With admirable truth to nature, the poet makes him startled at the sound of his own voice in the blank darkness around him. Comp. Polymestor in Eur. Hec. 1028 ff.
 struck out $\delta \iota a \pi$. as a gloss: from $\phi^{\prime} \rho о \mu \alpha \iota$ we must supply ф' $¢ \in \tau \alpha \iota$.
1311. iva, see on 947 . The image with reference to 1300 f . Hermann, against the authority of the MSS., writes $\bar{\xi} \xi \dot{\eta} \lambda \lambda o v$ (quo tendebas!), on the ground that of aor. 2 only the moods, not the indicative, seem to have been in use. But though we have $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda a \tau o$ in 263, 1261, the aor. 2, especially in a lyrical passage, is defended by
 tially demanded by the sense: to what a goal did thy spring carry thee!

1313 ff . With the following Kommos ef. Aj. 333 ff . Edipus first discovers the greatness of the irrevocable loss of his eyes, the wounds of which pain him as deeply as does the remembrance of his misdeeds.


 more exactly by $\dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\delta} \mu a \tau 0 \nu(\dot{\alpha} \nu i \alpha \tau o \nu)$ and $\delta v \varsigma о \dot{v} \rho\llcorner\sigma \tau 0 \nu$ : hence $\tau \varepsilon$ - кai; $\delta u \mathcal{c}_{0} \dot{v} \rho$, borne into accomplishment by a pernici-ously-fayourable breeze, refers to the utter destruction of his eyes.-o $v$ is added by Hermann for the sake of the metre.

1318. кÉvтра, the $\pi \varepsilon \rho \dot{v} \nu a \iota, 1269 \mathrm{ff}$-какөิv, maleficiorum, as 1423.

1319 f . "No wonder if thou, in the midst of so many calamities,
 twofold pangs." The chorus thinks the lamentation natural, as well as the pains of body and mind. Cf. Aj. 940.
1320. фopeiv; opp. to the expression of the pain, the $\pi \varepsilon \nu \theta \varepsilon i \nu$, the having to bear, the oppressive feeling of the pains, ef. 1347.
1322. Cf. Aj. 359.
 $\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \varepsilon \iota$. With бкотetvós ef. 419. Aj. 15 ff .

1329. Now Edipus recognizes the truth of those words of Tiresias
 $\eta_{\nu} \dot{\circ} \tau \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega} \nu(139) \tau \alpha \dot{d} \varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha ́$.
1331. The thought in Gedipus's mind being the antithesis between Apollo, who has accomplished ( $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i \nu$ ) his oracle, and the violepce done by himself ( $\pi$ aisiv) to his eyes, he begins as if he would say, "the $\tau \in \lambda \tilde{\omega} \nu$ was Apollo, but with his own hands smote he not mine eyes; that have I myself done." But in the sequel he shapes the sentence otherwise, to give greater prominence to the circumstance that by his own hand were his eyes torn out. Consequently,
 C. 43, and for the retraction of the pronoun to a more remote but


the two forms of expression a third, well suited to Edipus's excited state of feeling. In vehement passion Achilles says, Il. 21,
 $\mu \eta \tau \eta \rho$.
1336. $\eta$ ท , cf. 1329.

1337 ff. From тposíyopov (Phil. 1349) ảkov́єしv ท̉̉סovq̀ supply'

1340. Cf. Ant. 1323.-Eккто́тьov, ef. 1411.
 $\theta \rho o s$ ò $B a \theta \dot{v}$ c, Aristoph.
1345. $\theta$ eois éx $\theta^{\theta}$., as $816,828$.
 for thy consciousness thereof, and for thy calamity, cf.


 A-connect $\dot{\eta} \theta_{\dot{\varepsilon}} \lambda \eta \sigma^{\prime} \tilde{a} \nu$-, then the wish of the Chorus is, that Edipus had never come to his senses again, much less to the feeling of his disastrous condition in its full extent. This is favoured by 1347. But the demand made by Edipus, 1340 ff ., and the wish expressed 1349 ff., make this the more natural thought: O that 1 had never known thee! Hence I have written $\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu a \iota$, as Dobree.

1349 f. Although EEdipus knows who was his deliverer, he vents the imprecation in quite general terms, to make it more energetic.
1350. vouás (1034, ס८aтópovs $\pi$ vóoĩ̀ áкца́s), the gnawing fet-
 which has been received by editors for the sake of the metre. But $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta$ (so we should have to supply from the second clause, as 734)
 would represent the unfettering, which is the main thing, as a thing by-the-by: besides, the thought of the deliverance is powerfully






 $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \alpha{ }_{c}$. The imperfect along with aorists as 1196 f .1391 f.
1351. Ё́vто (Homeric form) кảvéの., as Ed. C. 285, piviov $\mu \varepsilon$ $\kappa \alpha \dot{\kappa \phi} \dot{u}_{\lambda} \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon$.
 ä $\boldsymbol{\sim} \delta \rho a$, ef. 1344.
1358. фovcìs $\eta^{\eta} \lambda \theta$ ov, should have come to be, become, a mur-
 ท̈к $\omega$.
1360. á $\theta$ gos instead of the unmetrical ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}} \theta \lambda_{\iota}$ g, Elmsley: Lucian.

 to the form of the letters.-Strictly considered, vẽv $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}$ does not suit
$d \nu . \delta \varepsilon \pi a i ̃$ ，as his subsequent destinies had no influence upon his origin．But Sophocles puts it in immediate connexion with $\tilde{a} 0$ eog， in accordance with the standing formula какòs кокк каки̃ $\nu, 1397$. Cf． 759.
 $\mu \eta \tau \rho \rho_{s}$ ，as d $\mu$ о́бтороs，460．Unexampled，as in Eur．Hel． 1704 the explanation is doubtful．Hence I have written with Meineke $\delta \mu 0$－


1365．трєб $\beta$ ．какоे какоv̂，evil worse than evil，as кáкıov какой，cf． 1301.
 himself with special significance，see on Aj．98．EEd．C．3， 109.

1369 ff．As the Chorus had said it was ill－advised in Edipus to blind himself，he now，in calm discourse，explains why，rather than death，he chose to inflict upon himself a heavier punishment．He shews in detail，down to 1390，that he could not as a seeing man encounter either his parents in Hades，or even his children（1375）， nor，lastly，endure to look upon Thebes（1378），the temples of its gods，and its citizens．As in Aj． 340 ff．the thoughts already lyrically touched upon，are here expressed with clear self－possession and in detail．
1371．тofols， Aj .462.
1372．Edipus here entertains the popular creed，that the sit $\delta \omega \lambda a$ in Hades retain their forms，and prosecute their old habits，as Orion in Hom．，Od．11，is still a hunter，Ajax still resents his wrongs，the wounded still bear their wounds，and so appear in dreams to the living，cf．Il．23， 65 ff．Virg．庄n．6， 494 ff．Supra 1271 f．

1373．oiv．Instances of this more rare use of the dative instead of the accusative，Hom．Od．14，289，$\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \kappa^{\prime}$ à $\nu \theta \rho \omega^{\prime} \pi o \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ दे $\omega^{\prime} \rho \gamma \varepsilon$ ，


1374．кpeiocov＇áyxovŋs，greater than strangling：crimes which the cord alone cannot punish（灰sch．Ag．1376，$\pi \eta \mu o v \eta$
 strangling is often represented by the tragedians as the severest of

 ä́La；Heracl． 247.

1375．àd入ó，objection thrown in by the speaker himself（ $\dot{v} \pi о \phi \rho \rho \dot{\alpha}$ ），


 tinetly the incestuous origin of his children ：similar forms Ed．C．

 attached to ${ }^{0} \psi \mathrm{k}$ ，where one would expect $\beta \lambda a \sigma r o ̛ \nu \tau \omega \nu, \mathrm{cf} . \mathrm{Aj} ; 8$.
 $\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota ф а \nu \varepsilon i a \eta$ ．Cf， 1400.
 ह้̀ кіли；

1380．I，who of all men lived most gloriously，and that too
 cef． 96 f．，and with the contrasted once and now， 1200 ff ．

1381．aùтòs $\mathfrak{e} v v$ ．（ $350, \mathrm{Aj}$ ．1347），supra 236 ff．，cf． 819.
一єєк $\theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\nu}$ ，on the part of Apollo．
 as it was precisely the discovery of this extraction that brought his äyog to light．

1384．кท入tิ $\delta a, 833$.
1385．óp日oîs＂̊̆ $\mu \mu$ ．，419．El．723，742．—тov́tovs，the citizens of Thebes．

1386．The hearing is a fountain，because through it the sounds
 Imitated by Senec．Phoen． 224 ff ：：Ego ullos aure concipio sonos，Per quos parentis nomen aut nati audiam？Utinam quidem rescindere has quirem vias，Manibusque adactis omne，qua voces meant，Aditusque verbis tramite angusto patet，Eruere possem．

1390．Cf．Aj．554，where the MSS．have a verse originally written

 and the like．

1391．Edipus passes in review four epochs of his life and destinies， thereby taking the measure of his calamitous lot；his being exposed in infancy， $1391 \cdot \mathrm{ff}$ ．；his brisging up in Corinth， 1394 ff．；the occur－ rence at the＂three ways，＂ 1394 ff ．；the marriage with Jocasta． Cf．1349－1364．

1391．Cf． 1349 ff ．Eur．Phoen． 802 ff ．The imperfect，$\varepsilon \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi$ $\chi$ v， why wast thou for receiving me？as 1197，1350， 1403.
 glory，inwardly full of festering ulcers，cf． 775 ff ．The anti－ thesis is formed by 1397 （какòs єvipioкоцat），the exposure of the како́⿱亠䒑．

1397．како́s тє кц̉̇к какєิv，cf． 1357 ff．Phil．862．El． 589.
1398 f ．The accumulation of the features of the locality serves to indicate the lively horror felt by Edipus in the recollection，ef． 716，730， 733.

1400．тoùmòv aifa．marpós，my father＇s blood，ef．108， 1376.


1401．Do ye yet remember me，that，what exploits having achieved，thereafter what deeds I did？The sen－ tence depends regularly upon ö $\tau \iota$ ，but instead of $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$ ，$\delta \varepsilon \iota \nu \alpha$ ，the


1402．The dative $\bar{J} \mu \nu v$（cf．1373），inasmuch as the place where evil deeds are done is thereby polluted．Properly speaking，the＂three ways＂have no concern with the recollection of what was afterwards done in Thebes；but the poet so puts it，because the marriage with Jocasta was the immediate sequel to the slaying of Lainus．

1403 ff．Cf． 457 ff．，and elsewhere．－кai фит．On the emphatic iteration of the participle，see on 1192.

to the world (1359) fathers, brothers, children, closest kindred in one person; as also brides, wives, mothers.
1409. Edipus calls to mind the ancient maxim \& $\pi$ oteiv aioxpóv,



1410. ${ }^{\xi} \xi \omega$ nov кал., cf. 1436 f.
1411. фov., as the oracle prescribed the alternative, cf. 100 f.-
 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ как $\alpha$, see on Aj .654.
1412. $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi о т \epsilon$, in the future, because ©edipus mixes up with what he himself says, the wish of the Thebans to see him no more. Cf. 1427 f. El. 380 . Trach. 1414 f.

1413 ff . CEdipus beseeches the chorus not to shrink from contact with him, in the fear lest his abyos should by contamination extend itself to them ; his $\kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ (labes) are so great, that he alone is in a condition to bear them, therefore the fear entertained by the chorus is ungrounded. Cf. CEd. C. 1131 f . Usually it is a prevalent notion that the scelestus by his presence spreads the contagio, as Thyestes in Ennius Cic. Tusce. 3, 12, 26, Nolite ad me adire, ne contagio mea bonis obsit : tanta vis sceleris in corpore haret. Senec. Epist. Lucil. 2, 1, 6, Contagium quoque mei timent, quasi transilire calamitas possit.
 because $=\chi!\dot{\jmath} \varepsilon \iota \nu$.
 sense the infinitive with the article without negation is more rare. Cf. El. 466, 1030. Ant. 1106. Aj. 1142. Both now rest with Creon, because he is king, and the king's office is $\mu \nu \dot{\theta} \theta \omega \nu$ г $\boldsymbol{\rho} \dot{\rho} \eta \tau \eta \rho^{\prime}$

1418. For Eteocles and Polynices are minors, cf. 1459 f.
1420. What just confidence shall be awarded me? How can I with justice put in a claim for the granting of my petitions? Cf. 1434, 625.
1421. Connect Távta kakós, as 1198 . Edipus refers to the strife between him and Creon.

1422 f . To declare from the first his generous temper, Creon immediately sets CEdipus's mind at rest, by the assurance that he is not come to taunt or reproach him. Then he bids the chorus, without delay, lead the unhappy man into the house.

1425 ff . The Evarins exposing himself in the light of day is an affront above all to Helios, the áyvos $\theta$ cós, the god whose light



1427 f. Earth, water (rain, rivers, fountains, sea, as Empedocles called the watery element ö $\mu \beta \rho o s)$, light of day (air), as holy and pure elements, will recoil from the pollution. Eur. Or.



 $\theta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma a \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \varepsilon \rho \tilde{\partial} \nu \pi \eta \gamma \alpha i \quad \tau \varepsilon \pi o \tau a \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$. To denote the universe, $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$,
oúpavós (a! $\theta \dot{\eta} \rho$ ), $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a$ are commonly combined, as $11.18,483$,
 864, in the enigma of the Sphinx, and elsewhere.- $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \epsilon$, because Creon also contemplates the necessity of removing the ä̉ $\begin{gathered}\text { os } \\ \text { to a }\end{gathered}$ distance. Cf. 1412. Aj. 572. Fhil. 715.

1430 f . It is the dictate of piety, that the members of the family should be the persons to see and hear the calamities of those who
 supply $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\delta}$ кoivoũ from what follows ( $r \varepsilon$ ) $\mu$ óvous, cf. on 802. (Dobree unnecessarily $\mu$ óvous $\theta^{\prime}$ for $\mu \alpha^{\prime} \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ).
1432. Cf. Aj. 1382. El. 809.
1434. Cf. ©d. C. 1414 f. 1754 f. Aristoph. Thesm. 937, xápı $\alpha a$
 бoû, as Trach. 479.
1436. Edipus, ever faithfully obedient to Apollo's oracle, urges a speedy execution of the divine injunction. Cf. 1340, 1410 .
1437. тposṕyopos, because of 238.

1441. As Edipus is discovered as $\pi$ arpoфóvt $\eta$ ¢, he restricts by $\mu \varepsilon$ to the special case that which the oracle put generally. Cf. on 500, 1382.
1442. iv $\boldsymbol{v}^{3}$ éct. xpeias (El. 936), as the фоעєv́s is Laius's successor, and moreover the guilt of the son is so heinous.
1445. Alluding to ©dipus's bringing Creon, and therefore Apollo's

1446. While, in respect of his banishment, Creon can but refer Edipus to the bidding of the god, which must be first ascertained, Edipus now presses upon him another request, the granting of which rests with Creon alone; but also to thee (alone) I give this charge, and as a suppliant I will implore thee on this behalf,-see to that woman's burial. The common reading
 $\gamma^{\varepsilon}$ каi $\pi \rho_{0}$ gro., agreeably with which I have altered the pas-
 Aj. 818. Ed. C. 50.dying men.
1447. тท̂s кат' oikovs, because (Edipus shrinks from uttering her name.-avitós, at thine own discretion.
1448. Connect $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\xi} \rho \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\delta} \rho \theta \tilde{\omega} g \sigma \tilde{\omega} \nu$, who in the fullest sense of the word may be called thine, since $I$ am taken from them.

 my Cithæron. This mode of designating lacalities is very frequent in the poets ; II. 11, 757, "A入є


 752, habeant te lustra tuusque Cithceron.
1453. As his parents of oid caused him to be there exposed alive, so in accordance with their purpose will he there living wait his endz Hence кúpoos $\tau$., the $\tau \dot{\alpha} \phi$ as once for all assigned by his parents, and binding upon him. Imitated by Senec. Phoen. 35 f ., Olim jam tuu Est hoc cadaver, perage mandatum patris Jam et matris.

OEdipus Tyr.
1454. à $\pi \omega \lambda \lambda$ v́rๆข, purposed to destroy me.

1455 ff. There will I die, albeit thus much I know, that neither any common sickness, nor any other customary occasion' of death will avail to destroy me; for I had never, when at the point to die, been preserved, unless̀ (Aj. 950. Eur. Phoen. 526) for some dire suffering. A foreboding of his wonderful end at Colonos. May it be perhaps $\varepsilon \pi i \boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{\tilde{\varphi}} \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \tilde{\psi} \kappa \alpha \kappa \tilde{\psi}$ ?

1460: тpos日ecolau, to thine other sorrows. Elrmsley $\pi \rho 0 \theta$ ÿ, as El. 1334:-av5pes, mares, who shall grow up into men.
1461. ฮ̌v $\theta^{\prime}$ âv $\omega \sigma \iota$ may perhaps hint at Polynices's migration to Argos.

1462: тaîv— $\pi \alpha \rho \theta$., viz. $\pi \rho o \varsigma ̧ \theta$ oṽ $\mu \varepsilon \rho น \mu \nu a \nu$.
1463. As opposed to the $\sigma \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu 1$ ¢ roṽ $\beta$ ínv, ©dipus speaks in the first place of daily bread, which his daughters hitherto had never partaken but with him. In E.d. C. 350 f., it is precisely his daughter Antigone who provides for father's daily bread! The MSS. aiv oṽ $\pi 0^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta}$




 dixa. Perhiaps' Soph. had in his mind 11. 22, 500, 'A $\sigma \tau v a ́ v a \xi ̆, ~ \delta \delta ~ s$
 ঠัク $\mu o ́ v$.
1465. Tढ̂vठ'. Should it not rather be $\tau \boldsymbol{\omega} \delta^{\circ}$ ?
1466. aiv $\mu \mathrm{ot} \mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. (infin. as 466), after the reasons, points back to

1467. à áoклav́vactal, weep our fill together.
1469. $\gamma^{\circ} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}} \gamma^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \mathrm{v} .$, ingenita nobilitate conspicuus, oppoged to the
 and on 65. Phil. 79.

147I. ©Edipus, made aware of his daughters' presence by their sobs, asks first in surprise, What may I say to this? Cf. Trach. 862, and $\tau i$ ф $\ddot{\omega}$; EEd. C. 316. Then confirmed in his conjecture, $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} y \omega \tau \tau ;$ do 1 hit the truth ?
1472. The masculine, as EI. 977. Ed. C. 1676.
1477. I knew the pleasure thou tookest of old, even as thou hast it
 $\varepsilon \chi_{\chi \varepsilon} \varepsilon_{\text {and }}^{\eta} \sigma^{\circ} \varepsilon \ell \chi \varepsilon \nu$ (so Laur. A. pr. seems to have had it), some
 refer to 1466 ff. Rather one would conjecture ${ }^{j}$ or ${ }^{\circ} \rho \sigma^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime \prime} \chi \in \nu$.





1481. Els, Elmsley, insterd of $\dot{\omega} \mathrm{c}$, which is said only of persons,
 emphatic.
1482. These hands have put in this plight (eipyáacovro) the once bright eyes of the father that begat you, thus to look upon you.
 seeing (the nisfortune of becoming husband to his own mother),
nor seeking it there, but in Corinth. At the same time oix $\dot{0} \boldsymbol{0} \tilde{\omega} \nu$
 $\kappa \kappa \kappa о и ̆, 413$.
1486. трos $\beta \lambda$. yà $\rho$ ou $\sigma \theta$., i. e., for I cannot look the love and pity which else the eye should express. Cf. Eur. Phoen. 1449 ff.

1488 ff . Comp. the description of the orphans 11. 22, 490 ff .- $\pi \mathrm{f}$ ds av $\theta_{p}$., at the hands of, in intercourse with mankind. In $\pi \rho o \dot{s}$ there is a reference to the bitter experience which a waits his daughters.
1490. кєк ${ }^{2}$ avpéval, all in tears. .Æsch. Cho. 454, 727.

1493. тis oṽtos Ëซtal, ris map., more animated form, instead of


 (with himself) such óveiס $\eta$ ? More correctly after the analogy of
 will hazard the bold cast of taking to him such disgrace? Cf. 1323, v่ $\pi \quad \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \varepsilon$ eç $\kappa \eta \delta \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega \nu$.

1494 f . According to the usual reading, $\mathbb{\&}$ roitc Éroĩs $\gamma$ ov. Edipus says, looking forward to the time when his daughters shall be marriageable, which to my parents (Laïus and Jocasta), and the parents of you twain (me and Joc.), will ever be a mischief. Cf. 1500. Instead of this thought, which is any thing but clear, it is plain from what follows, where ©Edipus unfolds the infamy which has lighted upan him and Jocasta, and will also be cast upon their daughters, that he can here be spealing only of the shame of the parents as cleaving to the children. If the extended use of the third personal pronoun éos for the first and second person, which is found especially in the later Epies, could be confidently ascribed to Sophocles, I should read with Bothe roĩs Éeĩs
 The article at the end of the verse, to be closely connected with roveṽ $\sigma_{t}$ in the recitation, as EEd. C. 352. Phil. 263. Ant. 404.

1496 ff. Cf. on 791 ff.

1502. So Antigone herself, with the ingenuous simplicity of ancient manners, bewails her virginity. Ant. 810 ff .867 ff .
1503. The $\pi \alpha \rho а к є \lambda \varepsilon \nu \tau \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \dot{o} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$, pathetically put after the vocative, as EEd. C. 237, 1407. Hom. II. 6, 429, and passim.
1506. $\delta$ v́" övтє, emphatically, for Cdipus, though not dead, reckons himself as grood as dead.
1506. ÉvyєvEis, apposition to $\sigma \phi \varepsilon^{\prime}$, them who are indeed $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{y}$ birth akin to thee: this, to excite Creon's compassion by the pointed collocation with $\pi r \omega \chi \dot{\alpha} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \nu$. Similarly Eur. Heracl.
 As in similar descriptions it is a favourite practice to string together


 would only spoil the passage.

1510. on̂ $\psi$. xepi, in trken of granting the prayer. Eur. Med. 21,

1512. The MSS. кalpòs $\dot{\alpha} \varepsilon i ~ \zeta \check{\eta} v, ~ \tau o \tilde{v}$ Biov. According to Dindorf's emendation Ed. says with allusion to 1451 ff ., but as it is, wish ye this for me, that I may live where the state of things permits, but for yourselves, that ye may find your life better than I.
 $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \nu \kappa \alpha$ रóv.

 2, 2, 62, Scin quid orem Syre? Ps. Sciam, si dixeris. Cf. EEd. C. 888 .
1518. Edipus still comes back to his wish, cf. 1436 ff .

1519 But as for the gods, to them especially am I most hateful (1345), so that thou wilt surely do their pleasure in casting me out. With $\ddot{\eta} \kappa \omega$ cf. 1358. Creon, entering into Edipus's thought, says, In that case truly thou shalt soon have thy wish.
1520. Creon has already, 569, spoken it as his maxim, $\dot{\varepsilon}^{\phi} \phi^{\prime}$ ols $\mu \dot{\eta}$ $\phi \rho o \nu \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota \gamma \tilde{a} \nu \phi 1 \lambda \tilde{\omega}$.

1522 f. Wish not to prevail in every thing; for, where thou hast prevailed, the advantage has not faithfully followed thee through life. Wealth and good fortune are often

 ôc......

1525 f . The violent contrast between the former glory and the present wretchedness is exhidited under three points of view. Edipus was the wisest of men (8), then the bravest and mightiest (40). lastly, a man just and upright, not spoiled by his good fortune. Cf. 380 ff.
 The ancients make $\phi \theta$ óvos a prominent characteristic of the tyrants.
 71, extols Hiero as $\pi$ paûs dioroìs, où $\phi \theta^{2} \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \nu$ dंyaOois. Then

 the passage is shown by the explicative cai rúxalc. But as $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \iota \nu$ in the sense to look askance, enviously at any thing, invidere, is otherwise unknown, the correction proposed by
 $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \wedge \beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \nu$. Dindorf rejects the whole verse.
 $\pi 0 \tilde{\nu} \nu \tau a i \delta t i \nu$ (giving heed to see, waiting to learn by experience) $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \in \lambda . \dot{\eta} \mu ., \pi \rho i \nu \ddot{\alpha} \nu \mathrm{ff}$. Sulon's apophthegm (Herod. 1, 32, $\sigma \kappa 0-$

 a pexailos Trach. 1, is very often repeated by Sophocles himself, as
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## APPENDIX．

## LIST OF RARER WORDS AND EXPRESSIONS．

|  | $\sigma \tau^{\prime} \rho \gamma \bar{\prime}$ | 300 | $\nu \omega \mu a ̃ \nu$ | 597 | aíká入入еєข |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | EXavivelv（agi－ tare） | 318 | ס七ผ́入є $\quad$（oblitus sum） |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { á } \rho \kappa \tau \text { '́ov (= pa- } \\ & \text { rendum est) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 105 | oข้สแ＂（haudqua－ quam） | $\begin{aligned} & 336 \\ & 382 \end{aligned}$ | áтє入єย́тクtos $\phi v \lambda a ́ \sigma \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ | 668 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mpocá廿 } \\ & \text { transitive) } \end{aligned} \text { (in- }$ |
| 120 | ย̇єєvрєї | 394 |  | 673 | бтvyขóg（ac－ |
| 148 |  |  | enarrare） |  | tively） |
| 153 | ÉктEivelv | 480 |  | 685 | $\pi \rho о \pi о \nu ะ і \sigma \theta a ь$ |
| 205 | ¢ $\nu \delta \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau ั \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ | 496 | Өávaroı（violent | 709 |  |
| 227 |  |  | death） | 750 | $\beta$ atóg |

（2）What is the proper meaning of $\theta 0$ ófsuy？How comes it to be used in the sense $\theta a \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota \nu$ ？（33）$\sigma v \mu \phi о \rho a i ̀ ~ \beta i ́ o v . ~(34) ~ \delta a ч \mu o ́ v \omega \nu ~$ $\sigma v \nu a \lambda \lambda a \gamma a i$. （44）$\tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \sigma v \mu \phi о \rho \dot{\varrho} \varsigma ~ \tau \tilde{\psi} \nu \quad \beta \nu v \lambda \varepsilon v \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ：in what sense






| 779 סєĩ̃ ${ }^{\text {a }}$（epulde） | 1088 áлsipatos（in－ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 846 oióhwyos | expertus） |  |
| 902 áopóvet（intrans．） |  |  |
|  | 1314 а่ по́тротоข | （all in tears） |




 $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ r \rho i \delta o u \lambda o s . ~(1131) ~ \mu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{~s}$ v̈̃o（per memoriam）．（1136）


 $a I_{\mu \alpha} \pi a \tau \rho o \delta s . ~(1503) \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$, pathetically after the vocative．

THE END．
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